

1 Norman B. Blumenthal (SBN 068687)
2 Kyle R. Nordrehaug (SBN 205975)
3 Aparajit Bhowmik (SBN 248066)
4 Jeffrey S. Herman (SBN280058)
5 Charlotte E. James (SBN 308441)
6 BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
7 2255 Calle Clara
8 La Jolla, CA 92037
9 Telephone: (858) 551-1223
10 Facsimile: (858) 551-1232

11 Attorneys for Plaintiff ADRIAN AVILES

12 David G. Spivak (SBN 179684)
13 david@spivaklaw.com
14 THE SPIVAK LAW FIRM
15 16530 Ventura Blvd., Ste 203
16 Encino, CA 91436
17 Telephone: (213) 725-9094
18 Facsimile: (213) 634-2485

19 Attorneys for Plaintiff ERIC AYALA

20 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

21 CENTRAL DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

22 ERIC AYALA and ADRIAN AVILES, on
23 behalf of themselves and all others
24 similarly situated, and as “aggrieved
25 employees” on behalf of other “aggrieved
26 employees” under the Labor Code Private
27 Attorneys General Act of 2004,

28 Plaintiff,

v.

UPS SUPPLY CHAIN SOLUTIONS,
INC., a Delaware corporation; UPS
SUPPLY CHAIN SOLUTIONS
GENERAL SERVICES, INC., a Delaware
corporation; and DOES 1 10, inclusive,

Defendants.

Case No. 5:20-cv-00117-PSG-AFM

**DECLARATION OF KYLE
NORDREHAUG IN SUPPORT OF
MOTION FOR AWARD OF
ATTORNEYS’ FEES AND COSTS,
SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATION
COSTS, AND CLASS
REPRESENTATIVE SERVICE
AWARDS**

Date: January 14, 2022

Time: 1:30 p.m.

Courtroom: 6A

Judge: Hon. Philip S. Gutierrez

1 I, Kyle Nordrehaug, do hereby declare as follows:

2 1. I am a partner of the law firm of Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De
3 Blouw LLP (“BNBD”), counsel of record for Plaintiff Adrian Aviles in this matter. As
4 such, I am fully familiar with the facts, pleadings and history of this matter. The
5 following facts are within my own personal knowledge, and if called as a witness, I
6 could testify competently to the matters stated herein.

7 2. This declaration is being submitted in support of Plaintiffs’ Motion for
8 Award of Attorneys’ Fees and Costs and Service Awards. The settlement reached with
9 Defendants UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc. and UPS Supply Chain Solutions General
10 Services, Inc. (“Defendants”) is set forth in the Joint Stipulation of Class Action
11 Settlement (“Settlement”) which is attached as Exhibit A to the Declaration of David
12 Spivak in support of this motion.

13 3. Over the course of the litigation, my firm has worked actively on this
14 matter. The firm credentials are reflected in the BNBD Resume, a true and correct copy
15 of which is attached hereto as Exhibit 1. Some of the major cases our firm has
16 undertaken are also set forth therein. The attorneys at BNBD have had extensive class
17 litigation experience, most of it area of employment class actions, unfair business
18 practices and other complex litigation. The attorneys at my firm have extensive
19 experience in cases involving labor code violations and overtime claims. BNBD has
20 successfully litigated similar overtime cases against other employers on behalf of
21 employees, including cases against Securitas, Walt Disney Resorts, El Pollo Loco,
22 Panda Express, Universal Protection, Mattress Firm, Total Renal, Apple, Coventry
23 Health Care, Liberty Mutual, Qualxserv, Union Bank, Marriott, Kaiser, Walgreens,
24 Wells Fargo Bank, and California State Automobile Association. My firm has been
25 approved as class counsel by state and federal courts in California in contested class
26 certification motions, including the Central District of California. It is this level of
27 experience which enabled the firm to undertake the instant matter and to successfully
28

1 combat the resources of the defendants and their capable and experienced counsel. On
2 account of the concerted and dedicated effort this case demanded in order to properly
3 handle and prosecute, BNBD was precluded from taking other cases, and in fact, had to
4 turn away other potential fee generating cases.

5 4. On February 18, 2020, Aviles filed a Complaint against Defendant in the
6 Riverside County Superior Court, in the matter entitled Adrian Aviles on behalf of
7 himself and others similarly situated v. UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc. Case No.
8 RIC2000727 (the "Aviles Lawsuit"). On April 4, 2020, Defendant removed the Aviles
9 Lawsuit to the United States District Court for the Central District of California, Case No.
10 5:20-cv-00669-JGB-KK.

11 5. On April 30, 2020 the parties stipulated for leave to file a consolidated class
12 action complaint adding Plaintiff Adrian Aviles as a named plaintiff. The Court granted
13 the stipulation, and on May 1, 2020 plaintiffs Eric Ayala and Adrian Aviles filed a
14 Consolidated Class Action Complaint now pending in the United States District Court
15 for the Central District of California, Case No. 5:20-cv-00117 (PSG)(AFM). The
16 Consolidated Class Action Complaint alleges seven causes of action for: (1) failure to
17 provide meal and rest periods in violation of California Labor Code §§ 226.7, 512, and
18 1198; (2) failure to indemnify in violation of California Labor Code §§ 1198 and 2802;
19 (3) failure to pay all wages for all hours worked at the correct rates of pay in violation of
20 California Labor Code §§ 510, 1194, 1197, and 1198; (4) failure to provide proper wage
21 statements in violation of California Labor Code §226; (5) waiting time penalties in
22 violation of California Labor Code §§ 201-203; (6) unfair business practices in violation
23 of California's Unfair Competition Act, Bus. & Prof. Code §17200 et seq., and (7) civil
24 penalties pursuant to PAGA (collectively "The Lawsuit").

25 6. The Settlement reached in the total amount of One Million Eight Hundred
26 Thousand Dollars (\$1,800,000) is the product of substantial effort by the Parties and in
27 my experience is an excellent result. Based on our analysis of the data and our own
28

1 independent investigation and evaluation, I am of the opinion that the settlement with the
2 Defendants for the consideration and on the terms set forth in the Agreement is fair,
3 reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interest of the class in light of all known facts
4 and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay, the defenses asserted by
5 Defendants, and numerous potential appellate issues.

6 7. There is a substantial difference between the risk assumed by attorneys
7 being paid by the hour and attorneys working on a contingent fee basis. The attorney
8 being paid by the hour can go to the bank with his fee. The attorney working on a
9 contingent basis can only log hours while working without pay towards a result that will
10 hopefully entitle him to a market place contingent fee taking into account the risk and
11 other factors of the undertaking. Otherwise, the contingent fee attorney receives
12 nothing. In this case, the representation by my firm and the nature of the fee was wholly
13 contingent. BNBD subjected themselves to this contingent fee market risk in this all or
14 nothing contingent fee case wherein the necessity and financial burden of private
15 enforcement makes the requested award appropriate. This case was litigated on a
16 contingent basis over a year, with all of the risk factors inherent in such an uncertain
17 undertaking. At the time this case was brought, the result was far from certain.
18 Defendants' practices at issue here had been in place for years. Defendants' numerous
19 defenses to the merits of the case and to class certification created difficulties with proof
20 and complex legal issues for Class Counsel to overcome. Indeed, I am aware of other
21 similar cases where the court dismissed the class allegations or denied class certification.
22 Under such contingent circumstances, courts have held that a risk multiplier must be
23 applied to the fee award

24 8. A detailed breakdown of the fees and the services performed by BNBD in
25 this matter is attached hereto as Exhibit #2. From December 4, 2018 to November 5,
26 2021, my firm has worked more than 569.95 hours prosecuting these class claims with
27 the attorneys' hourly fee rates for attorneys ranging from \$475 to \$795, which resulted
28

1 in lodestar for BNBD relating to the class claims for this period in the amount of
2 \$309,274.25. Because this is being provided in advance of the objection deadline, and
3 there will be significant additional work performed, I will provide an update of lodestar
4 incurred prior to the final approval hearing.

5 9. The rates charged by my firm are in line with the prevailing rates of
6 attorneys in the local legal community for similar work and, if this were a commercial
7 matter, these are the charges that would be made and presented to the client. These
8 hourly rates have been approved by Court's throughout California, including the Courts
9 in the Superior Court of California. In fact, on August 1, 2018, District Judge Andre
10 Birotte Jr. explicitly found that BNBD's "rates generally appear reasonable and 'in line
11 with those prevailing in the [relevant] community'—the Central District of California".
12 Finally, the reasonableness of BNBD's hourly rates is further confirmed by comparing
13 such rates with the rates of comparable counsel practicing complex and class litigation
14 as detailed in the National Law Journal Billing Survey. See e.g. *Zest IP Holdings, LLC*
15 *v. Implant Direct MFG., LLC*, 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 167563 (S.D. Cal. 2014) (finding
16 that "Mayer Brown's \$775 average billing rate for partners" and "Mayer Brown's \$543
17 average associate billing rate" are reasonable rates when compared within 21 other firms
18 practicing in the Southern District of California.) This survey is useful to show that
19 BNBD's rates are in line with the comparable rates of the defense counsel that opposes
20 these types of class claims, such as Mayer Brown noted above who is defense counsel in
21 cases currently being prosecuted by BNBD. In another example, Sheppard Mullin
22 Richter & Hampton, who is opposing counsel in many cases prosecuted by BNBD,
23 charges rates as high as \$875 for partners and \$535 for associates. Similarly, Paul
24 Hastings, another opposing counsel in these types of cases, charges between \$900 and
25 \$750 for partners and \$755 and \$335 for associates. Thus, the rates charged by BNBD
26 for comparable work are less than these examples, and are therefore undoubtedly
27 reasonable.

1 10. The reasonableness of the requested award is also established by reference
2 to similar awards in other wage and hour cases. The following are examples of similar
3 recent fee awards. On June 14, 2017, in *Smith v. Space Exploration* (Los Angeles
4 Superior Court Case No. BC554258), Judge Elihu Berle awarded a 1/3 fee award in a
5 wage and hour class settlement. On December 4, 2018, in *Panda Express Wage and*
6 *Hour Cases* (Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4919) Judge Carolyn Kuhl
7 awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour class settlement. On February 1,
8 2019, in *Solarcity Wage and Hour Cases* (San Mateo Superior Court, Case No. JCCP
9 4945) Judge Marie Weiner awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour class
10 settlement. On July 30, 2019, in *Erickson v. John Muir Health*, (Contra Costa Superior
11 Court Case No. MSC18-00307) Judge Edward Weil awarded a one-third fee award in a
12 wage and hour class settlement. On December 18, 2019, in *Velasco v. Lemonade*
13 *Restaurant Group*, (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC672235) Judge William
14 Highberger awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour class settlement. On
15 January 31, 2020, in *El Pollo Loco Wage and Hour Cases* (Orange County Superior
16 Court Case No. JCCP 4957), Judge William Claster awarded a one-third award in a
17 wage and hour class settlement. On February 11, 2020, in *Singh v. Total Renal Care*
18 (San Francisco Superior Court Case No. CGC-16-550847) Judge Ethan Schulman
19 awarded a one-third award in a wage and hour class settlement. On October 23, 2020, in
20 *Ontiveros v. Baker Concrete*, (Santa Clara Superior Court Case No. 18CV328679) Judge
21 Brian Walsh awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour class settlement. On
22 December 3, 2020, in *Blackshear v. California Fine Wine & Spirits* (Sacramento
23 Superior Court Case No. 34-2018-00245842) Judge Christopher Krueger awarded a one-
24 third fee award in a wage and hour class settlement. On April 15, 2021, in *Walker v.*
25 *Brink's Global Services USA* (Los Angeles County Superior Court Case No. BC564369)
26 Judge Amy Hogue awarded a one-third award in a wage and hour class settlement. On
27 June 2, 2021, in *Pacia v. CIM Group, L.P.* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No.
28

1 BC709666), Judge Amy D. Hogue awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour
2 class settlement. On September 13, 2021, in *Smith v. California Protection and*
3 *Investigation Services* (Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. 19STCV14719), Judge
4 Daniel Buckley awarded a one-third fee award in a wage and hour class settlement.

5 11. To date, BNBD has incurred litigation expenses of \$62,868.29. These
6 litigation expenses include the expenses incurred for filing fees, mediation fees,
7 deposition expenses, expert fees, attorney service charges (Knox and One Legal), legal
8 research charges, and delivery charges, all of which are costs normally billed to and paid
9 by the client. These costs were reasonably incurred by BNBD in the prosecution of this
10 matter and are set forth in detail in the contemporaneous billing records attached hereto
11 as Exhibit #2. I will provide an update of my firm's final expenses in advance of the
12 final approval hearing

13
14 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the
15 United States that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed this 5th day of November,
16 2021, at La Jolla, California.

17
18 /s/ Kyle Nordrehaug
19 Kyle R. Nordrehaug

EXHIBIT 1

Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP

2255 Calle Clara, La Jolla, California 92037

Tel: (858) 551-1223

Fax: (885) 551-1232

FIRM RESUME

Areas of Practice: Employee, Consumer and Securities Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Civil Litigation, Business Litigation.

ATTORNEY BIOGRAPHIES

Norman B. Blumenthal

Partner, Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP (2018 to present)

Practice Areas: Consumer and Securities Class Action, Civil Litigation, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Transactional Law

Admitted: 1973, Illinois; 1976, California

Biography: Law Clerk to Justice Thomas J. Moran, Illinois Supreme Court, 1973-1975, while on Illinois Court of Appeals. Instructor, Oil and Gas Law: California Western School of Law, 1981; University of San Diego School of Law, 1983. Sole Practitioner 1976-1987. Partner, Blumenthal & Ostroff, 1988-1995. Partner, Blumenthal, Ostroff & Markham, 1995-2001. Partner, Blumenthal & Markham, 2001-2007. Partner, Blumenthal & Nordrehaug, 2007. Partner, Blumenthal, Nordrehaug & Bhowmik, 2008-2018. Partner, Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP, 2018 - present.

