	E-Served: Jun 8 2022 4:35PM Pl	DT Via Case Anywhere
Electronically Received 03/04/2022 11:48 AM 01 0 6 8 2 9 5 7 5 7 11	Kane Moon (SBN 249834) Allen Feghali (SBN 301080) Edwin Kamarzarian (SBN 327830) MOON & YANG, APC 1055 W. Seventh St., Suite 1880 Los Angeles, California 90017 Telephone: (213) 232-3128 Facsimile: (213) 232-3125 E-mail: kane.moon@moonyanglaw.com E-mail: allen.feghali@moonyanglaw.com	FILED Superior Court of California County of Los Angeles 06/08/2022 Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Co By: <u>A. He</u> Deputy
1 Kecei 8	Attorneys for Plaintiff Eric Galvez	
9 bi	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
<u></u> 10	COUNTY OF L	OS ANGELES
۳11 12	ERIC GALVEZ, individually, and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No. 20STCV22760
12	Plaintiff,	CLASS AND REPRESENTATIVE ACTION Uč æd TEU &
14		[Hon. Daniel J. Buckley, Dept.1]
15 16 17	vs. YAMATO VALENCIA, INC., a California Corporation; YAMATO ENCINO CORPORATION, a California Corporation; and	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT
18	DOES 1 through 10, inclusive, Defendants	PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING Date: April 8, 2022 R' } ^ Â ÊOEGG Time: 10:30 p.m.
19		Dept. 1
20		
21		
22		
23		
24 25		
25		
20		
28		
	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S CLASS ACTION	MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT

The Court has before it Plaintiff Eric Galvez's ("Plaintiff's") Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement. Having reviewed the Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement, the Declaration of Kane Moon, the Declaration of Plaintiff Eric Galvez, the Joint Stipulation re: Class Action Settlement (which is referred to here as the "Settlement Agreement"), and good cause appearing, the Court hereby finds, and orders as follows:

1. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that the Settlement Agreement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable, and therefore meets the requirements for preliminary approval. The Court grants preliminary approval of the Settlement and the Settlement Class based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement attached to the Declaration of Kane Moon in Support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Moon Declaration") as Exhibit 1. The Court preliminarily finds that the terms of the Settlement appear to be within the range of possible approval, pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 and applicable law.

2. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness of a settlement which could ultimately be given final approval by this Court, and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the Final Approval Hearing and final approval by this Court. The Court notes that Defendant have agreed to create a common fund of \$300,000.00 to cover (a) settlement payments to class members who do not validly opt out; (b) a \$30,000.00 allocated to penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA"), distributed as follows: 25% (\$7,500) to the PAGA Employees and 75% (\$22,500) to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency; (c) Class Representative service payment of up to \$7,500.00 for Plaintiff; (d) Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, not to exceed 33-1/3% of the Gross Settlement Amount (\$100,000.00), and up to \$15,000.00 in costs for actual litigation expenses incurred by Class Counsel; and (e) Settlement Administration costs of up to \$15,000.00.

3. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that: (1) the settlement amount is fair and reasonable to the class members when balanced against the probable outcome of further [PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT litigation relating to class certification, liability and damages issues, and potential appeals; (2) significant informal discovery, investigation, research, and litigation have been conducted such that counsel for the Parties at this time are able to reasonably evaluate their respective positions; (3) settlement at this time will avoid substantial costs, delay, and risks that would be presented by the further prosecution of the litigation; and (4) the proposed settlement has been reached as the result of intensive, serious, and non-collusive negotiations between the Parties. Accordingly, the Court preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement was entered into in good faith.

4. A final fairness hearing on the question of whether the proposed settlement, attorneys' fees and costs to Class Counsel, and the class representative's enhancement award should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate as to the members of the class is hereby set in accordance with the Implementation Schedule set forth below.

5. The Court provisionally certifies for settlement purposes only the following class (the "Settlement Class"): "Any and all hourly, nonexempt employees who worked for Defendant in California during the Class Period". Excluded from the Settlement Class are all persons who properly and timely elect to opt out.

