1	Kane Moon (SBN 249834) Allen Feghali (SBN 301080)			
2	Edwin Kamarzarian (SBN 3227830) MOON & YANG, APC	FILED SUPERIOR COURT of CALIFORNIA COUNTY of SANTA BARBARA		
3	1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 1880	08/31/2022		
4	Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128	Darrel E. Parker, Executive Officer		
5	Facsimile: (213) 232-3125 E-mail: kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com	BY Allain, Kelley Deputy Clerk		
6	E-mail: allen.feghali@moonyanglaw.com E-mail: edwin.kamarzarian@moonyanglaw.com	1		
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Melina Pagoulatos			
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF SANTA BARBARA			
10				
11	MELINA PAGOULATOS, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 20CV02801		
12	-	[Honorable James F. Rigali, Department 2]		
13	Plaintiff,	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING		
14	VS.	PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS		
15	COASTHILLS CREDIT UNION, a California corporation; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	ACTION SETTLEMENT		
16	Defendants.	[Filed concurrently with the Declaration of Kane Moon In Support Of Plaintiff's Further Briefing Re: Plaintiff's Motion For		
17		Preliminary Approval Of Class Action Settlement]		
18		PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING		
19		Date: August 30, 2022 Time: 8:30 AM		
20		Dept: 2		
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
40 L	I and the second			

The Court has before it the unopposed Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") of Plaintiff Melina Pagoulatos ("Plaintiff"). Having reviewed the Notice of Motion, Motion, Declaration of Kane Moon, Declaration of Melina Pagoulatos, and Joint Stipulation of Class Action Settlement ("Settlement"), and good cause appearing,

THE COURT HEREBY ORDERS AS FOLLOWS:

- 1. The Settlement, which is attached to the Declaration of Kane Moon in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Moon Declaration") as Exhibit 1, appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore, appears to meet the requirements for preliminary approval. In particular, the Settlement appears to be fair and reasonable in light of the good faith, non-collusive negotiations between the Parties, which resulted in the Settlement; the significant informal discovery, investigation, and research conducted by the Parties, which enabled them to intelligently evaluate, litigate, and mediate the alleged claims; the probable outcome of further litigation relating to class certification, liability, and damages; the substantial costs, delay, and risks of further litigation relating to the same; and the risk of potential appeal.
- 2. The Settlement provides that Defendant will pay a Gross Settlement Amount of One Million One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$1,100,000.00), which will cover: (a) Attorneys' Fees, which are not to exceed Three Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$385,000.00); (b) Litigation Costs, which are not to exceed Eighteen Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$18,000.00); (c) the Service Payment, which is not to exceed Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00); (d) the PAGA Allocation, which is One Hundred Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$100,000.00); (e) Settlement Administration Costs, which are estimated not to exceed Twenty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$20,000.00); and (f) Settlement Class Payments, which are to be calculated by the Settlement Administrator. (Settlement, § 27.) These terms appear to fall within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure section 382 and other applicable law. Further, these terms appear to fall within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that could be given final approval by this Court.

2

- 3. The Settlement Class, which means all current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked in California from September 1, 2016 through August 27, 2022 (Settlement, §§ 3, 4), is provisionally certified for settlement purposes only. The Settlement Class is provisionally certified because it appears to meet the following requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure section 382: (1) the Settlement Class is so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members which predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the Settlement Class Members' claims; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. The Court notes that Class Members who do not request exclusion from the Settlement may object thereto and raise their objections at the Final Approval Hearing on the Settlement.
- 4. The PAGA Employees, which means all current and former nonexempt employees of Defendant who worked in California from September 1, 2019 through August 27, 2022 (Settlement, §§ 12, 16), are provisionally approved for settlement purposes only.
- 5. The Class Representative, the Class, each Settlement Class Member, and each PAGA Employee, regardless of whether he or she has requested exclusion from the Settlement of Class claims, will release claims in accordance with the terms of the Settlement upon Final Approval by the Court of this Settlement and Defendant's payment of all sums due pursuant to this Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Settlement. With the exception of the Class Representative, who will be subject to a broader release in accordance with the terms of the Settlement, the Settlement Class Members will be subject to the following release terms:
 - a. Identity of Released Parties. "Released Parties" include Defendant, and each of its/their former and present direct and/or indirect owners, dba's, affiliates, parents, subsidiaries, brother and sister corporations, divisions, related companies, successors and predecessors, current and former employees, current and former

- members, attorneys, officers, directors, shareholders, owners, trustees, fiduciaries, beneficiaries, subrogees, executors, partners, privies, agents, servants, insurers, representatives, administrators, employee benefit plans, and assigns of said entities. (Settlement, § 38(a).)
- b. **Date Release Becomes Active.** The Released Claims and Released PAGA Claims will be released upon (1) the Settlement's Effective Date, and (2) the satisfaction of Defendant's obligation to provide to the Settlement Administrator a sum in the amount required to satisfy all required payments and distributions pursuant to the Settlement and the Order and Judgment of final approval. (Settlement, § 38(b).) Settlement Class Members will not release the Released Claims or Released PAGA Claims until both the Effective Date of the Settlement has occurred and Defendant has paid all amounts owing under the Settlement. (*Id.*)
- c. Claims Released by Settlement Class Members: Each and every Class Member, on behalf of himself or herself and his or her heirs and assigns, unless he or she has submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion (which will not effectuate an opt-out from the release of Released PAGA Claims), hereby releases the Released Parties from the following claims for the entire Class Period:
 - i. any and all claims stated in the Action, or that could have been stated based on the facts alleged in the Action, including but not limited to all state wage and hour claims (including all claims under the California Labor Code) for unpaid wages, minimum wage, sick pay, overtime, off-the-clock work, meal periods, rest periods, wage statement violations, interest, penalties, and attorneys' fees, waiting time penalties, withholding from wages and the related provisions of the Labor Code including but limited to Labor Code §§ 201-204, 210, 216, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 245-249, 510, 512, 512.5, 558, 1174, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, derivative claims under California Business & Professions Code §§

17200, et seq., and all c	claims under the governin	g Wage Order
(Settlement, § 38(c)(1).	.)	