Member: San Diego County, Illinois State and American Bar Associations; State Bar of California.

Educated: University of Wisconsin (B.A., 1970); Loyola University of Chicago (J.D., 1973);

Summer Intern (1971) with Harvard Voluntary Defenders

Kyle R. Nordrehaug

Partner, Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP (2018 to present)

Practice Areas: Consumer and Securities Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions, Civil Litigation

Admitted: 1999, California

Biography: Associate, Blumenthal, Ostroff & Markham, 1999-2001. Associate, Blumenthal & Markham, 2001-2007. Partner, Blumenthal & Nordrehaug, 2007. Partner, Blumenthal, Nordrehaug & Bhowmik, 2008-2017

Member: State Bar of California, Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, Third Circuit Court of Appeals

Educated: University of California at Berkeley (B.A., 1994); University of San Diego School of Law (J.D. 1999)

Awards: Top Labor & Employment Attorney 2016; Top Appellate Reversal - Daily Journal 2015; Super Lawyer 2015-2018

Aparajit Bhowmik

Partner, Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP (2018 to present)

Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Consumer Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions

Admitted: 2006, California

Educated: University of California at San Diego (B.A., 2002); University of San Diego School of Law (J.D. 2006)

Biography: Partner, Blumenthal, Nordrehaug & Bhowmik, 2008-2017

Awards: Rising Star 2015

Nicholas J. De Blouw

Partner, Blumenthal Nordrehaug Bhowmik De Blouw LLP (2018 to present)
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Consumer Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2011, California
Educated: Wayne State University (B.A. 2008); California Western School of Law (J.D. 2011)

Piya Mukherjee

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Consumer Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2010, California
Educated: University of California, San Diego (B.S. 2006); University of Southern California, Gould School of Law (J.D. 2010)

Victoria Rivapalacio

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Consumer Class Actions, Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2011, California
Educated: University of California at San Diego (B.A., 2003); George Washington University Law School (J.D. 2010)

Ricardo Ehmman

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2018, California; 2004, Nevada
Educated: University of California, San Diego (B.A. 1998); Loyola Law School (J.D. 2001)

Jeffrey S. Herman

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2011, California; 2016 Arizona
Educated: University of Michigan (B.A. 2008); California Western School of Law (J.D. 2011)

Charlotte James

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2016, California
Educated: San Diego State University; California Western School of Law

Christine Levu

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2012, California
Educated: University of California, Irvine; California Western School of Law

Andrew Ronan

Associate Attorney
Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions
Admitted: 2016, California
Educated: Arizona State University; University of San Diego School of Law

Scott Blumenthal

Associate Attorney

Practice Areas: Civil Litigation; Wage and Hour Class Actions

Admitted: 2020, New Mexico

Educated: University of Southern California; California Western School of Law

REPORTED CASES

Sakkab v. Luxottica Retail N. Am., Inc., 803 F.3d 425 (9th Cir. 2015) (The panel reversed the district court's order granting Luxottica Retail North America, Inc.'s motion to compel arbitration of claims and dismissing plaintiff's first amended complaint, in a putative class action raising class employment-related claims and a non-class representative claim for civil penalties under the Private Attorney General Act.);

Securitas Security Services USA, Inc. v. Superior Court, 234 Cal. App. 4th 1109 (Cal. Feb. 27, 2015) (Court of Appeal concluded the trial court correctly ruled that *Iskanian* rendered the PAGA waiver within the parties' dispute resolution agreement unenforceable. However, the Court of Appeal then ruled the trial court erred by failing to invalidate the non-severable class action waiver from the agreement and remanded the entire complaint, including class action and PAGA claims, be litigated in the Superior Court);

Sussex v. United States Dist. Court for the Dist. of Nev., 781 F.3d 1065 (9th Cir. 2015) (The panel determined that the district court clearly erred in holding that its decision to intervene mid-arbitration was justified under *Aerojet-General*. Specifically, the panel held that the district court erred in predicting that an award issued by the arbitrator would likely be vacated because of his "evident partiality" under 9 U.S.C. § 10(a)(2).);

Provost v. YourMechanic, Inc., 2020 Cal. App. Lexis 955 (Oct. 15, 2020) (Court of Appeals affirmed denial of arbitration of PAGA claim, and held in a case of first impression, that there was no additional standing rules for PAGA claim brought by independent contractor);

In re Tobacco Cases II, 41 Cal. 4th 1257 (2007); Washington Mutual Bank v. Superior Court, 24 Cal. 4th 906 (2001); Rocker v. KPMG LLP, 148 P.3d 703; 122 Nev. 1185 (2006); PCO, Inc. v. Christensen, Miller, Fink, Jacobs, Glaser, Weil & Shapiro, LLP, 150 Cal. App. 4th 384 (2007); Hall v. County of Los Angeles, 148 Cal. App. 4th 318 (2007); Coshov v. City of Escondido, 132 Cal. App. 4th 687 (2005); Daniels v. Philip Morris, 18 F.Supp 2d 1110 (S.D. Cal.1998); Gibson v. World Savings & Loan Asso., 103 Cal. App. 4th 1291 (2003); Jordan v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 75 Cal. App. 4th 445 (1999); Jordan v. Department of Motor Vehicles, 100 Cal.App. 4th 431 (2002); Norwest Mortgage, Inc. v. Superior Court, 72 Cal.App.4th 214 (1999); Hildago v. Diversified Transp. Sya, 1998 U.S. App. LEXIS 3207 (9th Cir. 1998); Kensington Capital Mgal. v. Oakley, Inc., 1999 U.S. Dist LEXIS 385; Fed.Sec.L.Rep. (CCH) P90, 411 (1999 C.D. Cal.); Lister v. Oakley, Inc., 1999 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 384; Fed. Sec. L. Rep. (CCH) P90,409 (C.D. Cal. 1999); Olszewski v. Scripps Health, 30 Cal. 4th 798 (2003); Steroid Hormone Product Cases, 181 Cal. App. 4th 145 (2010); Owen v. Macy's, Inc., 175 Cal. App. 4th 462 (2009); Taiheiyo Cement Corp. v. Superior Court, 117 Cal. App. 4th 380 (2004); Taiheiyo Cement Corp. v. Superior Court, 105 Cal.App. 4th 398 (2003); McMeans v. Scripps Health, Inc., 100 Cal. App. 4th 507 (2002); Ramos v. Countrywide Home Loans, 82 Cal.App. 4th 615 (2000); Tevssier v. City of San Diego, 81 Cal.App. 4th 685 (2000); Washington Mutual Bank v. Superior Court, 70 Cal. App. 4th 299 (1999); Silvas v. E*Trade Mortg. Corp., 514 F.3d 1001 (9th Cir. 2008); Silvas v. E*Trade Mortg. Corp., 421 F. Supp. 2d 1315 (S.D. Cal. 2006); McPhail v. First Command Fin. Planning, Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 26544 (S.D. Cal. 2009); McPhail v. First Command Fin. Planning, Inc., 251 F.R.D. 514 (S.D. Cal. 2008); McPhail v. First Command Fin. Planning, Inc., 247 F.R.D. 598 (S.D. Cal. 2007); Barcia v. Contain-A-Way, Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 17118 (S.D. Cal. 2009); Barcia v. Contain-A-Way, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 27365 (S.D. Cal. 2008); Wise v. Cubic Def. Applications, Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 11225 (S.D. Cal. 2009); Gabisan v. Pelican Prods., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 1391

(S.D. Cal. 2009); La Jolla Friends of the Seals v. Nat'l Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin. Nat'l Marine Fisheries Serv., 630 F. Supp. 2d 1222 (S.D. Cal. 2009); La Jolla Friends of the Seals v. Nat'l Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin. Nat'l Marine Fisheries Serv., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 102380 (S.D. Cal. 2008); Louie v. Kaiser Found. Health Plan, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 78314 (S.D. Cal. 2008); Weltman v. Ortho Mattress, Inc., 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 20521 (S.D. Cal. 2010); Weltman v. Ortho Mattress, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 60344 (S.D. Cal. 2008); Curry v. CTB McGraw-Hill, LLC, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 5920; 97 A.F.T.R.2d (RIA) 1888; 37 Employee Benefits Cas. (BNA) 2390 (N.D. Cal. 2006); Reynov v. ADP Claims Servs. Group, 2006 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94332 (N.D. Cal. 2006); Kennedy v. Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc., 2010 U.S. App. LEXIS 248 (9th Cir. 2010); Kennedy v. Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc., 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 38889 (S.D. Cal. 2008); Kennedy v. Natural Balance Pet Foods, Inc., 2007 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 57766 (S.D. Cal. 2007); Sussex v. Turnberry/MGM Grand Towers, LLC, 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 29503 (D. Nev. 2009); Picus v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc., 256 F.R.D. 651 (D. Nev. 2009); Tull v. Stewart Title of Cal., Inc., 2009 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14171 (S.D. Cal. 2009); Keshishzadeh v. Gallagher, 2010 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 46805 (S.D. Cal. 2010); Keshishzadeh v. Arthur J. Gallagher Serv. Co., 2010 U.S. Dist. Lexis 116380 (S.D. Cal. 2010); In re Pet Food Prods. Liab. Litig., MDL Docket No. 1850 (All Cases), 2008 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 94603 (D.N.J. 2008); In re Pet Food Prods. Liab. Litig., 629 F.3d 333 (3rd Cir. 2010); Puentes v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Inc., 160 Cal. App. 4th 638 (2008); Rezec v. Sony Pictures Entertainment, Inc., 116 Cal. App. 4th 135 (2004); Badillo v. Am. Tobacco Co., 202 F.R.D. 261 (D. Nev. 2001); La Jolla Friends of the Seals v. Nat'l Oceanic & Atmospheric Admin., 2010 U.S. App. Lexis 23025 (9th Cir. 2010); Dirienzo v. Dunbar Armored, Inc., 2011 U.S. Dist. Lexis 36650 (S.D. Cal. 2011); Rix v. Lockheed Martin Corp., 2011 U.S. Dist Lexis 25422 (S.D. Cal. 2011); Weitzke v. Costar Realty Info., Inc., 2011 U.S. Dist Lexis 20605 (S.D. Cal. 2011); Goodman v. Platinum Condo. Dev., LLC, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 36044 (D. Nev. 2011); Sussex v. Turnberry/MGM Grand Towers, LLC, 2011 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 14502 (D. Nev. 2011); Smith v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc., 2010 U.S. Dist. Lexis 117869 (S.D. Cal. 2010); Dobrosky v. Arthur J. Gallagher Serv. Co., LLC, No. EDCV 13-0646 JGB (SPx), 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 106345 (C.D. Cal. July 30, 2014); Metrow v. Liberty Mut. Managed Care LLC - Class Certification Granted, Metrow v. Liberty Mut. Managed Care LLC, No. EDCV 16-1133 JGB (KKx), 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 73656 (C.D. Cal. May 1, 2017); Nelson v. Avon Products, Inc., Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court for The Northern District of California, Case No. 13-cv-02276-BLF, 2015 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 51104 (N.D. Cal. Apr. 17, 2015); Orozco v. Illinois Tool Works Inc., Class Certification Granted, 2017 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 23179 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 16, 2017); Rieve v. Coventry Health Care, Summary Judgment *Sua Sponte* Granted for Plaintiff, Rieve v. Coventry Health Care, Inc., 870 F. Supp. 2d 856 (C.D. Cal. 2012)

CLASS ACTION & REPRESENTATIVE CASES

4G Wireless Wage Cases, Orange County Superior Court, JCCP No. 4736; Classic Party Rentals Wage & Hour Cases, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. JCCP No. 4672; Abu-Arafah v. Norco Delivery Service, Inc., San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-14-540601; Aburto v. Verizon, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 11-cv-0088; Adkins v. Washington Mutual Bank, Class Certification Granted, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. GIC819546; Agah v. CompUSA, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SA CV05-1087 DOC (Anx); Akers v. The San Diego Union Tribune, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No 37-2010-00088571; Altman v. SolarCity Corporation, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00023450-CU-OE-CTL; Aquino v. Macy's West Stores, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00395420; Baker v. Advanced Disability Management, Inc., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2014-00160711; Barcia v. Contain-A-Way, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 07 cv 0938; Bates v. Verengo, Inc., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2012-00619985-CU-OE-CXC; Battle v. Charming Charlie Inc., San Diego