6. Upon the final approval by the Court of this Settlement and Defendant's payment of all sums due pursuant to this Settlement, and except as to such rights or claims as may be created by this Settlement, the Class Representatives, the Class and each Class Member who has not submitted a valid and timely request for exclusion as to claims other than the PAGA claim, and each PAGA Employee, regardless of whether they have requested exclusion from the Settlement of Class claims, will release claims as follows:

a. Identity of Released Parties. The released parties are Defendant, and each of its/their past, present and/or future, direct and/or indirect, officers, directors, members, managers, employees, agents, representatives, attorneys, insurers, partners, investors, shareholders, administrators, parent companies, subsidiaries, affiliates, DBAs, divisions, predecessors, successors, assigns, and joint venturers (collectively "Released Parties" or "Releasees").

b. Date Release Becomes Active. The Released Class and PAGA Claims will be released upon the later of (1) the Settlement's Effective Date, or (2) the satisfaction of Defendant's obligation to provide to the Settlement Administrator a sum in the amount required to satisfy all required payments and distributions pursuant to this Settlement and the Order and Judgment of final approval. Class Members will not release the Released Claims or Released PAGA Claims until both the Effective Date of the Settlement has occurred, and Defendant has paid all amounts owing under the Settlement.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

c. Claims Released by Settlement Class Members The Class, including each and every Class Member, on behalf of himself or herself, and his or her heirs and assigns, unless he or she has submitted a timely and valid Request for Exclusion (which will not effectuate an opt-out from the release of Released PAGA Claims), hereby release Releasees from the following claims for the entire Class Period:

1) any and all claims, rights, demands, liabilities, penalties, costs, expenses, attorneys' fees, damages, and causes of action that are alleged, or reasonably could have been alleged based on the facts and claims asserted in the operative complaint in this action, including the following claims: failure to pay minimum and straight time wages, failure to pay overtime compensation, failure to provide compliant meal periods, failure to authorize and permit compliant rest periods, failure to indemnify necessary business expenses, failure to timely pay final wages upon separation from employment, failure to provide and maintain accurate itemized wage statements, Unfair Practices under the Unfair Competition Act ("UCL"), during the Class Period, including violation of the following sections of the California Labor Code sections 201, 202, 203, 204, 218.5 218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 558.1, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1198, 2802, derivative claims under California Business & Professions Code § 17200, violation of the California Code of Regulations, Title 8 section 11040, violation of the California Industrial Wage PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF

1	Orders, and violation of California's unfair business practices and unfair		
2	competition laws, as well as any potential penalties, interest, or attorneys' fee		
3	associated with these causes of action under California or federal law		
4	("Released Claims"). As to any participating Settlement Class Member who		
5	cashes and/or negotiates the check representing their individual Settlement		
6	Payment, the signing and negotiation of that check shall serve as the		
7	Settlement Class Member's consent to join the action for purposes of		
8	releasing the Released Claims arising under the Fair Labor Standards Act		
9	("FLSA").		
10	d. Claims Released by the Class, Including PAGA Employees. The PAGA		
11	Settlement Employees, including Plaintiff, regardless of whether they have		
12	requested exclusion from the Settlement as to Class claims, hereby release		
13	Releasees from the following claims for the entire PAGA Period:		
14	1) any and all claims, causes of action, damages, expenses, benefits,		
15	interest, penalties, attorneys' fees, costs, and any other form of relief or		
16	remedy in law, equity, or whatever kind or nature that were asserted or		
17	could have been asserted with respect to the Private Attorneys General		
18	Act ("PAGA") claims asserted in the Action and in Plaintiff's LWDA		
19	Notice(s) submitted to the LWDA in this Action on June 4, 2020.		
20	("Released PAGA Claims").		
21	7. The Court finds, for settlement purposes only, that the Settlement Class meets		
22	the requirements for certification under California Code of Civil Procedure § 382 in that: (1)		
23	the Settlement Classes are so numerous that joinder is impractical; (2) there are questions of		
24	law and fact that are common, or of general interest, to all Settlement Class Members, which		
25	predominate over individual issues; (3) Plaintiff's claims are typical of the claims of the		
26	Settlement Class Members; (4) Plaintiff and Class Counsel will fairly and adequately protect		
27	the interests of the Settlement Class Members; and (5) a class action is superior to other		
28	available methods for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy. $\frac{1}{4}$		
	H H PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF		

8. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Eric Galvez, as Class Representative.

