FILED ALAMEDA COUNTY JUN 0 8 2022

CLERK OF THE SUPERIOR COURT By a. Mendy

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA

FOR THE COUNTY OF ALAMEDA

ANDREW MCEATHRON and JUAN BAUTISTA, individually, and on behalf of other members of the general public similarly situated:

Plaintiff,

V.

AHERN RENTALS, INC., an unknown business entity; and DOES 1 through 100 inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No.: RG17867366

Assigned for All Purposes to: Honorable Stephen Kaus Department 19

CLASS ACTION

PROPOSED ORDER GRANTING PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT, CONDITIONAL CERTIFICATION, APPROVAL OF CLASS NOTICE, SETTING OF FINAL APPROVAL **HEARING DATE**

[Reservation ID: 244822460430]

Hearing Date:

June 6, 2022

Hearing Time:

3:00 p.m.

Hearing Place:

Department 19

Complaint Filed:

July 12, 2017

FAC Filed:

December 21, 2021

Trial Date:

None Set

27

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

TO ALL PARTIES AND THEIR ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

The Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement came before this Court, the Honorable Stephen Kaus presiding, on June 6, 2022 at 3:00 p.m. The Court having considered the papers submitted in support of the Motion, HEREBY ORDERS THE FOLLOWING:

- 1. The following Class is conditionally certified for purposes of settlement only: all current and former hourly-paid or non-exempt Ahern Rentals employees who have worked in California at any time between July 12, 2013 and August 27, 2021 ("Class"). The Class Period is the time period between July 12, 2013, and August 27, 2021 ("Class Period").
- 2. The Court grants preliminary approval of the settlement based upon the terms set forth in the Settlement Agreement ("Settlement Agreement"), attached hereto as **Exhibit 1**. Capitalized terms shall have the definitions set forth in the Settlement Agreement.
- 3. The Settlement appears to be fair, adequate, and reasonable to the Class. The Settlement falls within the range of reasonableness and appears to be presumptively valid, subject only to any objections that may be raised at the final approval hearing and final approval by this Court.
- 4. Plaintiffs Andrew McEathron and Juan Butista ("Plaintiffs") are conditionally approved as the Class Representatives for the Class.
- 5. Douglas Han, Shunt Tatavos-Gharajeh, and Phillip Song of Justice Law Corporation are conditionally approved as Class Counsel for the Class.
- 6. A Final Approval Hearing on the question of whether the Settlement Agreement, the Class Counsel Fees, the Costs Payment, and the Class Representative Enhancement Payments should be finally approved as fair, reasonable, and adequate as to all Class Members who do not submit a valid and timely request to exclude themselves from the class action Settlement ("Participating Class Members") is scheduled on the date and time set forth in Paragraph 12 below.
- 7. The Court confirms Phoenix Class Action Settlement Administrators ("Phoenix") as the Settlement Administrator.

- 8. The proposed payment of Administration Costs is not to exceed \$20,000 to Phoenix for its services is conditionally approved.
- 9. The Court also hereby conditionally approves and orders payment from the Gross Settlement Amount the Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 ("PAGA") Payment ("PAGA Payment") of \$100,000, seventy-five percent (75%) of which (\$75,000) will be paid to the California Labor and Workforce Development Agency ("LWDA") and twenty-five percent (25%) of which (\$25,000) shall be distributed to: (a) all non-exempt employees employed by Defendants in California at any time during the period between May 2, 2017, and August 27, 2021; ("Eligible Aggrieved Employees"), on a pro rata basis.
- 10. The Court approves, as to form and content, the Notice of Class Action Settlement ("Class Notice") as attached as **Exhibit A** to the Settlement Agreement. The Court also approves the procedure for Class Members to participate in, to opt out of, and to object to the Settlement as set forth in the Class Notice.
- 11. The Court directs the mailing of the Class Notice to all identified Class Members via first-class regular U.S. Mail in accordance with the implementation schedule set forth in paragraph 12 below. The Court finds the dates selected for the mailing and distribution of the Class Notice, as set forth in the Implementation Schedule, meet the requirements of due process and provide the best notice practicable under the circumstances and shall constitute due and sufficient notice to all persons entitled.

12. The Court orders the following <u>Implementation Schedule</u> for further proceedings:

a.	Deadline for Defendants to submit Class Data to Settlement Administrator	Within fourteen (14) calendar days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order
b.	Deadline for Settlement Administrator to mail the Class Notice to Class Members	Within thirty (30) calendar days after entry of the Preliminary Approval Order
c.	Deadline for Class Members to request	Within forty-five (45) calendar days from
	for exclusion from Settlement or	the initial mailing of the Class Notice
	postmark objections to Settlement to the	
	Settlement Administrator	
e.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file	Sixteen (16) Court days before Final
	Motion for Final Approval of	Approval Hearing in conformity with
	Settlement	Code of Civil Procedure § 1005
f.	Deadline for Class Counsel to file	Sixteen (16) Court days before Final
	Motion for Class Counsel Fees, Costs	Approval Hearing in conformity with
*	Payment, and Class Representative	Code of Civil Procedure § 1005
	Enhancement Payments	
g.	Final Approval Hearing and Final	, 2022
	Approval	at 3:00 p.m. in Department 19

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Dated: 6/96/2022

Honorable Stephen Kaus Judge of the Superior Court

* Parties have suggested week of 10/10/22, Parties may reserve 10/10 or 10/12 That week,