	FILE	D	
ior	Court of	of C	é

Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles

08/15/2022

Sherri R.	Carter, Executive Officer /	Clerk of Cou
Bv:	B. Guerrero	Deputy

AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137) REBECCA G. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 138446) GUNDZIK GUNDZIK HEEGER LLP 14011 Ventura Blvd., Suite 206E

Sherman Oaks, CA 91423 Telephone: (818) 290-7461 Facsimile: (818) 918-2316

MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65410)
DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar No. 176663)
N. CORY BARARI (State Bar No. 295306)
CASKEY & HOLZMAN
7 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400

Calabasas, CA 91302 Telephone: (818) 657-1070 Facsimile: (818) 297-1775

Attorneys for Plaintiffs Maria del Socorro Jimenez, Elisa Lopez, Rosa Valdez and Osiris Gonzalez, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES

MARIA DEL SOCORRO JIMENEZ, ELISA LOPEZ, ROSA VALDEZ and OSIRIS GONZALEZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

EL PROYECTO DEL BARRIO, INC., a California Non-Profit Corporation, and DOES 1 through 25,

Defendants.

VS.

Case No.: 19STCV18696

Assigned for all purposes to Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman, SSC-14

[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL

DATE: March 29, 2022 TIME: 10:00 a.m. DEPT.: 14

Case Filed: May 30, 2019

The Court, having considered whether to order final approval of the settlement of this matter pursuant to the Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Settlement (the "Settlement Agreement" or "Stipulation"), having granted preliminary approval on September 28, 2021, having directed that notice be given to all Class Members of preliminary approval of the Stipulation of Class and PAGA Action Settlement and the final approval hearing and the right to be excluded from or object to the settlement, having read

1

21

27

28

propose to deduct \$12,250 in fees to be paid to the Settlement Administrator, Service and

the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, \$5,000 to the PAGA Employees, Class Counsel's costs of \$20,203.17, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees of \$716,666, which is justified in light of the benefit to the Class.

- 10. The amount remaining, after deductions approved by the Court, will be distributed to Settlement Class Members based on the number of Qualifying Workweeks each Settlement Class Member was employed by Defendant during the Class Period.
- 11. No Class Members filed requests to be excluded from the settlement.

 Therefore, all members of the Settlement Class will receive a settlement payment and be bound by the releases and Judgment.
- 12. Upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$2,150,000, plus the employer's share of withholding taxes, from Defendant, each and every Released Claim of each Settlement Class Member is and shall be deemed to be released as against the Released Parties. Upon the receipt by the Settlement Administer, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$2,150,000, plus the employer's share of withholding tax, from Defendant, all Settlement Class Members will be precluded in the future from making any claim based on, arising from, or relating to the allegations made in the Second Amended Complaint.
- Administrators, of the gross settlement amount of \$2,150,000, plus the employer's share of withholding tax, from Defendant, the Representative Plaintiffs shall be additionally precluded in the future from making any and all causes of action and factual or legal theories that were alleged, or could have been alleged, in the Second Amended Complaint or arise from facts alleged in the Second Amended Complaint, including all damages, penalties, interest, and other amounts recoverable under said claims, causes of action or legal theories of relief. The time period governing these Released Claims shall be the Class Period only. Provided, however, the Released Claims shall not include the PAGA Released Claims. Claims and damages that were not alleged in the Complaint (or any subsequent operative

Complaint), and could not be alleged based on the fact and legal theories alleged, and do not arise from the facts alleged in the Complaint are specifically excluded from the release.

Provided, however, this release shall not include any claims for workers' compensation benefits.

- 14. Neither the settlement, nor any of the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement, constitute any admission by Defendant, or any of the other Released Parties, of liability to the Representative Plaintiffs or any Class Member, nor does this Final Approval Order constitute a finding by the Court of the validity of any of the claims alleged in the Action, or of any liability of Defendant or any of the other Released Parties.
- 15. The Court finds that the Notice of Proposed Class Action Settlement ("Notice of Settlement") has been mailed to all Class Members as previously ordered by the Court, and that such Notice of Settlement fairly and adequately described the terms of the proposed Settlement Agreement, the manner in which the Class Members could object to or participate in the settlement, and the manner in which Class Members could opt out of the Class, was the best notice practicable under the circumstances, was valid, due and sufficient notice to all Class Members, and complied fully with Civil Code §1781(e), Rule of Court 3.769, due process and all other applicable laws. The Court further finds that a full and fair opportunity has been afforded to Class Members to participate in the proceedings convened to determine whether the proposed Settlement Agreement should be given final approval.
- 16. The Court finally approves of the distribution of the Net Settlement Amount to the Settlement Class Members. Settlement Class Members are not required to submit a claim form in order to receive payment. Rather, the gross amount paid to each Settlement Class Member will be based on the number of qualifying workweeks (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) each Settlement Class member worked for Defendant during the Class Period (May 30, 2015 through April 1, 2021).
- 17. The Court finds that the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate as to the Settlement Class, the named Plaintiffs and Defendant, and is the product of good faith, arms' length negotiations between the parties, and further, that the Settlement

6

11

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

26

27

Settlement Class Members who have not opted out of the settlement, pursuant to the terms

of the Stipulation of Class Action Settlement, Paragraph IV(K), and that all settlement

1				
1	19. A compliance hearing is set for Tæ̂ Á ÉÆG€CHÁææÁ K€€Á ÈÈ If a			
2	WORKER CO.			
3 4	hearing, no appearances will be required.			
	Dated: 08/15/2022 Kenneth R. Freeman / Judge			
5	Dated: Kenneth R. Freeman/Judge Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman			
6				
7 8				
9				
10				
11				
12				
13				
14				
15				
16				
17				
18				
19				
20				
21				
22				
23				
24				
25				
26				
27				
28				
	[Proposed] Order Granting Final Approval			
- 1	[110posed] Order Ordering Time Approval			