## E-Served: Jul 13 2022 10:41AM PDT Via Case Anywhere

1	Kane Moon (SBN 249834)	FILED
2	kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com Allen Feghali (SBN 301080)	Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles
3	allen.feghali@moonyanglaw.com Enzo Nabiev (SBN 332118)	07/13/2022
4	enzo.nabiev@moonyanglaw.com MOON & YANG, APC	Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Co By: A. He Deput
5	1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017	
6	Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff Jose Pena	
8	Attorneys for Framitin Jose Fena	
9	SUPERIOR COURT OF TH	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES	
11		
12	JOSE PENA, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: 20STCV48809
13	Plaintiff,	CLASS ACTION
14	i iaintiii,	[Hon. Stuart Rice, Dept. 1]
15	vs.	<b>[PROPOSED]</b> ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION
16	DINZI UTAH H.C. a limited liability	FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
17	BUNZL UTAH, LLC, a limited liability company; and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	
18	Defendants	EDVAL ADDROVAL HEADING
19		FINAL APPROVAL HEARING Date: July 13, 2022
20		Time: 10:30 a.m. Dept.: 1
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28	[ <del>PROPOSED]</del> ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRA APPROVAL OF CLASS	ANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL ACTION SETTLEMENT

On April 6, 2022, this Court issued an Order Granting Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Plaintiff Jose Pena now seeks an order granting final approval of the Class Action Settlement (collectively the "Settlement"), attached to the Declaration of Kane Moon in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement as Exhibit 1.

Due and adequate notice having been given to the Settlement Class Members, as defined below, and the Court having considered Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion"), the supporting declarations and exhibits thereto, all papers filed and proceedings had herein, and the absence of any written objections received regarding the proposed settlement, and having reviewed the record in the Action, and good cause appearing,

## IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED AS FOLLOWS:

The Court, for purposes of this Final Order and Judgment, refers to all defined terms as set forth in the Settlement.

The Court has jurisdiction over all claims asserted in the Action, Plaintiff, the Settlement Class Members, and Defendant Bunzl Utah, LLC ("Defendant").

The Court finds that the Settlement appears to have been made and entered into in good faith and hereby approves the settlement subject to the limitations on the requested fees and enhancements as set forth below.

Plaintiff and all Settlement Class Members, shall have, by operation of this Final Order and Judgment, fully, finally, and forever released, relinquished, and discharged Defendants from all Released Claims as stated in the Settlement and reproduced here:

The Parties shall bear their own respective attorneys' fees and costs, except as otherwise provided for in the Settlement and approved by the Court.

Solely for purposes of effectuating the settlement, the Court finally certified the following Class: All current and former non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked for Defendant in California at any time from December 18, 2016, through February 7, 2022.

No Class Members have objected to the terms of the Settlement. No Class Members have requested exclusion from the Settlement.

Class Members make the following release:

"Released Claims" means any and all claims for wages, damages, unpaid costs, penalties, liquidated damages, benefits, fringes, interest, attorney fees, litigation costs, restitution, or equitable relief, against any of the Released Parties, that were pled or could have been pled based on the factual allegations, circumstances, and/or primary rights set forth in the Action and/or PAGA Letter, including all claims under the California Labor Code, Industrial Welfare Commission Wage Orders and applicable law for: failure to pay wages (including overtime wages, regular wages, minimum wages, and rounding), failure to provide compliant meal and/or rest periods or proper premiums in lieu thereof, failure to pay wages timely during employment and upon termination, failure to maintain payroll records, failure to provide legally-compliant wage statements, all claims for violation of California Business and Professions Code §§ 17200, et seq., arising from the above-referenced claims and those claims in the Actions; and all claims for penalties under California Labor Code § 2698, et. seq.

"Released PAGA Claims" means all Released Claims arising under PAGA during the PAGA Period.

"Released Parties" means Defendant and its past, present and/or future, direct and/or indirect, officers, directors, members, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, insurers, investors, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, and any individual or entity that could be jointly liable with Defendant.

The Notice provided to the Class conforms with the requirements of California Rules of Court 3.766 and 3.769, and constitutes the best notice practicable under the circumstances, by providing individual notice to all Class Members who could be identified through reasonable effort, and by providing due and adequate notice of the proceedings and of the matters set forth therein to the Class Members. The Notice fully satisfies the requirements of due process.