9. The Court appoints, for settlement purposes only, Moon & Yang, APC, as Class Counsel. The Court further preliminarily approves Class Counsel's ability to request attorneys' fees of up to one-third of the Total Settlement Amount (\$100,000.00), and costs not exceeding \$15,000.00.

10. The Court appoints Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions as the Settlement Administrator with reasonable administration costs estimated not to exceed \$15,000.00.

11. The Court approves, as to form and content the Notice, attached as Exhibit A to the Joint Stipulation re: Class Action Settlement. The Court finds on a preliminary basis that plan for distribution of the Notice to Settlement Class Members satisfies due process, provides the best notice practicable under the circumstances, and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled thereto.

12. The Parties are ordered to carry out the Settlement according to the terms of the Agreement.

13. Any class member who does not request exclusion from the settlement may object to the Settlement Agreement.

14. The Court orders the following Implementation Schedule:

Defendant to provide Class List to the Settlement Administrator	Within 14 days of preliminary approval
Settlement Administrator	Within 14 days of receipt of the class
Notice Packets by First Class Mail	data base
Response Deadline	60 days after mailing

1

2

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT

Final Approval Hearing	FFBEFFD9€CC025xc4x€K+€4C0ET Á§, ÁÖ^] æ¦q'^} d4x
Deadline to file Motion for Final Approval	Per the Code of Civil Procedure (i.e. 16 court days prior to the Final Approval Hearing

15. The Court further ORDERS that, pending further order of this Court, all proceedings in this lawsuit, except those contemplated herein and in the settlement, are stayed.

16. The Agreement and the Settlement are preliminarily approved but are not an admission by Defendant of the validity of any claims in this class action, or of any wrongdoing by Defendant or of any violation of law. Neither the Agreement nor any related document shall be offered or received in evidence in any civil, criminal, or administrative action or proceeding other than such proceedings as may be necessary to consummate or enforce the Agreement and Settlement. The obligations set forth in the Agreement are deemed part of this Order.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATE:06/08/2022

Stuart M. Rice / Judge Hon. Daniel J. Buckley Ùč æc⁄A ÉÜ& Judge of the Los Angeles County Superior Court

1	PROOF OF SERVICE				
2	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)) ss				
3	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)				
4	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18				
5 6	and not a party to the within action; my business address is 1055 West Seventh Street, Suite 1880, Los Angeles, California 90017. On March 4, 2022, I served the foregoing document described as:				
7	[PROPOSED] ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT				
8 9	\underline{X} by placing the original \underline{X} a true copy thereof enclosed in sealed envelope(s) addressed as follows:				
10	Marie D. Davis, Esq.				
11	Evelyn E. Zarraga, Esq.				
12	LANDEGGER RIVAS VERANO & DAVIS, ALC				
13	15760 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 1200 Encino, California 91436				
14	Attorney for Defendant Yamato Valencia, Inc. (via Case Anywhere)				
15	[X] BY ELECTRONIC SERVICE: Based on a court order or an agreement of the parties to				
16	accept electronic service, I caused the documents to be sent to the persons at the electronic service addresses listed above via third-party cloud service CASEANYWHERE .				
17					
18	X (State) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the above is true and correct.				
19	Executed on March 4, 2022, at Los Angeles, California.				
20 21	Executed on March 1, 2022, at Eos Migeres, Camorina.				
21	Janelle Jickain				
22	Name Signature				
23					
25					
26					
27	1				
28	PROOF OF SERVICE				