- ii. as to any Class Member who cashes their Settlement Payment, the Settlement Administrator shall include language on the Settlement Payments that informs the Class Members that the signing and negotiation of that check shall serve as the Class Member's consent to join the Action for purposes of releasing all claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act that are alleged in the Action or related to the claims stated or that could have been stated in the Action, implicitly or explicitly. (Settlement, § 38(c)(2).)
- d. Claims Released by Class Members: All Class Members, including all PAGA Employees, regardless of whether they have requested exclusion from the Settlement as to the Released Claims, hereby release the Released Parties from the following claims for the entire PAGA Period:
 - all claims for penalties and any other available relief pursuant to PAGA, to the extent asserted in Plaintiff's administrative exhaustion letter submitted to the LWDA in this Action, arising during the PAGA Period. (Settlement, §§ 16, 38(d).)
- e. **Class Release Period:** Upon final approval of the Settlement, the Class Claims shall be released from September 1, 2016 through August 27, 2022.
- f. **Released PAGA Period**: Upon final approval of the Settlement, the PAGA Claims shall be released from September 1, 2019 through August 27, 2022.
- 6. The Service Payment of Ten Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$10,000.00) is preliminarily approved, and Plaintiff Melina Pagoulatos is appointed as Class Representative for this matter. (Settlement, § 27(n).)
- 7. Settlement Administration Costs not to exceed Twenty Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$20,000.00) are preliminarily approved, and Phoenix Settlement Administrators is appointed as Settlement Administrator for this matter. (Settlement, § 27(p).)

- 8. Attorneys' Fees of Three Hundred Eighty-Five Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$385,000.00), and Litigation Costs not to exceed Eighteen Thousand Dollars and Zero Cents (\$18,000.00) are preliminarily approved, and Moon & Yang, APC is appointed as Class Counsel for this matter. (Settlement, § 27(m).)
- 9. The Notice of Settlement, as to form and content, is preliminary approved. On a preliminary basis, the plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies Due Process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto. The Notice of Settlement is attached to the Settlement as Exhibit A.
- 10. A Final Fairness Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement terms, including the Service Payment, Settlement Administration Costs, Attorneys' Fees, and Litigation Costs should be finally approved as fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Settlement Class Members is hereby set in accordance with the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class Database to the Settlement Administrator	Within fifteen (15) calendar days from the date of preliminary approval by the Court
Settlement Administrator to mail the Notice Packets by First Class Mail	Within thirty (30) calendar days from the date of preliminary approval by the Court
Response Deadline	Within forty-five (45) days after the Settlement Administrator initially mails the Notice to Settlement Class Members or within fourteen (14) calendar days from re-mailing
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	, 2022
Final Approval Hearing	, 2022 at in Department 2

- 11. If any of the dates in the above Implementation Schedule fall on a weekend or bank or court holiday, the time to act shall be extended to the next business day.
- 12. Pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the Settlement, are stayed.
- 13. To facilitate the administration of the Settlement pending Final Approval, the Court hereby enjoins the Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members from filing or prosecuting any claims, suits, or administrative proceedings, including filing claims with the Division of Labor Standards Enforcement of the California Department of Industrial Relations regarding claims released by the Settlement, unless and until such Class Members have filed valid Requests for Exclusion with the Settlement Administrator and the time for filing claims with the Settlement Administrator has lapsed.
- 14. The Settlement is preliminarily approved but is not an admission by the Defendant of the validity of any claims in the Class and PAGA action, or of any wrongdoing or violation of law by Defendant. Neither the Settlement nor any related document shall be offered or received in evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Agreement and Settlement. The obligations set forth in the Settlement are deemed part of this Order. The Parties are to carry out the Settlement in accordance with its terms.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

08/31/2022 DATE:

> Hoyorable James F. Rigali Juage of the Santa Barbara Superior Cou.

1 PROOF OF SERVICE 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 4 1880, Los Angeles, California 90017. On August 4, 2022, I served the foregoing document described as: 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY 6 APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 7 by placing the original X a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows: 8 Jeffrey A. Dinkln 9 Jared W. Speier STRADLING YOCCA CARLSON & RAUTH 10 A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION 800 Anacapa Street, Suite A 11 Santa Barbara, CA 93101 12 Telephone: (805) 730-6800 Facsimile: (805) 730-6801 13 jdinkin@stradlinglaw.com ispeier@stradlinglaw.com 14 Attorney for Defendants Coasthills Credit Union 15 [✔] BY U.S. MAIL: I deposited such envelope in the mail at Los Angeles, California. The 16 envelope was mailed with postage thereon fully prepaid. I am "readily familiar" with 17 the firm's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. Under that practice it would be deposited with U.S. postal service on that same day with postage 18 thereon fully prepaid at Los Angeles, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postal 19 cancellation date or postage meter date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit. 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 21 foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed this, August 4, 2022 at Los Angeles, California. 23 Janelle Jickain 24 Type or Print Name 25 26 27