County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00005608; Behar v. Union Bank, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2009-00317275; Bell v. John Stewart Company, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG14728792; Bennett v. Custom Built Personal Training Monterey County Superior Court, Case No. M127596; Bermant v. Bank of America, Investment Services, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court, Civil Action No. BC342505; Bethley v. Raytheon Company, United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV10-01741; Betorina v. Randstad US, L.P., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:15-cv-03646-MEJ; Beverage v. Edcoa Inc., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 2013-00138279; Bova v. Washington Mutual Bank / JP Morgan Chase, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 07-cv-2410; Bowden v. Sunset Parking Services, LLC & LAZ Parking California, LLC - Settled San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00101751-CU-OE-CTL; Briseno v. American Savings Bank, Class Certification Granted, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 774773; Brueske v. Welk Resorts, San Diego Superior Court, Case No 37-2010-00086460; Bueche v. Fidelity National Management Services, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 13-cv-01114; Bunch v. Pinnacle Travel Services, LLC, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC552048; Butler v. Stericycle, Inc & Appletree Answering Services of California, Inc., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-00180282; Cabral v. Creative Communication Tech., Class Certification Granted, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC402239; Cardoza v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 4:15-cv-01634-DMR; Castro v. Vivint Solar, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00031385-CU-OE-CTL; Cavazos v. Heartland Automotive Services, Inc., Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. PSC 1401759; Cohen v. Bosch Tool, San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIC 853562; Comstock v. Washington Mutual Bank - Class Certification Granted, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. GIC820803; Conley v. Norwest, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. N73741; Connell v. Sun Microsystems, Alameda Superior Court, Case No. RG06252310; Corrente v. Luxe Valet, Inc., San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-15-545961; Cruz v. Redfin Corporation, U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:14-cv-05234-THE; Culley v. Lincare Inc. & Alpha Respiratory Inc., U.S. District Court eastern District of California, Case No. 2:15-cv-00081-GEB-CMK; Cunningham v. Leslie's Poolmart, Inc., U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 13-cv-02122-CAS; Curry v. California Testing Bureau/McGraw Hill, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. C-05-4003 JW; Daniels, et al. v. Philip Morris,(In Re Tobacco Cases II) – Class Certification Granted, San Diego Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4042; Davis v. Genex Holdings Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-13-cv-240830; Davis v. Clear Connection, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00035173-CU-OE-CTL; Day v. WDC Exploration, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00433770; Dedrick v. Hollandia Dairy, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00004311-Cu-OE-CTL; Delmare v. Sungard Higher Education - Settled U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 07-cv-1801; Del Rio v. Tumi Stores, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-00022008-CU-OE-CTL; Dewane v. Prudential, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SA CV 05-1031; Diesel v. Wells Fargo Bank, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2011-00441368; Dirienzo v. Dunbar Armored, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-2745; Dobrosky v. Arthur J. Gallagher Service Company, LLC, Class certification Granted, No. EDCV 13-0646 JGB (Spx); Dodds v. Zaven Tootikian, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC494402; Drumheller v. Radioshack Corporation, United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV11-355; Enger v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-1670; Escobar v. Silicon Valley Security & Patrol, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-14-cv272514; Fierro v. Chase Manhattan - Class Certification Granted, Settled San Diego Superior Court, Case No. GIN033490; Figueroa v. Circle K Stores, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00101193-CU-OE-CTL; Finch v. Lamps Plus, (Lamps Plus Credit Transaction Cases), San Diego Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4532; Fletcher v. Verizon, U.S. District Court, Southern

District of California, Case No. 09-cv-1736; Francisco v. Diebold, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-1889; Friend v. Wellpoint, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC345147; Frudakis v. Merck Sharp & Dohme, U.S. District Court, Central District California, Case No. SACV 11-00146; Fulcher v. Olan Mills, Inc., U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 11-cv-1821; Gabisan v. Pelican Products, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08 cv 1361; Galindo v. Sunrun Installation Services Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-00008350-CU-OE-CTL; Gallagher v. Legacy Partners Commercial, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 112-cv-221688; Ghattas v. Footlocker Retail, Inc., U.S. District Court Central District of California, Case No. CV 13-0001678 PA; Gibson v. World Savings, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 762321; Goerzen v. Interstate Realty Management, Co., Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. 679545; Gomez v. Enterprise Rent-A-Car, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:10-cv-02373; Gordon v. Wells Fargo Bank, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:11-cv-00090; Grabowski v. CH Robinson, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 10-cv-1658; Gross v. ACS Compiq Corporation, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2012-00587846-CU-OE-CXC; Gripenstraw v. Buffalo Wild Wings, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 12-CV-00233; Gruender v. First American Title, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 06 CC 00197; Guillen v. Univision Television Group, Inc. & Univision Management Co., San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-12-526445; Gujjar v. Consultancy Services Limited, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00365905; Gutierrez v. Five Guys Operations, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00086185-CU-OE-CTL; Handler v. Oppenheimer, Los Angeles Superior Court, Civil Action No. BC343542; Harley v. Tavistock Freebirds, LLC, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2014-00173010; Harrington v. Corinthian Colleges – Class Certification Granted, Orange Superior Court; United States Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware; Harvey v. PQ Operations, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC497964; Henshaw v. Home Depot U.S.A., United States District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV10-01392; Heithold v. United Education Institute, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00623416-CU-OE-CXC; Hibler v. Coca Cola Bottling, Settled U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 11cv0298; Hildebrandt v. TWC Administration LLC & Time Warner NY Cable, LLC, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. ED-cv-13-02276-JGB; Hopkins v. BCI Coca-Cola Bottling Company of Los Angeles, United states District Court, Central District of California; U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Circuit; Howard v. Southern California Permanente Medical Group, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC586369; Hughes v. Parexel International, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC485950; Hurley v. Comcast of California/Colorado/Texas/Washington, Inc., Sonoma County Superior Court, Case No. SCV-253801; Irving v. Solarcity Corporation, San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. CIV525975; Jacobs v. Nu Horizons - Settled Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 111cv194797; Jefferson v. Bottling Group LLC (Pepsi) - Class Certification Granted, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2009-0018010; Jones v. E*Trade Mortgage, U.S. District Court, Southern District California Case No. 02-CV-1123 L (JAH); Kennedy v. Natural Balance - Dismissal Reversed on Appeal, San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2007-00066201; Keshishzadeh v. Arthur J. Gallagher Service Co., U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-0168; Kinney v. AIG Domestic Claims / Chartis, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 8:10-cv-00399; Kizer v. Tristar Risk Management, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2014-00707394-CU-OE-CXC; Kleinberg v. Reeve Trucking Company, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-00001601-CU-OE-CTL; Kove v. Old Republic Title, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG09477437; Krellcom v. Medley Communications, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2013-00050245-CU-OE-CTL; Ladd v. Extreme Recovery, LP, Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. MSC11-02790; Langille v. EMC, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-0168; Lawson v. Marquee Staffing, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00103717-

CU-OE-CTL; Lazar v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-14-cv-273289; Lemmons v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2012-00125488; Levine v. Groeniger, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG09476193; Linder v. OCWEN (In re Ocwen Federal Bank FSB Servicing Litig.) U.S. District Court, Central District California, Case No. 07cv501, U.S. District Court, Northern Dist. Illinois, Case No. MDL 1604; Litton v. Diebold, Incorporated, San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. CIV524776; Lohn v. Sodexo, Inc. & SDH Services West, LLC, U.S. District Court Central District of California, Case No. 2:15-CV-05409; Lopez v. K-Mart, Ventura County Superior Court, Case No. BC351983; Louie / Stringer v. Kaiser, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08-cv-0795; Lucero v. Sears, U.S. District Court Southern District of California, Case No. 3:14-cv-01620-AJB; Lucero v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2013-00075933-CU-OE-CTL; Magallanes v. TSA Stores, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-15-cv-283586; Magana v. El Pollo Loco, Inc., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2012-00613901-CU-OE-CXC; Maitland v. Marriott, U.S. District Court, Central District California, Case No. SACV 10-00374; Mann v. NEC Electronics America, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 109CV132089; Martinez v. Hydro-Scape Products, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00029157-CU-OE-CTL; Mathies v. Union Bank - Class Certification Granted, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-10-498077; McDermott v. Catalina Restaurant Group Inc., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2012-00574113-CU-OE-CXC; McPhail v. First Command, United States District Court for the Southern District of California, Case No.05CV0179 IEG (JMA); Medina v. Universal Protection Service, LP, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. BC572848; Meierdiercks v. 8x8, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 110CV162413; Metrow v. Liberty Mut. Managed Care LLC - Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court Eastern District of California, Case No. 16-1133 JGB (Kkx); Meyer v. Thinktank Learning, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-15-cv-282698; Morales v. Wells Fargo Insurance Services USA, Inc., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:13-cv-03867-EDL; Morse v. Marie Callender Pie Shop, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 09-cv-1305; Moynihan v. Escalante Golf, Inc. & Troon Golf, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00083250-CU-OE-CTL; Muntz v. Lowe's HIW, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. GIC880932; Najarian v. Macy's West Stores, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00418401; Nelson v. Avon Products, Inc., Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court for The Northern District of California, Case No. 13-cv-02276-BLF; Nguyen v. Wells Fargo Home Mortgage, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 05 CC 00116; Ochoa v. Eisai, Inc., U.S. District Court, Northern District California, Case No. 3:11-cv-01349; Ogans v. Nationwide Credit, Inc., Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2012-00121054; Ohayon v. Hertz, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 11-1662; Olvera v. El Pollo Loco, Inc., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2014-00707367-CU-OE-CXC; Orozco v. Illinois Tool Works Inc., Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 14-cv-02113-MCE; Ortega v. Prime Healthcare Paradise Valley, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2014-00011240-CU-OE-CTL; Patel v. Nike Retail Services, Inc., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:14-cv-04781-RS; Patelski v. The Boeing Company, United States District Court, Southern District of New York; transferred to United States District Court, Eastern District of Missouri; Pearlman v. Bank of America, San Diego Superior Court; Perry v. AT&T, U.S. District Court, Northern District California, Case No. 11-cv 01488; Picus v. Wal-Mart Stores, U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, Case No. 2:07-CV-00682; Pittard v. Salus Homecare, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08 cv 1398; Port v. Southern California Permanente Medical Group, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2007-00067538; Postema v. Lawyers Title Ins. Corp., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00418901; Pratt v. Verizon, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00430447; Proctor v. Ameriquest, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 06CC00108; Ramirez v. Estenson Logistics, LLC, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2015-00803197-CU-OE-CXC; Ray

v. Lawyers Title, Fidelity National, Commonwealth Land Title, Chicago Title, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2010-00359306; Renazco v. Unisys Technical Services, L.L.C., San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-14-539667; Reynolds v. Marlboro/Philip Morris U.S.A., United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, Case No. 08-55114, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 05 CV 1876 JAH; Rezec v. Sony, San Diego Superior Court; Rix v. Lockheed Martin Corporation, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-2063; Rieve v. Coventry Health Care, Summary Judgment Sua Sponte Granted for Plaintiff, Rieve v. Coventry Health Care, Inc., 870 F. Supp. 2d 856 (C.D. Cal. 2012); Ritchie v. Mauran Ambulance Services, Inc., Los Angeles County, Case No. BC491206; Rivers v. Veolia Transportation Services, Class Certification Granted, Sonoma County Superior Court, Case No. SCV 255350; Roeh v. JK Hill, San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2011-00089046; Rodriguez v. Protransport-1, LLC, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-12-522733; Romero v. Central Payment Co., LLC, Marin County Superior Court, Case No. CIV 1106277; Salas v. Evolution Hospitality, LLC, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00083240-CU-OE-CTL; Salem v. Alliance Human Services, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. CIVRS1401129; Sanchez v. Beena Beauty Holding, Inc. d/b/a Planet Beauty, Los Angeles County Superior Court, BC566065; Santone v. AT&T – Settled United States District Court, Southern District of Alabama; Santos v. Sleep Train (Sleep Train Wage and Hour Cases), Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2008-00214586, San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4553; Saravia v. O.C. Communciations, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-00180734; Sawyer v. Vivint, Inc., U.S. District Court, Northern District of Illinois, Case No. 1:14-cv-08959; Sayaman v. Baxter Healthcare, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. CV 10-1040; Schuler v. Ecolab, Inc., U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:10-cv-02255; Schulz v. Qualxserv, LLC / Worldwide Techservices - Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 09-cv-0017; Serrato v. Sociedad Textil Lonja, Corp., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00101195-CU-OE-CTL; Shrivastara v. Fry's Electronics, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 111cv192189; Sierra v. Oakley Sales Corp., Orange County Superior Court, U.S. District Court Central District of California; U.S. Court of Appeals 9th Circuit; Sirota v. Swing-N-Slide, Wisconsin District Court, County of Rock Wisconsin, Case No. 95CV726J; Small v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals - Settled San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2011-00099011-CU-OE-CTL; Smith v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 08-cv-02353; Smith v. Fedex Ground Package system, Inc., Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG14734322; Sones v. World Savings / Wachovia; U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 3:08-cv-04811; Spradlin v. Trump, U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, Case No. 2:08-cv-01428; Steele v. Kaiser Foundation Health Plan, U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 07-5743; Steffan v. Fry's Electronics, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-13-CV-254011; Steroid Hormone Product Cases, Los Angeles Superior Court, JCCP4363; Strauss v. Bayer Corporation, United States District Court, District of Minnesota; Sustersic v. International Paper Co., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2009-00331538; Sutton v. Seasons Hospice & Palliative Care of California, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC590870; Swartout v. First Alarm Security & Patrol, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 112-cv-231989; Talamantez v. The Wellpoint Companies, Inc., U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 12-cv-08058; Tan v. California State Automobile Assn. - Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court, Central District California, Case No. 07cv1011, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2008-00231219; Tauber v. Alaska Airlines, et al., Los Angeles Superior Court; Thai v. Staff Assistance, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC567943; Thomas v. Stanford Health Care d/b/a Stanford University Medical Center, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 1-14-cv-273362; Thomas-Byass v. Michael Kors Stores (California), Inc., U.S. District Court Central District of California, Case No. 5:15-cv-00369-JGB; Trujillo v. LivHome, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2008-00100372, San Diego