The Court finds the Gross Settlement Fund, the Net Settlement Fund, and the methodology used to calculate and pay each Settlement Class Member's Allocation Amount are fair and reasonable, and authorizes the Settlement Administrator to pay the Settlement

Shares to the Settlement Class Members in accordance with the terms of the Settlement.

Defendant shall pay the total of \$700,000.00 to resolve this litigation. Defendant will fund the settlement account within fourteen (14) calendar days after the Effective Date. The Settlement Administrator shall cause the Settlement Payments to be mailed to the Class Members within fourteen (14) calendar days of the receipt of funding. Thereafter, Settlement Allocation Amounts shall be distributed to Settlement Class Members shall be effected pursuant to the terms of the Settlement.

From the Gross Fund Value, \$52,500.00 shall be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency, representing 75% of the penalties awarded under the terms of the Settlement and Amendment pursuant to the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act of 2004, California Labor Code section 2698, et seq.

The Court hereby approves an incentive payment in the amount of \$7,500.00 to Jose Pena for his service as class representative and for his agreement to release claims.

The Court hereby confirms Kane Moon, Allen Feghali, Enzo Nabiev of Moon & Yang, APC as Class Counsel.

From the Gross Settlement Fund, Class Counsel is awarded \$233,333.33 for their reasonable attorneys' fees and \$14,811.53 for their reasonable costs incurred in the Action. The fees and costs shall be distributed to Class Counsel as set forth in the Settlement. The Court finds that the fees are reasonable in light of the benefit provided to the Class.

The Court approves Settlement Administration Costs in the amount of \$6,750.00. Such costs shall be paid from the Gross Settlement Fund to Phoenix Settlement Administrators.

The Parties are ordered to have notice of this Order and Judgment sent to all Class Members in accordance with CRC 3.771 (b) along with settlement payments issued via first class mail to all participating Class Members at their last known addresses, and to the LWDA pursuant to Labor Code § 2699(1)(3);

This Judgment is intended to be a final disposition of the above captioned action in its entirety and is intended to be immediately appealable.

This Court shall retain jurisdiction with respect to all matters related to the

administration and consummation of the Settlement, and any and all claims, asserted in, arising out of, or related to the subject matter of the lawsuit, including but not limited to all matters related to the Settlement and the determination of all controversies relating thereto.

Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement is hereby granted, and the Court directs that Judgment shall be entered in accordance with the terms of this Order.

The Court sets a Non-Appearance Hearing (Case Review) Re: Submission of Final Report on July 7, 2023 at 4:00 p.m. in Department 1.

## IT IS SO ADJUDGED.

DATE: 07/13/2022



Stuart M. Rice / Judge

Hon. Stuart Rice
Judge of Los Angeles County Superior Court

## PROOF OF SERVICE 1 2 STATE OF CALIFORNIA, COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES 3 I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880, Los 4 Angeles, California 90017. On **July 8, 2022** I served the foregoing document described as: 5 [PROPOSED] ORDER AND JUDGMENT GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR FINAL APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT 6 by placing \_\_\_ the original X a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows: 7 EVAN R. MOSES Spencer C. Skeen, 8 evan.moses@ogletree.com Marlene M. Moffitt, JULIE A. GLADSTONE 9 OGLETREE, DEAKINS, NASH, julie.gladstone@ogletree.co **SMOAK &** 10 STEWART, P.C. OGLETREE, DEAKINS, 4370 La Jolla Village Drive, Suite 990 NASH, SMOAK & 11 San Diego, CA 92122 STEWART, P.C. Telephone: 858-652-3100 400 South Hope Street, Suite 12 Facsimile: 858-652-310 1 1200 spencer.skeen@ogletree.com Los Angeles, CA 90071 13 Telephone: 213-239-9800 marlene.moffitt@ogletree.com Facsimile: 213-239-9045 14 15 Attorneys for Defendant Bunzl Utah, LLC 16 17 **[√**] **BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE:** Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic 18 service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASEANYWHERE. I did not receive an error message. 19 20 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the 21 foregoing is true and correct. 22 Executed this **July 8, 2022** at Los Angeles, California. 23 24 Ivette Hernandez /s/ Ivette Hernadez Type or Print Name Signature 25 26 27

28