

Electronically Received 12/27/2021 12:00 AM

Eric A. Boyajian (236335)
LAW OFFICES OF ERIC A. BOYAJIAN, APC
450 N. Brand Blvd., Ste. 600
Glendale, CA 91203
Telephone: 818-839-5969
Facsimile: 818-296-9230

FILED
Superior Court of California
County of Los Angeles

12/28/2021

Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer / Clerk of Court

By: I. Arellanes Deputy

Attorneys for Plaintiff
NATALIE BARBA

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES, CENTRAL DISTRICT
SPRING STREET COURTHOUSE

MIRIAM AVELAR ARVIZU, individually
and on behalf of others similarly situated,

Plaintiff,

vs.

AMMADIS, INC., a California corporation
dba Gaucho Grill; ADRIAN E. AMOSA, an
individual; LUCIANA MIORIN AMOSA, an
individual; CINGULAR GROCERS, a
California corporation; CINGULAR HR, a
California corporation; and DOES 1 through
50, inclusive,

Defendants.

CLASS ACTION

Case No.: BC698605
Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Dept. 1

**~~[AMENDED PROPOSED]~~ ORDER
GRANTING FINAL APPROVAL OF
CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT**

Hearing Date: December 28, 2021
Hearing Time: 10:00 a.m.

1 On December 28, 2021, the hearing on Plaintiff Natalie Barba's ("Plaintiff") Motion for
2 Final Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Motion") was held, the Honorable Daniel J.
3 Buckley presiding in department 1 of the Los Angeles County Court – Spring Street Courthouse,
4 located at 312 North Spring Street, Los Angeles, California 90012.

5 The Court, having considered the Motion and all supporting materials, and having heard
6 from objector Miriam Avelar Arvizu, and having heard from counsel, GRANTS the Motion.

7 The Court makes the following findings:

- 8 1. The Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims ("Settlement") is
9 reasonable and fair.
- 10 2. The Settlement is the product of arm's length negotiations.
- 11 3. There is no evidence of collusion.
- 12 4. The Settlement Share to each Participating Class Member is fair and reasonable.
- 13 5. The Service Award to the Plaintiff is reasonable.
- 14 6. The Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Class Counsel are reasonable.
- 15 7. The fees to the Settlement Administrator are reasonable.
- 16 8. Objector Miriam Avelar Arvizu has provided no reason why the Settlement is unfair or
17 unreasonable to the Class and so her objection is overruled.

18 The Court sets a status conference for 12/28/2021 at 10:00 a.m., at
19 10:00 [a.m./ p.m.] regarding the status of payments to be made pursuant to the
20 Settlement.

21 Class Counsel to give notice.

22 **IT IS SO ORDERED.**

23 JUDGE OF THE SUPERIOR COURT

24 DATED: 12/28/2021



25 *Daniel J. Buckley*

26 Hon. Daniel J. Buckley
Daniel J. Buckley / Judge