County Superior Court, Case No. JCCP4570; Tull v. Stewart Title, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08-CV-1095; Turner v. C.R. England, U.S. District Court Central District of California, Case No. 5:14-cv-02207-PSG; Turner v. Ampac Fine Chemicals, LLC, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2015-00176993; Valadez v. Schering-Plough, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 10-CV-2595; Van Gorp v. Ameriquest Mortgage/Deutsche Bank, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV05-907 CJC (Anx); Varela v. The Walking Company, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC562520; Veloz v. Ross Dress For Less, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC485949; Vogel v. Price-Simms, Inc., Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 114CV261268; Vrab v. DNC Parks & Resorts at Tenaya, Inc., Mariposa County Superior Court, Case No. 0010225; Vultaggio-Kish v. Golden State Lumber, Inc., San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. CIV 51661; Wadhwa v. Escrow Plus, Los Angeles Superior Court; Waldhart v. Mastec North America, Inc., San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1419318; Walker v. Brink's Global Services USA, Inc. & Brinks Incorporated, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC564369; Walsh v. Apple, Inc., U.S. District Court, Northern District California, Case No. 08-04918; Weinman v. Midbar Condo Development (Las Vegas One), U.S. District Court, District of Nevada, Case No. 2:08-cv-00684; Weltman v. Ortho Mattress - Class Certification Granted, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08-cv-0840, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2009-00327802; West v. Jerome's Furniture Warehouse, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2013-00147707-CU-OE-GDS; Wheat v. Jerome's Furniture Warehouse, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00094419-CU-OE-CTL; Wietzke v. Costar Realty, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 09-cv-2743; Williams v. Lockheed Martin Corporation, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 3:09-cv-01669; Wilson v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc., U.S. District Court Central District of California, Case No. 8:14-cv-1021-FMO; Winston v. Lemore Transportation, Inc., Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. C-15-00897; Wise v. Cubic, U.S. District Court, Southern District California, Case No. 08-cv-2315; Witman v. Level 3 Communications, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2012-00091649-CU-OE-CTL; Yam v. Kaiser Foundation Hospitals, U.S. District Court, Northern District California, Case No. 10-cv-05225-SBA; Zurlo v. Mission Linen, U.S. District Court, Central District, Case No. 08cv1326; Baxt v. Scor U.S., Delaware Court of Chancery; Bronson v. Blech Securities - Settled U.S. District Court, Southern District of New York; Castro & Cardwell v. B & H Education, Inc., Los Angeles Superior Court Case No. BC456198; Dibella v. Olympic Financial, U.S. District Court, District of Minnesota; Doyle v. Lorna Jane USA, Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC526837; Estrella v. B-Per Electronic, Inc. & My Wireless, Inc., San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2013-00048951-CU-OE-CTL; Ferrari v. Read-Rite, U. S. District Court, Northern District of California; Forever 21 Wage and Hour Cases - Settled San Diego County Superior Court, JCC Proceeding No. 4745; Hart v. United States Tobacco Co., Los Angeles Superior Court; In re Bank of America Wage and Hour Employment Practices Litigation, U.S. District Court, District of Kansas, Case No. MDL 2138; In re Walgreen Co. Wage and Hour Litigation, U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 11-cv-07664; Jackson v. Fresh & Easy Neighborhood Market Inc., Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC497964; U.S. Bankruptcy Court District of Delaware Case No. 13-12569 (KJC); Jordan/Ramos v. DMV -Sacramento County Superior Court; Kensington Capital v. Oakley, U. S. District Court, Southern District of California; Kensington Capital v. Vesta, U. S. District Court, Northern District of Alabama; Lopez v. Tire centers, LLC, U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 3:13-cv-05444-JCS; Miller v. Western Athletic Clubs, LLC, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 112-cv-228670; Moffett v. WIS International, San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2011-00099909-CU-OE-CTL; Perez v. Urban Outfitters, Inc., U.S. District Court Northern District of California, Case No. 13-cv-02628-JSW; Ridgewood Capital Management v. Gensia, U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, #CV-92-1500H; Sandoval v. Redfin Corporation, U.S. District Court Northern District, Case No. 3:14-cv-04444-SC; Shurman v. Scimed, State of Minnesota District Court, Fourth District,

#94-17640; Sioson v. AMP Holding, Inc., Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00663825; Slatton v. G.E. Capital Mortgage Services, Camden County Superior Court, New Jersey, #CAML0256198; Somkin v. Molten Metal, U.S. District Court, District of Massachusetts, #9710325PBS; Sparks v AT&T, Illinois District Court - Madison County; Sullivan v. Lyon Management Group, Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2013-00649432-CU-BT-CXC; Herencia v. Alexander's Steakhouse, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-16-550551; Reinhardt v. Beverly Fabrics, Inc. – Sonoma County Superior Court, Case No. SCV-257217; DeBettencourt v. Interstate realty Management Company – San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2015-0011942; Torres v. Bhandal Bros, Inc. – Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Case No. 16CV01555; Rodriguez v. El Toro Medical Investors Limited Partnership – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 16-CV-00059-JLS-KES; Velez v. Timec Specialty Services, Inc. & Transfield Services – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC614318; Henry v. Central Freight Lines, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 16-CV-00280-JAM-EFB; Taylor v. TIC – The Industrial Company – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 16-CV-00186-VAP(SPX); Harvey v. Sears, Roebuck And Co. – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2017-00207556; Tapia v. Panda Express, LLC et al. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, JCCP No. 4919; Severson v. Lowe's HIW, Inc. – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2016-00189508; Bendon v. DTG Operations, Inc. - U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 16-CV-00861-FMO-AGR; Talavera v. ACS Dataline, LP – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC617159; McHenry v. Prologix Distribution Services (West), LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC608948; Stone v. Prologistics Distribution, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, JCCP No 4881; Easton v. Handy Technologies, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00004419-CU-OE-CTL; Singh v. Total Renal Care, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-16-550847; Conners v. Rag Traders Melrose, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC591413; Saporito v. Space Explorations Technologies Corporation, Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC554258; Calhoun v. Celadon Trucking Services, Inc., U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 16-CV-01351-PSG-FFM; Conners v. Mission Valley Kilt, LLC - San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2015-00036888-CU-OE-CTL; Shibley v. New Prime, Inc. - U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 17-CV-00321-DOC; Lawrenz v. Blacktalon Enterprises, Inc. - Sonoma County Superior Court, Case No. SCV-258205; Jamison v. Fitness 19 CA 121, LLC - Solano County Superior Court, Case No. FCS046697; Brooks v. Archer Trucking, Inc. – Mendocino County Superior Court, Case No. SCUK-CVG-16-67106; Montgomery v. New Prime, Inc. - San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1611884; Mills v. Core-Mark International, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, case No. 37-2016-00009669-CU-OE-CTL; Lopez v. Networked Insurance Agents, LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2016-00843587-CU-OE-CXC; Yberri v. Agent Provocateur, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC620413; Woodard v. BKD Twenty-One Management Company, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00009682-CU-OE-CTL; Gallagher v. H.H. Restaurant, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00031247-CU-OE-CTL; San Nicolas v. West Covina Corporate Fitness, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC16304; Summerlin v. Maplebear Inc., d/b/a Instacart – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC 603030; Padilla v. Sutter West Bay Hospitals – San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. CIV538977; Quagliariello v. Victory Entertainment, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC620273; Mohammad v. Tee It Up LLC – Contra Costa Superior Court, Case No. C16-01188; Pucilowski v. Esurance Insurance Services, Inc. – Placer County Superior Court, Case No. SCV0038790; Arias v. Alamitos Enterprises, LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2016-00865183-CU-OE-CXC; Orzano v. Hazelwood Enterprises, Inc. - San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00029231-CU-OE-CTL; Tejero v. Firstmed Ambulance Services, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2016-00885355-CU-OE-CXC; Artis v. T-W Transport, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00013010-CU-OE-CTL; Searles v.

Navajo Express, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1613846; Lara v. Commercial Protective Service, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC648921; Picos v. Culinart of California, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. JCCP 4892; Samaniego v. A&I Transport, Inc. – Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Case No. 16CV01894; Bailey v. Romanoff Floor Covering, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 17-CV-00685-TLN-CMK; Aguirre v. Bitech, Inc. – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2016-002022; Phillips v. DI Overnite LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00016800-CU-OE-CTL; Jacob v. Pride Transport, Inc. – Santa Cruz County Superior Court, Case No. 16CV1337; Bennett v. Heartland Express, Inc. of Iowa – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00015056-CU-OE-CTL; Stapf v. Mercer Health & Benefits Administration LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC643007; Armstrong v. Ruan Transport Corporation – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1605897; Geiger v. Floyd’s 99-California LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2016-00874943-CU-OE-CXC; Mondrian v. Trius Trucking, Inc. – Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 16CECG01501; Johnson v. Fedex Office and Print Services, Inc. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG17856291; Rios v. Pacific Western Bank - San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00038083; Sanders v. Old Dominion Freight Lines, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00030725-CU-OE-CTL; Taylor v. Gardner Trucking, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1614280; Couture v. Wal-Mart Associates, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, case No. 16-CV-02202-VC; Bertuol v. AHMC Anaheim Regional Medical Center LP – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2017-00899024-CO-OE-CXC; Espinoza v. Prime Communications of California, LLC – San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 16CIV01563; Archuletta v. Tidy Services, Inc. – Orange County Superior court, Case No. 30-2016-008611892-CU-OE-CXC; Puccini v. Earthbound Farm, LLC – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV308643; Vikram v. First Student Management, LLC – U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 17-CV-04656-KAW; Blair v. Ashley Distribution Services, LTD. – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 17-CV-01427-JAK-SP; Richardson v. Service Staffing, LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2017-00899039-CU-OE-CXC; Coffin v. Certified Freight Logistics, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2016-00036523-CU-OE-CTL; Encarnacion v. S.A.S. Services Group, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00026726-CU-OE-CTL; Vasquez v. Golden State Overnight Delivery Service, Inc. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG17862924; Karr v. Tristar Managed Care, Inc. – Contra Costa Superior Court, case No. MSC17-00650; Gouveia v. Central Cal Transportation – San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2017-0001765; Miranda v. Genex Services, LLC – U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 17-CV-01438-JD; Spears v. Health Net of California, Inc. – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2017-00210560; Martinez v. Geil Enterprises, Inc. – Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 17CECG01879; McComack v. Marriott Ownership Resorts, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 17CV1663 BEN WVG; Velasco v. Lemonade Restaurant Group, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC672235; Smith v. Personnel Services, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case NO. 17-CV-03594-SK; Gabriel v. Kuni SDA, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-000251191-CU-OE-CTL; Miller v. Mattress Firm, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV313148; Provost v. Yourmechanic, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00024056-CU-OE-CTL; Zirpolo v. UAG Stevens Creek II, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV313457; Salazar v. Aids Healthcare Foundation – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00033482-CU-OE-CTL; Knipe v. Amazon.com, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2017-00029426-CU-OE-CTL; Erwin v. Caremeridian, LLC – Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 17CECG03048; Davis v. Cox Communications California, LLC – U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 16-CV-00989-BAS-BLM; Lara v. RMI International, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC597695; Harper v. C.R. England, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Utah Central

Division, Case No. 16-CV-00906-DB; Mrazik v. C.H. Robinson Company – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 12-CV-02067-CAS-PLA; Horn v. Rise Medical Staffing, LLC – U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-01967-MCE-KJN; Pasallo v. GSG Protective Services CA Inc. – San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00037611-CU-OE-CTL; Smith v. Pacific Personnel Services, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 17-cv-03594-SK; Terrado v. Accredited Debt Relief, LLC – San Diego Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00014181-CU-OE-CTL; Escobedo v. Pacific Western Bank – Los Angeles Superior Court, Case No. BC682686; Wade v. Automobile Club of Southern California – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2017-00960268-CU-OE-CXC; Montano v. American Automobile Association of Northern California – Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. CIVMSC18-01539; Perez v. Summit Interconnect, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2018-00995403-CU-OE-CXC; Wolleson v. Gosch Imports, Inc. – Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC170356; Banuelos v. Ortho Mattress, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01161304-CU-OE-CXC; Castellanos v. Miller Automotive Group, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC699211; Tressler v. Spoonful Management, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC71940; Delph v. Employee Retention Services, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00007885; Romero v. May Trucking Company – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 5:17-cv-02166-JGB-SHK; Miranda v. Genex Services, LLC – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1700779; Moore v. Zirx Transportation Services, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-566655; Sottile v. Motion Recruitment Partners – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 18CV321677; Shahbazian v. Fast Auto Loans, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Central District of California, Case No. 2:18-cv-03076-ODW-KS; Salazar v. Johnson & Johnson Consumer Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC702468; Conti v. L’Oreal USA S/D, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Eastern District of California, Fresno, Case No. 1:19-CV-00769-LJO-SKO; Mercado v. Security Industry Specialists, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV320059; Vikili v. Dignity Health – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-569456; Bagby v. Swissport SA, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC691058; Henry v. Motion Entertainment Group, LLC – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC18565643; Dandoy v. West Coast Convenience, LLC – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. HG20051121; Lanuza v. AccentCare, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-565521; Thomas v. Easy Driving School, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00047639-CU-OE-CTL; Erickson v. Erickson – Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. MSC18-00307; Martin v. Menzies Aviation (USA) Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-566072; Mortimer v. Healthsouth Bakersfield Rehabilitation Hospital, LLC – Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-18-102761; Alcaraz v. Red Lion Hotels Corporation – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-570310; Calhoun v. Total Transportation and Distribution, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00058681-CU-OE-CTL; Rataul v. Overton Security Services, Inc. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG18891882; Beltran v. Compass Bank – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00024475-CU-OE-CTL; Kirshner v. Touchstone Golf, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00028865-CU-OE-CTL; Pizarro v. The Home Depot, Inc. – U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Georgia-Atlanta Division; Hatanaka v. Restore Rehabilitation, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00034780-CU-OE-CTL; Faria v. Carriage Funeral Holdings, Inc. – Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. MSC18-00606; Ontiveros v. Baker Concrete Construction, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 18CV328679; Morales v. Redlands Automotive Services, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1807525; Ramirez v. Carefusion Resources, LLC – U.S. District Court, Southern District of California; Amster v. Starbucks Corporation – San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1922016; Kutzman v. Derrel’s Mini Storage, Inc. – U.S. District, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1:18-cv-00755-AWI-JLT; Marks v. Universal Propulsion Company, Inc. – Solano County Superior Court, Case No. FCS051608; Martinez v. Geil Enterprises,

Inc. – Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 17CECG01480; Teniente v. Cirrus Asset Management, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV16302; Blackshear v. California Fine Wine & Spirits LLC – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2018-00245842; Warnick v. Golden Gate America West LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC714176; Bennett v. Dnata Aviation USA, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-18-566911; George v. PF Stockton Fitness LLC – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2019-00261113-CU-OE-GDS; Oshana v. Farmers and Merchants Bank of Central California – Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. CV-19-003427; Vasquez v. Packaging Corporation of America, – U.S. District Court, California Central District, Case No. 2:19-cv-01935-PSG-PLA; Palomino v. Zara USA Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2018-00992682-CU-OE-CXC; Simmons v. Joe & The Juice LA, LLC – San Francisco County Superior Court; Pacia v. CIM Group, L.P. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC709666; Flores v. Plastic Express – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. BC71971; Madera v. William Warren Properties, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01055704-CU-OE-CXC; Hernandez v. Quality Custom Distribution – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2018-01010611-CU-OE-CXC; Arango v. Schlumberger Technology Corporation – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01056839-CU-OE-CXC; Dandoy v. West Coast Convenience, LLC – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. HG20051121; Ramirez v. JEH Enterprises, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-574691; Sullen v. First Service Residential California, LLC – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-19-575131; Valentino v. East Bay Tire Co. – Solano County Superior Court, Case No. FCS053067; Murphy v. Rockler Retail Group, Inc. – Sacramento Superior Court, Case No. 34-2019-00251220; Shahbazian v. Onewest Bank – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV23722; Bruemmer v. Tempur Retail Stores LLC – Marin County Superior Court, Case No. CIV1803646; Antonios v. Interface Rehab, Inc. – Orange County Superior, Case No. 30-2019-01067547-CU-OE-CXC; Tavallodi v. DC Auto, Inc. – San Bernardino, Case No. CIVDS1833598; Miranda v. The Lloyd Pest Control Co. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00052510-CU-OE-CTL; Soenardi v. Magnussen Imports, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 18CV340003; Thai v. Team Industrial Services, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV21953; Castillo v. A.J. Kirkwood & Associates, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV04435; Moss v. Jabil Inc. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. HG20050536; Billosillo, Jr. v. Crown Energy Services, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2018-00058254-CU-OE-CTL; Tarkington v. Freetime, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00011473-CU-OE-CTL; McIntyre v. J.J.R. Enterprises, Inc. – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2019-00251220; Bucur v. Pharmaca Integrative Pharmacy, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00009409-CU-OE-CTL; Batin v. McGee Air Services, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 19CV347733; Terry v. McGee Air Services, Inc. – King County Superior Court of Washington, Case No. 19-2-3321-5 KNT; Weiss v. Niznik Behavioral Health Resources, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00039441-CU-OE-CTL; Cavada v. Inter-Continental Hotels Group, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Southern District of California, Case No. 3:19-cv-01675-GPC-AHG; Lesevic v. Spectraforce Technologies, Inc. – U.S. District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 5:19-cv-03126-LHK; Mutchler v. Circle K Stores, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00016331-CU-OE-CTL; Azima v. CSI Medical Group, – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 19CV345450; Porras v. Baypointe Enterprises, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV31015; Mitchell v. Mack Trucking, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1928334; Watts v. T.R.L. Systems, Incorporated – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01102457-CU-OE-CXC; Price v. DMSD Restaurants Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00024062-CU-OE-CTL; Jacobs v. Nortek Security & Control LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-0019735-CU-OE-CTL; Gonzalez v. Hub International Midwest – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1900463; Cisneros v. Bluepearl California, Inc. – San Mateo Superior Court, Case No. 19-

CIV-05707; Garcia v. Gallagher Basset Services – San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2004140; Callow v. Adventist Health System/West – Placer County Superior Court, Case No. SCV0043607; Dominguez v. Kimco Facility Services, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV37592; Searles v. Robert Heath Trucking, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCY30808; Rangel v. Pioneer Hi-Bred international, Inc. – Yolo County Superior Court, Case No. CV-19-1797; Ivon v. Sinclair Television of California, Humboldt County Superior Court, Case No. DR190699; Williams v. Henkels & McCoy, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2003888; Cano v. Larry Green Chrysler Jeep Dodge, Inc. – Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. BLC1900184; Lopez v. Cepheid – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 19CV358827; Hernandez v. Quick Dispense, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV29405; Lopez v. Lacoste USA, Inc. – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS1914626; Duhe v. Hospital Couriers Nevada, LLC – Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. MSC19-01377; Law v. Sequoia Equities, Incorporated – Contra Costa Superior Court, Case No. C19-01925; Dvorak v. Rockwell Collins, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2019-00064397-CU-OE-CTL; Noguera v. Metal Container Corporation – Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC2003235; Leon v. Miller Event Management, Inc. – San Luis Obispo Superior Court, Case No. 19CV-0435; Leon v. Miller Event Management, Inc. – San Luis Obispo County Superior Court, Case No. 19CV-0435, Camacho-Bias v. Serve U Brands Inc. – Butte County Superior Court, Case No. 20CV00603; La Pietra v. Entertainment Partners Services, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV39529; Celis v. Theatre Box - San Diego, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. ____; Ignacio v. Laboratory Corporation of America – U.S. District Court, California Central District, Case No. 2:19-cv-06079-AB-RAO; Kovnas v. Cahill Contractors LLC – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG19037852; Hersh v. Mrs. Gooch's Natural Food – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 19STCV10444; Miller v. The Permanente Medical Group – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG19045904; Vasquez v. Autoalert, LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2019-01114549-CU-OE-CXC; Cavanaugh v. Morton Golf, LLC – Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-2019-00270176; Coley v. Monroe Operations, LLC – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG20063188; Ramirez v. Sierra Aluminum Company – U.S. District Court, California Central District Court, Case No. 5:20-cv-00417-JGB-KK; Marrero v. Stat Med, P.C. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. HG19043214; Enriquez v. Solari Enterprises, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV11129; Craig v. Hometown Heart – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-20-582454; Lopez v. Hy0Lang Electric California, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00012543-CU-OE-CTL; Heuklom v. Clara Medical Group, P.C. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-20-585918; Dominguez v. Lifesafer of Northern California – Monterey County Superior Court, Case No. 20CV002586; Kiseleva v. Totalmed Staffing Inc. – U.S. District Court, California Northern District, Case No. 5:19-cv-06480; Vires v. Sweetgreen, Inc. – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 20CV365918; Kim v. Wireless Vision, LLC – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2000074; Senoren v. Air Canada Corporation – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV13942; Clark v. Quest Diagnostics Incorporated – San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2018707; Green v. Shipt, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV01001; Respass v. The Scion Group LLC – Sacramento County Superior County, Case No. 34-2020-00285265; Jackson v. Decathlon USA LLC – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG2003024; Avacena v. FTG Aerospace Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV28767; Perez v. Butler America, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV20218; Christensen v. Carter's Retail, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01138792-CU-OE-CXC; Astudillo v. Torrance Health Association, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV18424; Hansen v. Holiday AI Management Sub LLC – Contra Costa County Superior Court, Case No. CIVMSC20-00779; Almahdi v. Vitamin Shoppe Industries Inc – Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 20CV365150; Krisinda v. Loyal Source Government Services LLC – U.S. District Court, California

Southern District, Case No. 3:20-cv-879-LAB-NLS; Ettedgui v. WB Studio Enterprises Inc – U.S. District Court, California Central District, Case No. 2:20-CV-08053-MCS (MAAx); Fernandez v. Nuvision Federal Credit Union – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01161691-CU-OE-CJC; Aviles v. UPS Supply Chain Solutions, Inc. – Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC2000727; Alcocer v. DSV Solutions, LLC – San Bernardino Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS2010345; Wilson v. Wholesome Harvest Baking, LLC – U.S. District Court, California Northern District, Case No. 4:20-cv-05186-YGR; Gregory v. Verio Healthcare, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV37254; Rose v. Impact Group, LLC – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01141107-CU-OE-CXC; Monasterio v. Citibank, N.A. – San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 20-CIV-03650; Martinez-Lopez v. Medamerica, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00034393-CU-OE-CTL; Cox v. PRB Management, LLC – Solano County Superior Court, Case No. FCS055514; Nash v. K. Hovnanian Companies, LLC – Riverside County Superior Court, Case No. RIC2003319; Kyler v. Harbor Freight Tools USA, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00015828-CU-OE-CTL; Roberts v. Solantic Corporation – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV41117; Price v. Mistras Group, Inc. – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV22485; Macias v. ABM Electrical & Lighting Solutions, Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00024997-CU-OE-CTL; Basu-Kesselman v. Garuda Labs, Inc. – San Francisco County Superior Court, Case No. CGC-20-585229; Armstrong v. Prometric LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV29967; Ashlock v. Advantis Medical Staffing, LLC – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00022305-CU-OE-CTL; Wilson v. WXI Global Solutions, LLC – Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No. 20STCV25007; Gandhale v. Select Rehabilitation, LLC – Monterey County Superior Court, Case No. 20CV002240; Starvoice v. G4S Secure Solutions (USA) Inc. – San Diego County Superior Court, Case No. 37-2020-00029421-CU-OE-CTL; Mbise v. Axlehire, Inc. – Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG20067350; Points v. C&J Services, Inc. – Kern County Superior Court, Case No. BCV-20-102483; Marshall v. PHI Air Medical, LLC – Lassen County Superior Court, Case No. 62973; Jauregui v. Cytotec Engineered Materials, Inc. – Orange County Superior Court, Case No. 30-2020-01164932-CU-OE-CXC

EXHIBIT 2

BLUMENTHAL NORDREHAUG
#6630BHOWMIK DE BLOUW LLP
2255 CALLE CLARA
LA JOLLA, CA 92037
(858) 551-1223

NOVEMBER 5, 2021

ADRIAN AVILES

RE: UPS SUPPLY CHAIN SOLUTIONS INC.
FILE NUMBER: CA1912.001

ATTORNEY FEES

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
12/04/18	AJB	REVIEW MEDIATION CORRESPONDENCE DOCUMENT FROM MARK RUDY'S OFFICE. CALENDAR MEDIATION AND NALYZE NEXT STEPS TO PREPARE FOR MEDIATION.	1.50	725	1,087.50
08/23/19	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE STATE AND FEDERAL COURT DOCKETS FOR RELATED LITIGATION. ANALYZE OVERLAP WITH CURRENT CASE. REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEFENDANT'S BUSINESS OPERATIONS TO DETERMINE POTENTIAL CLASS SIZE.	3.50	695	2,432.50
08/24/19	ND	LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING SECOND MEAL PERIOD CLAIMS. LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING BAG CHECK AND CLASS CERTIFICATION ORDERS IN FEDERAL COURT. CALL WITH CLIENT.	4.20	695	2,919.00
08/25/19	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE EMPLOYMENT FILE FOR LEGAL CLAIMS. CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS. REVIEW AND ANALYZE ALL PAYSTUBS FOR REGULAR RATE CLAIMS.	4.50	695	3,127.50
09/14/19	ND	DRAFT ORIGINAL COMPALINT. REVISE SECURITY CHECK ALLEGATIONS AND 226 CAUSE OF	4.50	695	3,127.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		ACTION. REVIEW AND ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S PAYSTUBS.			
09/19/19	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE/FORWARD TO MEDIATOR CORR FROM LFJ. REVIEW/ANALYZE RESPONSE. DRAFT/SEND PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF LITIGATION TO MEDIATOR W/ COURT ORDERS AS ATTACHMENTS, INCLUDING HISTORY OF FEE SPLIT AGREEMENTS AND STATUS OF COMMUNICATIONS WITH VARIOUS ATTORNEYS IN CASE, INCLUDING FILING HISTORIES OF THOSE CASES.	2.50	725	1,812.50
09/20/19	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE DRAFT JPA FROM LFJ. REVIEW CORR. FROM MEDIATOR RE PRE-MEDIATION CALL AND ANALYZE ISSUES TO DISCUSS W/ MEDIATOR.	1.00	725	725.00
09/27/19	JHOM	REVIEW AND REVISE DRAFT COMPLAINT. LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING 226 VIOLATIONS AND PAYMENT O REST BREAK PENALTIES.	4.00	175	700.00
09/27/19	JHOM	CALL W/ CLIENT TO DISCUSS 2802 CLAIMS	0.50	175	87.50
09/28/19	NBB	REVIEW COMPLAINT AND ANALYZE FINAL	2.50	795	1,987.50
09/28/19	ND	REVIEW AND REVISE COMPLAINT. ANALYZE ON-PREMISES REST BREAK REQUIREMENT.	4.50	695	3,127.50
10/02/19	JHOM	EDIT CASE NOTES AND COMPLAINT	0.75	175	131.25
10/02/19	AJB	SET UP, PREP FOR AND HAVE CALL W/ EXPERT TO PREP FOR MEDIATION.	2.00	725	1,450.00
10/07/19	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE LIST OF PAYCODES. DRAFT/SEND QUESTIONS RE SAME TO DEFENSE ATTORNEY. DRAFT / SEND CORR. TO PLAINTIFFS' ATTORNEYS W/ QUESTIONS RE PAY	3.00	725	2,175.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		CODES AND DELEGATION OF WORK FOR MEDIATION BRIEF. REVIEW/ANALYZE CORR WITH QUESTION FROM LFJ. DRAFT/SEND RESPONSE. REVIEW/ANALYZE FOLLOW UP FROM LFJ.			
10/08/19	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE CORR FROM MEDIATOR RE JPA. DRAFT/SEND RESPONSIVE CORR RE SAME. REVIEW/ANALYZE RESPONSE FROM MEDIATOR. DRAFT AND CIRCULATE JPA INTERNALLY AND REVIEW/ANALYZE RESPONSE FROM MANAGING PARTNER.	3.00	725	2,175.00
10/09/19	ND	REVIEW RELATED CASE COURT DOCKET. ANALYZE OVERLAP WITH AVILES COMPLAINT AND CLAIMS. REVISE JOINT PROSECUTION AGREEMENT AND SEND TO CLIENT FOR SIGNATURE. CALL WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS JOINT PROSECUTION AGREEMENT TERMS. FOLLOW UP FOR SIGNATURE.	2.40	695	1,668.00
10/10/19	JHOM	REVISE COMPLAINT	1.50	175	262.50
10/14/19	AJB	REVIEW JPA SIGNED BY LAVI. ANALYZE PROGRESS OF PREPARATION FOR MEDIATION. DRAFT AND SEND CORR TO LAVI REQUESTING INFO FOR MEDIATION.	2.00	725	1,450.00
10/15/19	JHOM	DRAFT PAGA NOTICE AND LWDA FEE LETTER	0.45	175	78.75
10/15/19	JHOM	CALL W/ CLIENT TO DISCUSS WORKER'S COMP ISSUES	0.20	175	35.00
10/15/19	JHOM	MEET W/ ND TO DISCUSS COMPLAING AND PAGA NOTICE	0.25	175	43.75
10/15/19	JHOM	EDIT COMPLAINT AND PAGA NOTICE	0.25	175	43.75
10/15/19	ND	REVIEW AND REVISE PAGA NOTICE AND LWDA FEE LETTER.	1.70	695	1,181.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
10/15/19	AJB	FOLLOW UP WITH LFJ RE JPA. REVIEW/ANALYZE AND RESPOND TO RESPONSIVE CORR FROM LFJ RE SAME.	1.00	725	725.00
10/15/19	ND	REVIEW AND REVISE PAGA NOTICE. DISCUSS WITH JHOM.	1.30	695	903.50
10/16/19	ND	ANALYSIS OF CASE DAMAGES. REVIEW AND REVISE CASE NOTES MEMO. ANALYZE DOCUMENTS. RESEARCH DEFENDANT AND POTENTIAL CLASS SIZE.	3.50	695	2,432.50
02/13/20	RE	REVIEW & ANALYZE EMPLOYMENT FILE DOCUMENTS	2.50	475	1,187.50
02/13/20	RE	REVIEW CASE NOTES/DAMAGE ANLAYSIS	0.50	475	237.50
02/14/20	RE	REVIEW & EDIT COMPLAINT FOR FINAL; ADD PAGA CAUSE OF ACTION	2.75	475	1,306.25
02/17/20	RE	DRAFT SUMMONS, CIVIL COVER SHEET	0.50	475	237.50
02/17/20	RE	PHONE CONF. W CLIENT LOCATION OF WAREHOUSE RE CERTIFICATE OF COUNSEL; RESEARCH RE LOCATION	0.50	475	237.50
02/17/20	RE	FINAL COMPLAINT PACKAGE; FILE IN RIVERSIDE SUP. CT.	0.80	475	380.00
02/19/20	RE	REVIEW & SAVE COURT RETURNED DOCUMENTS; MEMO TO FIRM RE JUDICIAL ASSIGNMENT AND INITIAL CMC	0.60	475	285.00
02/19/20	RE	UPLOAD COMPLAINT TO LWDA WEBSITE; SAVE CONFIRMATION	0.40	475	190.00
03/02/20	RE	PREPARE INITIAL DOCUMENTS FOR SERVICE OF PROCESS; IDENTIFY REGISTERED AGENT; SEND OUT FOR SERVICE VIA KNOX	0.70	475	332.50
03/11/20	RE	FILE POS OF SUMMONS	0.40	475	190.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
04/03/20 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF CASE AND COURT'S ORDERS; REVIEW DISCOVERY STATUS	1.00	495	495.00
04/04/20	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE TIME PUNCH DATA FOR ANALYSIS OF MEAL BREAK CLAIMS. CALL WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS MEAL BREAK CLAIMS. LEGAL RESEARCH REGARDING MEAL BREAK CLAIMS AND REVIEW STATUS AND BRIEFS OF DONOHUE CASE.	3.50	695	2,432.50
04/05/20	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DATA PRODUCED FOR MEDIATION PURPOSES. REVIEW COMPANY POLICIES FOR MEAL AND REST BREAKS. CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS FACTS FOR MEDIATION BRIEF. REVIEW COURT DOCKET AND CASE FILE AND PREPARE FOR MEDIATION.	4.00	695	2,780.00
04/06/20	NBB	REVIEW REMOVAL DOCS, ANALYZE, OUTLINE, REMAND MOTION	3.00	795	2,385.00
04/06/20	ND	REVIEW AND REVISE MEDIATION BRIEF. ANALYZE FACTS AND CLAIMS. ANALYZE PAY STUBS FOR 226(A) VIOLATIONS.	5.00	695	3,475.00
04/08/20	NBB	REVIEW FILE AND LAW, ANALYZE. ADVISE AJ.	3.25	795	2,583.75
04/21/20	AJB	SET UP AND HAVE CALL WITH COCOUNSEL RE AMENDED COMPLAINT. FOLLOW UP WITH HER AND OUR STAFF RE ISSUES RELATED TO THE CONSOLIDATION. REPLY TO DEFENSE ATTORNEY RE SAME ISSUE.	2.00	725	1,450.00
04/21/20	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT REPORT AND DAMAGE ANALYSIS. REVIEW EXHIBITS TO MEDIATION BRIEF. ANALYZE PREVIOUS CLASS SETTLEMENTS WITH SIMILAR CLAIMS AND WORKWEEKS FOR	2.50	695	1,737.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		MEDIATION VALUATION.			
04/24/20	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE MOU TERMS. CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS TERMS AND PAYOUT FOR CLIENT. FOLLOW UP FOR SIGNATURE.	1.20	695	834.00
04/29/20 15	KN	REVIEW EMAILS AND JPA	0.50	750	375.00
06/09/20	CJ	EVALAUTE CASE STATUS, INCLUDING COMPLAINT AND CO-COUNSEL AGREEMENT	1.00	475	475.00
06/09/20	CJ	ANALYZE REVISIONS TO PROTECTIVE ORDER AND CONFRIM ACCEPTATBLE REVISIONS TO PROTECTIVE ORDER	1.00	475	475.00
06/19/20 15	VR	REVIEW COURT'S ORDER RE OSC; REVIEW STATUS OF CASE AND ASSIGNMENTS; ADVISE CJ	0.50	495	247.50
06/23/20 10	VR	DRAFT JOINT STATEMENT RE OSC; RESEARCH CASE HISTORY AND STATUS; REVIEW CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT; ADVISE AJB; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT	1.50	495	742.50
06/23/20 15	VR	REVIEW COURT'S ORDERS AND DOCKET; STATUS OF CONSOLIDATED COMPLAINT; ADVISE NDB	2.00	495	990.00
06/24/20 10	VR	DRAFT CMC STATEMENT; REVIEW STATUS OF CASE AND COURT'S ORDERS	1.00	495	495.00
06/25/20 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF CMC AND DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	0.50	495	247.50
06/25/20 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE CMC STATEMENT; EXECUTE; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL AND DEFENDANT	0.50	495	247.50
06/25/20	VR	REVIEW & REVISE OSC STATEMENT;	1.00	495	495.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
17		CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT			
07/01/20	CJ	RESEARCH CASE STATUS AND POSTURE, EVALUATE OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF, COORDINATE WITH VR RE RELATED PLAINTIFF	1.50	475	712.50
07/01/20 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICE AND DEADLINES; REVIEW DISCOVERY; ADVISE CJ	0.50	495	247.50
08/10/20	CJ	RESEARCH STATUS OF REMOVAL ISSUE AND CASE STATUS FOR PURPOSES OF PREPARING CMC ST.	1.00	475	475.00
08/10/20	CJ	REVISE CMC ST.	0.50	475	237.50
08/11/20 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE JOINT STATEMENT RE OSC; REVIEW COURT'S ORDERS AND PROCEDURAL HISTORY OF CASE; ADVISE CJ	1.00	495	495.00
08/11/20	CJ	FINALIZE, FILE AND SERVE REPORT TO COURT	0.75	475	356.25
08/14/20	HD	RESEARCH AND OBTAIN CALL-IN/REMOTE APPEARANCE INSTRUCTIONS FOR SCHEDULED CASE MANAGEMENT CONFERENCE ON 08/19/2020. TELEPHONE CALL TO DEPARTMENT CLERK TO VERIFY APPEARANCE.	0.20	250	50.00
08/19/20 15	VR	REVIEW COURT'S DOCKET AND ORDERS; PREPARE FOR COURT APPEARANCE; FOLLOW UP	1.00	495	495.00
08/21/20 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; ADVISE PM	1.20	495	594.00
09/29/20 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S DOCUMENTS AND SPREADSHEETS; ADVISE EXPERT	1.50	495	742.50
10/06/20	PM	REVIEW PROCEDURAL HISTORY AND DOCKET; ANALYZE LEGAL AND	2.00	550	1,100.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		FACTUAL ISSUES; ADVISE AJB AND VR.			
10/20/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH PLAINTIFF'S COUNSEL RE DISCOVERY; REVIEW DISCOVERY AND STATUS OF CASE; FOLLOW UP WITH PM	1.50	495	742.50
10/20/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL; REVIEW DISCOVERY AND CLASS LIST; ADVISE PM	2.00	495	990.00
10/26/20 15	VR	REVIEW CASE FILE AND DOCUMENTS; REVIEW DISCOVERY STATUS AND CASE NOTES	1.50	495	742.50
10/27/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE SITE INSPECTIONS AND CLASS LIST; ADVISE PM	1.00	495	495.00
10/29/20 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDNAT'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; ADVISE PM	1.50	495	742.50
10/30/20 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF DISCOVERY AND INITIAL DISCLOSURES; ADVISE PM; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	0.50	495	247.50
11/03/20	RE	DISPATCH AUTHORIZATION FORM TO HERMES MANCINI; EMAIL TO H MANCINI RE SAME; CONFER W RG	0.30	475	142.50
11/03/20	FJG	COMPLAINT MARK-UP, CUT CONTACT LIST, GET DROPBOX INVITE FROM WAYNE	1.20	275	330.00
11/03/20	FJG	EMAILS W/TEAM RE AUTH FORM, TARGETS, CHANGES TO FORM	0.80	275	220.00
11/03/20	FJG	CALLS W/ MALDONADO; CALL W/ MANCINI	1.00	275	275.00
11/03/20	FJG	DISPATCH TO RICO; CALLS TO CMS	2.30	275	632.50
11/04/20 14	VR	LEGAL RESEARCH RE TEMPORARY WORKERS AND EMPLOYEE STATUS	1.50	495	742.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
11/04/20	FJG	CALLS TO CMS	2.00	275	550.00
11/05/20 15	VR	REVIEW WITNESS DECLARATIONS; REVIEW WITNESS DOCUMENT REQUESTS; REVIEW DISCOVERY	2.00	495	990.00
11/05/20	FJG	VINCENT MCGANN CALL; EMAIL W/ AND TO TEAM RE FORM	0.80	275	220.00
11/05/20	FJG	REVIEW CO-COUNSELS XLSX OF QUESTIONS	0.30	275	82.50
11/05/20	FJG	CONTINUE CALLS TO CMS FOR AUTH. FORMS	2.00	275	550.00
11/06/20	PM	ADVISE VR RE DISCOVERY AND DEFENDANT'S DEFICIENT DISCOVERY RESPONSES; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. RESPONSES TO DISCOVERY.	2.00	550	1,100.00
11/06/20	FJG	CALLS W/RAMIREZ; DISPATCH FORM (RICO)	0.60	275	165.00
11/06/20	FJG	CALLS TO CMS; CALLS W/REYES, ADONIS, PRUITT	3.00	275	825.00
11/06/20	FJG	CALL W/MORRIS; CALL W/PENA	0.90	275	247.50
11/09/20	RE	DISPATCH, SAVE, AND ADVISE TEAM OF VARIOUS RECORD AUTHORIZATION FORMS RETURNED BY CLASS MEMBERS	0.50	475	237.50
11/09/20 15	VR	REVIEW CLASS LIST AND PROGRESS; REVIEW DECLARATIONS; ADVISE PM	1.50	495	742.50
11/09/20	FJG	CALLS TO CLASS FOR AUTH FORMS; FOLLOW-UP AUTH FORMS	0.80	275	220.00
11/09/20	FJG	CALLS W/PRUITT, PENNA, MCCANN, MANCINI, REYES, MALDONADO, MORRIS, ETC.	2.50	275	687.50
11/11/20	PM	REVIEW DEF. DISCOVERY RESPONSES; CONTEMPLATE LITIGATION AND CLASS CERTIFICATION STRATEGY; ADVISE	1.00	550	550.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		VR RE DISCOVERY NEEDED FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION.			
11/11/20	FJG	CALLS TO CMS; CALL W/GODINEZ, VIDAL, HOPKINS	5.50	275	1,512.50
11/11/20	FJG	CALL W/PRUITT RE AUTH FORM; FOLLOW-UP W/RICO	0.50	275	137.50
11/16/20 15	VR	REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT; REVIEW DATA; ADVISE PM	2.00	495	990.00
11/17/20 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT RE PERSONNEL FILE REQUESTS; ADVISE PM	1.10	495	544.50
11/19/20 15	VR	REVIEW DATA AND DOCUMENTS; ADVISE PM	1.00	495	495.00
11/25/20 15	VR	REVIEW STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CLASS CERT DEADLINE; REVIEW DISCOVERY DEADLINES; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	0.50	495	247.50
11/30/20 15	VR	REVIEW STIPULATION TO CONTINUE CERTIFICATION; ADVISE PM	0.80	495	396.00
12/03/20 15	VR	REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE FROM DEFENDANT AND CO-COUNSEL; REVIEW DOCUMENTS PRODUCED BY DEFENDANT	1.50	495	742.50
12/04/20	PM	REVIEW DEF. DOCUMENTS AND ANALYZE RE CLASS CERTIFICATION; REVIEW MAGISTRATE RULES RE POSSIBLE MOTION TO COMPEL PMK	1.00	550	550.00
12/04/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE STATUS OF DISCOVERY AND DEPOSITION; REVIEW COURT'S PROCEDURE FOR DISCOVERY DISPUTES; FOLLOW UP	1.40	495	693.00
12/06/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT RE DISCOVERY AND DEPOSITION STATUS; PREPARE AND FOLLOW UP	1.00	495	495.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
12/07/20 15	VR	REVIEW DOCUMENTS AND FACTUAL BACKGROUND; REVIEW STATUS OF PMK AND DISCOVERY; CORRESPONDENCE FROM CO-COUNSEL; ADVISE PM	1.50	495	742.50
12/07/20	FJG	CALLS TO CMS FOR DECLS; CALL W/RODRIGUEZ	1.10	275	302.50
12/07/20	FJG	DECL NOTES ON RODRIGUEZ	0.40	275	110.00
12/08/20 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF DECLARATIONS; QUESTIONS FOR CLASS MEMBERS; ADVISE RG	0.50	495	247.50
12/08/20 15	VR	REVIEW QUESTIONS RE DECLARATIONS; STATUS OF DECLARATIONS; ADVISE RG	1.00	495	495.00
12/08/20	FJG	CALL W/MCCANN	0.40	275	110.00
12/09/20	FJG	CALLS W/REYES	0.20	275	55.00
12/10/20 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF PMK AND CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN PARTIES; ADVISE PM	0.40	495	198.00
12/14/20 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICES AND TOPICS; ADVISE CJ AND PM	1.00	495	495.00
12/14/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL, DEFENDANT'S COUNSEL; PREP; FOLLOW UP	2.00	495	990.00
12/15/20 15	VR	REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENTS AND CASE NOTES; REVIEW DEFENDANT'S DOCUMENT PRODUCTION	2.50	495	1,237.50
12/16/20 15	VR	REVIEW SETTLEMENT DEMAND; ADVISE PM AND AJB	1.50	495	742.50
12/17/20 15	VR	REVIEW DOCUMENTS; CORRESPONDENCE FROM PLAINTIFF; PREP FOR DEPOSITION	2.50	495	1,237.50
12/17/20	PM	REVIEW AND REVISE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION; REVIEW	3.50	550	1,925.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		AND ANALYZE DEF. DOCUMENTS; LEGAL RESEARCH RE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION.			
12/17/20 13	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT RE COURT'S ORDERS AND MEDIATION DEADLINE; ADVISE NDB	1.00	495	495.00
12/17/20 15	VR	REVIEW DOCUMENTS; PREP FOR DEPOSITION	1.50	495	742.50
12/17/20 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE DATA ANALYSIS; ADVISE AJB AND PM	1.50	495	742.50
12/17/20 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE MOTION FOR CLASS CERT; ADVISE PM	1.50	750	1,125.00
12/18/20 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF PMK AND PERCIPIENT WITNESS; PREP; FOLLOW UP	9.50	495	4,702.50
12/21/20 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF PMK AND WITNESS; REVIEW DOCS TO PREPARE; FOLLOW UP	7.00	495	3,465.00
12/21/20 15	VR	REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENTS; CORRESPONDENCE FROM PLAINTIFF	1.00	495	495.00
12/22/20 33	KH	PREPARE DOCUMENTS (REVIEW, COMPILE, BATES NUMBER) TO BE PRODUCED IN RESPONSE TO REQUEST FOR PRODUCTION.	1.20	250	300.00
12/22/20 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF PMK AND WITNESS; PREP; FOLLOW UP	7.00	495	3,465.00
12/23/20	PM	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL AND EXPERT RE SURVEY/DAMAGES ANALYSIS; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. DOCUMENTS; LEGAL RESEARCH RE LEGAL AND FACTUAL CLAIMS.	2.00	550	1,100.00
12/28/20	PM	REVIEW CORRESPONDENCES FROM CO-COUNSEL AND ANALYZE; REVIEW DRAFT CLASS CERTIFICATION BRIEF AND	3.50	550	1,925.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		ANALYZE LEGAL AND FACTUAL ISSUES FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND MEDIATION; LEGAL RESEARCH RE LEGAL AND FACTUAL ISSUES.			
12/29/20	PM	TELEPHONE CALL WITH CO-COUNSEL RE CLASS CERT MOTION; REVIEW AND ANALYZE CLASS CERT BRIEF AND DEF DOCUMENTS.	2.50	550	1,375.00
12/30/20	PM	REVIEW MEDIATION BRIEF AND EXHIBITS; REVIEW DEF. DOCUMENTS AND ANALYZE; LEGAL RESEARCH RE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION ALLEGATIONS.	2.00	550	1,100.00
12/30/20	SB	PREPARE FOR MEDIATION	2.80	325	910.00
12/30/20	NBB	REVIEW CERT BRIEF, ANALYZE, ADVISE AJ.	4.00	795	3,180.00
01/04/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CLASS CERT BRIEF DRAFT; ATTEND MEDIATION; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. DOCUMENTS.	8.00	550	4,400.00
01/04/21	SB	ATTEND MEDIATION	8.00	325	2,600.00
01/04/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS FROM PMKS AND DEF'S WITNESSES	1.50	495	742.50
01/04/21 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION AND ADEQUACY DECLARATIONS; ADVISE PM	1.00	495	495.00
01/05/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE CLASS CERTIFICATION; PREP; FOLLOW UP; ADVISE AJB	0.70	495	346.50
01/05/21 10	VR	DRAFT UPDATED STATUS REPORT RE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT; REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN PARTIES; ADVISE AJB AND PM	1.50	495	742.50
01/06/21	VR	DRAFT DECLARATION OF COUNSEL	1.50	495	742.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
10		IN SUPPORT OF CLASS CERTIFICATION			
01/07/21	KH	PREPARE JOING REPORT OF PARTIES RE RESULTS OF SETTLEMENT CONFERENCE FOR FILING/SERVICE.	0.50	250	125.00
01/07/21 07	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO CLIENT RE DEPOSITION AND DISCOVERY; FOLLOW UP	0.60	495	297.00
01/07/21 13	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT RE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION AND DECLARATION; PREP; FOLLOW UP	1.00	495	495.00
01/07/21 10	VR	DRAFT DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF IN SUPPORT OF CLASS CERTIFICATION	2.50	495	1,237.50
01/12/21 10	VR	DRAFT DECLARATION RE ADEQUACY FOR AJB; REVIEW AND REVISE; REVIEW CLASS CERT MOTION	2.00	495	990.00
01/13/21 15	KN	REVIEW TRIAL PLAN; ADVISE AJ	0.50	750	375.00
01/14/21	RE	COORDINATE DISPATCH RE AVILES ADEQUACY DECLARATION W TR; SEND MULTIPLE DRAFTS AND FINAL DRAFT TO AVILES FOR REVIEW AND SIGNATURE VIA ECHO SIGN AND EMAIL; CONFIRM RECEIPT(S) W TR	0.50	475	237.50
01/14/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE DECLARATION OF PLAINTIFF; REVIEW DECLARATIONS OF EXPERTS AND PLAINTIFFS	1.50	495	742.50
01/14/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE/RESPOND TO CO-COUNSEL RE CLASS CERT FILINGS, INCLUDING RJN, APPLICATION TO SEAL, AND NOTICE AND MPA.	5.00	725	3,625.00
01/15/21 15	VR	REVIEW MOTION FOR CLASS CERT; PLAINTIFF AYALA DEPO TRANSCRIPT	2.00	495	990.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
01/15/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE OBJECTIONS TO NOTICE OF DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFFS	1.20	495	594.00
01/15/21 15	KN	REVIEW CLASS CERTIFICATION DOCS	2.00	750	1,500.00
01/15/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE FILED CERT BRIEF PAPERS. REVIEW/ANALYZE CORR FROM COCOUNSEL RE HANDLING REPLY AD DEPOS AND SITE INSPECTIONS. DRAFT SEND CORR TO ASSOCIATES WITH NEXT STEPS.	3.00	725	2,175.00
01/16/21 13	VR	...	2.50	495	1,237.50
01/16/21 13	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT RE DEPO PREP AND DISCOVERY; PREPARE FOR CALL; REVIEW CLASS CERT MOTION AND PLAINTIFF DEPO TRANSCRIPT; FOLLOW UP	2.50	495	1,237.50
01/19/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE SERVICE OF OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION NOTICES; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEF	1.00	495	495.00
01/20/21 10	VR	DRAFT SITE INSPECTION REQUEST; LEGAL RESEARCH RE REQUESTS FOR INSPECTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	2.50	495	1,237.50
01/21/21 15	VR	REVIEW PLAINTIFF'S DOCUMENTS; PRODUCTION TO DEF; PRODUCTION FROM DEF; ADVISE PM	2.00	495	990.00
01/21/21 13	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CLIENT RE DEPOSITION; FOLLOW UP; PREP	1.50	495	742.50
01/22/21	KH	DOWNLOAD AND REVIEW ADDITIONAL DOCUMENTS PROVIDED BY CLIENT; REDACT AND BATES NUMBER; SERVE ON ALL COUNSEL.	1.50	250	375.00
01/22/21	VR	REVIEW DOCUMENTS FROM	1.00	495	495.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
15		PLAINTIFF; PREPARE FOR PRODUCTION			
01/22/21 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF; PREP; FOLLOW UP	8.00	495	3,960.00
01/26/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE INSPECTION DEMAND REQUESTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL; TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE DEMAND AND NEEDS FOR REVIEW	2.00	495	990.00
01/26/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION NOTICES OF EXPERTS; CORRESPONDENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE EXPERT PREP AND PLANNING; ADVISE PM	0.50	495	247.50
01/27/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE DISCOVERY; PREP; FOLLOW UP	0.70	495	346.50
01/27/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE DISCOVERY REQUEST FOR SITE INSPECTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	1.50	495	742.50
01/28/21	KH	FINALIZE PLAINTIFFS' DEMANDS FOR SITE INSPECTIONS (8 SEPARATE DEMANDS); PREPARE FOR SERVICE ON OPPOSING COUNSEL.	0.70	250	175.00
01/29/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE REQUESTS FOR INSPECTION; REVIEW LAW RE REQUESTS FOR INSPECTION; SERVE	0.60	495	297.00
01/29/21	KH	REVISE SERVICE LIST; FINALIZE AND SERVE DISCOVERY: DEMANDS FOR SITE INSPECTIONS (X8).	0.60	250	150.00
02/01/21	PM	REVIEW EXPERT DECLARATION AND TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE RE DEPOSITION OF EXPERT PREP.	0.70	550	385.00
02/02/21	KH	REVIEW SITE INSPECTION DEMANDS; PHONE CALLS TO VIDEO MONITORING SERVICES FOR BIDS FOR SITE INSPECTIONS; STAFF CONFERENCE.	0.60	250	150.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
02/03/21 10	VR	DRAFT DEPOSITION OBJECTIONS; REVIEW NOTICES OF DEPOSITION AND SUBPOENAS; ADVISE PM	1.20	495	594.00
02/03/21	KH	DRAFT SHELLS FOR REQUESTS FOR PRODUCTION AND SPECIAL INTERROGATORIES.	0.50	250	125.00
02/04/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE DEPOSITION OBJECTIONS RE DEPOSITIONS OF EXPERTS; REVIEW DOCUMENT PRODUCTION PROCEDURES; AVISE CJ	1.30	495	643.50
02/04/21 10	VR	DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO NOTICES OF DEPOSITION; REVIEW CVS AND DOCS OF EXPERTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERTS	1.50	495	742.50
02/04/21 14	VR	LEGAL RESEARCH RE DOC PRODUCTION OF EXPERTS; ADVISE PM	1.50	495	742.50
02/04/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE DEPOSITION; PREP; FOLLOW UP	0.70	495	346.50
02/05/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE DEPOSITION OBJECTIONS AND DOCUMENT PRODUCTION; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL AND DEF	0.60	495	297.00
02/05/21 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF EXPERT STEWARD; PREP; FOLLOW UP	3.50	495	1,732.50
02/05/21 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF MR. DONOHOE; PREP; FOLLOW UP; ADVISE PM	3.50	495	1,732.50
02/05/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE OBJECTIONS TO DEPOSITION NOTICE OF EXPERTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL; SERVE	0.50	495	247.50
02/08/21	KH	REVIEW TRANSCRIPTS OF EXPERT DEPOSITIONS; TRANSMIT TO EXPERTS (STEWARD AND DONOHOE) WITH INSTRUCTIONS FOR REVIEW/SIGNATURE.	0.30	250	75.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
02/08/21 19	VR	DEPOSITION OF DR. PETERSEN, EXPERT; PREP; FOLLOW UP; ADVISE PM	5.00	495	2,475.00
02/08/21	FJG	FOLLOW-UP ON RODRIGUEZ DECL AND CASE STATUS W/TEAM	0.30	275	82.50
02/09/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH EXPERT RE DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT REVIEW; ADVISE PM	0.60	495	297.00
02/10/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE DEPOSITIONS AND FOLLOW UP DISCOVERY; REVIEW DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS	0.80	495	396.00
02/10/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL; PREP; FOLLOW UP	1.30	495	643.50
02/11/21	KH	FORWARD EXPERT DEPOSITIONS RECEIVED TO CO-COUNSEL.	0.10	250	25.00
02/11/21 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS, THEIR REVIEW AND ERRATAS; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEF; CORRESPONDENCE TO EXPERT	1.50	495	742.50
02/12/21	KH	RECEIVE EXPERT DONOHOE DEPOSITION SIGNATURE PAGE; SERVE ON ALL COUNSEL AND RETURN TO COURT REPORTER.	0.30	250	75.00
02/15/21 15	VR	REVIEW BID RE SITE INSPECTION REQUESTS; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL; ADVISE PM	1.00	495	495.00
02/15/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION OF EXPERT DR. STEWART; FOLLOW UP	0.50	495	247.50
02/16/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL RE DISCOVERY; REVIEW AND REVISE DISCOVERY REQUESTS	1.00	495	495.00
02/17/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT RE EXPERT DEPOSITION AND TIMELINE; FOLLOW UP	1.00	495	495.00
02/23/21	VR	REVIEW & REVISE DISCOVERY	1.00	495	495.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
17		REQUESTS AND SUBPOENAS RE SECURITY DISCOVERY; ADVISE PM			
03/01/21 14	VR	LEGAL RESEARCH RE FEDERAL SUBPOENAS AND SERVICE; ADVISE PM	1.50	495	742.50
03/03/21 14	KN	LEGAL RESEARCH; REVIEW NEW AUTHORITY	1.00	750	750.00
03/05/21 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF NEW DISCOVERY; REVIEW CASE LAW RE SUBPOENAS IN FEDERAL COURT; ADVISE KH	1.20	495	594.00
03/05/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE SPIVAK EMAIL RE EXPERT BILL AND PLAN. DRAFT/SEND CORR TO ASSOCIATE WITH NEXT STEPS.	1.00	725	725.00
03/08/21 15	VR	REVIEW DISCOVERY NEEDS AND DEFICIENCIES; RESEARCH FEDERAL SUBPOENA REQUIREMENTS; ADVISE PM	1.20	495	594.00
03/08/21	KH	RESEARCH FEDERAL RULES RE THIRD PARTY SUBPOENAS.	0.70	250	175.00
03/09/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEPO TRANSCRIPT AND NEW DISCOVERY; ADVISE KH	1.00	495	495.00
03/15/21	KH	RESEARCH FEDERAL SUBPOENAS; DRAFT SUBPOENA TO ALLIED UNIVERSAL WITH DEFINITIONS AND DOCUMENT LIST FOR PRODUCTION; RESEARCH AGENT FOR SERVICE FOR GEORGIA CORPORATION DOING BUSINESS IN CALIFORNIA.	2.10	250	525.00
03/16/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DISCOVERY MOTION TO COMPEL.	0.50	550	275.00
03/17/21	PM	REVIEW DEF. DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND ANALYZE. BRAINSTORM CLASS CERT REPLY BRIEF CRITICAL POINTS.	2.50	550	1,375.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
03/17/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY; ADVISE CJ	2.00	495	990.00
03/17/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO CLASS CERTIFICATION; ADVISE PM	2.50	495	1,237.50
03/18/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEPOSITION OF PLAINTIFF AVILES; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	0.80	495	396.00
03/18/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE DECL OF RC, SR, AND EAB IN SUPPORT OF OPP TO CERT.	2.00	725	1,450.00
03/19/21	PM	REVIEW OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERT AND ANALYZE.	1.00	550	550.00
03/19/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL; REVIEW STATUS OF SITE INSPECTION REQUESTS; REVIEW OBJECTIONS TO SITE INSPECTION REQUESTS	1.00	495	495.00
03/22/21	PM	TELEPHONIC CONFERENCE WITH CO-COUNSEL RE MOTION FOR CLASS CERT REPLY; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. OPPOSITION TO CLASS CERT MOTION.	2.00	550	1,100.00
03/23/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. OPPOSITION TO CLASS CERT MOTION.	1.50	550	825.00
03/23/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEF'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT TESTIMONY; ADVISE PM; CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL	1.00	495	495.00
03/23/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S RESPONSE TO PLAINTIFF'S SUBPOENA FOR RECORDS; CORRESPONDENCE RE DEF'S RESPONSES	2.00	495	990.00
03/24/21	PM	ANALYZE DEF. CLASS CERT OPPOSITION EVIDENCE	3.50	550	1,925.00
03/25/21	KH	DRAFT NOTICE OF DEPOSITION	2.70	250	675.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		SUBPOENA; DRAFT DEPOSITION SUBPOENA FOR EXPERT ROBERT CRANDALL; DRAFT NOTICE OF DOCUMENT SUBPOENA FOR EXPERT ROBERT CRANDALL; DRAFT DOCUMENT SUBPOENA FOR CRANDALL WITH ATTACHMENT A (LIST OF DOCUMENTS TO BE PRODUCED).			
03/25/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. OPPOSITION TO MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION.	2.00	550	1,100.00
03/25/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT RE MEET AND CONFER AND DISCOVERY; REVIEW DISCOVERY	1.00	495	495.00
03/25/21 12	VR	TELEPHONE CONFERENCE WITH DEFENDANT RE NOTICE OF SITE INSPECTION; PREPARE; FOLLOW UP	2.00	495	990.00
03/29/21	KH	DRAFT NOTICE OF TAKING DEPOSITION OF DEFENDANT EXPERT CRANDALL; FINALIZE NOTICE OF DEPOSITION SUBPOENA AND SUBPOENA TO CRANDALL; FINALIZE NOTICE OF DOCUMENT SUBPOENA AND SUBPOENA TO CRANDALL; SERVE ON ALL COUNSEL.	1.20	250	300.00
03/29/21	PM	REVIEW DEF. OPPOSITION TO CLASS CERT MOTION; REVIEW AND ANALYZE CLASS CERT MOTION AND 30(B)(6) DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS.	7.00	550	3,850.00
03/30/21	PM	REVIEW SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF BY CO-COUNSEL RE COMPELLING DISCOVERY.	0.50	550	275.00
03/30/21 14	VR	LEGAL RESEARCH RE OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE; LOCAL RULES; REVIEW DEFENDANT'S MOTION AND SUPPORTING DOCS	2.50	495	1,237.50
03/30/21	ND	REVIEW DEFENDANT'S OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO CLASS CERTIFICATION. REVIEW AND	2.30	695	1,598.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		ANALYZE PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION. CONFERENCE CALL WITH CLIENT TO DISCUSS CASE AND CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION. REVIEW ON-PREMISES REST BREAK CLAIM AND LEGAL RESEARCH RE THE SAME.			
03/31/21	PM	LEGAL RESEARCH RE CLASS CERT REPLY; REVIEW AND REVISE CLASS CERT REPLY; REVIEW DEF. SUPPORTING EVIDENCE AND ANALYZE.	6.00	550	3,300.00
03/31/21	PM	DRAFT SUMMARY OF ACTION FOR MEDIATOR; REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. DOCUMENTS AND DEF. EXPERT DECLARATION RE CLASS CERT REPLY.	3.00	550	1,650.00
03/31/21 15	KN	REVIEW SUPPLEMENTAL BRIEF; REVIEW EMAILS; ADVISE STAFF	1.20	750	900.00
04/01/21	PM	PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION OF CRANDALL.	1.50	550	825.00
04/06/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE CRANDALL DECLARATION; PREPARE FOR DEPOSITION OF CRANDALL.	4.00	550	2,200.00
04/07/21	PM	PREPARE FOR AND TAKE DEPOSITION OF ROBERT CRANDALL; DEBRIEF WITH AJB	4.50	550	2,475.00
04/08/21	PM	REVIEW AND ANALYZE DEF. MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS; LEGAL RESEARCH RE THE SAME.	5.00	550	2,750.00
04/09/21	PM	LEGAL RESEARCH RE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS RE CLASS CERT; DRAFT/REVIEW/REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS.	9.00	550	4,950.00
04/09/21 10	VR	DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO DEF'S EVIDENCE IN SUPPORT OF OPP TO CLASS CERT	2.00	495	990.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
04/09/21 14	VR	LEGAL RESEARCH RE OBJECTIONS TO EVIDENCE	2.50	495	1,237.50
04/09/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE/AND HELP FINALIZE AND FILE MEDIATION BRIEF.	5.00	725	3,625.00
04/10/21 15	VR	REVIEW MOTION FOR CLASS CERTIFICATION AND DOCS FILED IN SUPPORT; LEGAL RESEARCH RE DE MINIMUS AND SECURITY STOPS	3.00	495	1,485.00
04/11/21 15	VR	REVIEW DEF'S OPP TO CLASS CERT AND DECLARATIONS FILED IN SUPPORT; REVIEW OBJECTIONS	3.00	495	1,485.00
04/11/21	PM	REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS; REVIEW AND ANALYZE EXPERT DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS.	2.00	550	1,100.00
04/12/21	KH	REVIEW/EDIT OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERT DECLARATIONS; DRAFT TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; FINALIZE ALL AND PREPARE FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT WITH SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES.	2.60	250	650.00
04/12/21 10	VR	DRAFT OBJECTIONS TO DEF'S DECLARATIONS FILED IN SUPPORT OF OPP TO CLASS CERT	6.00	495	2,970.00
04/12/21	PM	REVIEW AND REVISE OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO EXCLUDE; REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY RE CLASS CERTIFICATION.	8.00	550	4,400.00
04/13/21	PM	REVIEW AND REVISE REPLY RE CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION; LEGAL RESEARCH RE THE SAME.	7.00	550	3,850.00
04/13/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO CO-COUNSEL RE STATUS OF OPP TO DEF'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EXPERTS AND STATUS OF STIPULATION RE DISCOVERY; REVIEW STATUS OF DISCOVERY	1.50	495	742.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
04/14/21	KH	REVIEW REPLY MEMORANDUM OF POINTS AND AUTHORITIES IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CERTIFY CLASS; DRAFT TABLE OF CONTENTS AND TABLE OF AUTHORITIES; DRAFT MUKHERJEE DECLARATION; PULL SUPPORTING EXHIBITS AND MARK CITATIONS; REVIEW OBJECTIONS TO DEFENDANT'S EVIDENCE; PULL ADDITIONAL CITATIONS AND INCLUDE IN DECLARATION/EXHIBITS; DRAFT POS.	4.80	250	1,200.00
04/14/21	KH	FINALIZE ALL REPLY DOCUMENTS IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO CERTIFY CLASS; PREPARE FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT WITH SERVICE ON ALL PARTIES.	0.70	250	175.00
04/14/21	PM	REVIEW REPLY AND SUPPORTING DOCUMENTS BEFORE FILING.	2.50	550	1,375.00
04/14/21 17	VR	REVIEW & REVISE OPP TO DEF'S MOTION TO EXCLUDE EVIDENCE AND ASSOCIATED DOCS; REVIEW EXHIBITS; REVIEW REPLY AND ASSOCIATED DOCS; FINALIZE	2.50	495	1,237.50
04/14/21 15	VR	REVIEW CLASS CERTIFICATION MOTION AND REPLY; REVIEW EXPERT DECLARATIONS; ADVISE PM RE EXHIBITS	2.50	495	1,237.50
04/21/21	SB	PREPARE FOR MEDIATION, REVIEW MEDIATION BRIEF, ADVISE AJB	2.80	325	910.00
04/23/21	SB	ATTEND MEDIATION	8.00	325	2,600.00
04/23/21	AJB	PREP AND APPEAR FOR MEDIATION.	10.00	725	7,250.00
04/23/21 15	KN	REVIEW MEDIATION DOCS AND DAMAGES; REVIEW EMAILS RE MEDIATION	1.00	750	750.00
04/26/21 10	VR	DRAFT NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT; REVIEW SETTLEMENT STATUS; REVIEW UPCOMING DATES AND	2.00	495	990.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		DOCKET; ADVISE PM; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEF AND CO-COUNSEL			
04/27/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT RE NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND STATUS OF CASE; REVIEW STATUS OF SETTLEMENT AND COURT'S DOCKET	0.50	495	247.50
04/28/21	KH	FINALIZE NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT AND STIPULATION TO VACATE DATES WITH CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE; PREPARE FOR SUBMISSION TO COURT; SUBMIT PROPOSED ORDER TO JUDGE'S CHAMBERS.	0.40	250	100.00
04/28/21 10	VR	DRAFT NOTICE OF SETTLEMENT; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEF; FILE AND SERVE	2.00	495	990.00
05/06/21 06	VR	CORRESPONDENCE TO THE COURT AND THE PARTIES RE CMC; REVIEW COURT'S DOCKET	0.60	495	297.00
05/10/21 08	VR	COURT APPEARANCE AT CMC; PREP; FOLLOW UP	1.50	495	742.50
05/25/21	NBB	REVIEW LAW RE SETTLEMENT TERMS, ANALYZE, ADVISE AJ.	2.25	795	1,788.75
05/26/21 15	KN	REVIEW REVISIONS TO DRAFT AGREEMENT; RESPOND TO ISSUES FROM CO-COUNSEL	1.20	750	900.00
05/27/21	NBB	REVIEW LONG FORM, ANALYZE. ADVISE KN.	2.25	795	1,788.75
06/01/21	NBB	REVIEW LONG FORM SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT. ANALYZE, ADVISE KN.	1.75	795	1,391.25
06/02/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE DRAFT AGREEMENT	3.50	750	2,625.00
06/16/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT; EMAIL DEFENDANT	2.50	750	1,875.00
06/22/21	AJB	ADVISE PARTNER ON KULLAR	2.00	725	1,450.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
		ANALYSIS.			
06/22/21 10	KN	DRAFT MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL; MEMO TO COUNSEL; LEGAL RESEARCH FOR MOTION	6.00	750	4,500.00
06/23/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE EXHIBITS TO AGREEMENT; FINAL AGREEMENT	2.50	750	1,875.00
06/23/21	ND	REVIEW AND ANALYZE SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND COMPARE WITH MOU TERMS. SEND TO CLIENT FOR SIGNATURE. CALL WITH CLIENT TO EXPLAIN APPROVAL PROCESS AND POTENTIAL PAYOUT DATE.	1.30	695	903.50
06/23/21 15	VR	REVIEW CORRESPONDENCE BETWEEN THE PARTIES; REVIEW FINAL SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STATUS OF EXECUTION; ADVISE PM	0.50	495	247.50
06/24/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE DRAFT MOTION; EMAIL DEF; RESEARCH COMPARABLE CASES / SETTLEMENTS	3.00	750	2,250.00
06/24/21 10	KN	DRAFT DECLARATION ISO MOTION	1.50	750	1,125.00
06/25/21 10	KN	DRAFT STIPULATION AND PROPOSED ORDER; EMAIL COUNSEL; FILE AND SERVE	1.50	750	1,125.00
06/25/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE EXHIBIT TO AGREEMENT; TELECONFERENCE WITH DEF; FINALIZE EXHIBITS	1.30	750	975.00
06/29/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE STIP TO CONTINUE DEADLINES. ADJUST CALENDAR AND ANALYZE NEXT STEPS.	1.00	725	725.00
07/01/21 10	KN	DRAFT DECLARATION ISO MOTION	2.50	750	1,875.00
07/01/21	KN	REVIEW & REVISE MOTION FOR	3.00	750	2,250.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
17		PRELIM			
07/02/21 26	KN	PREPARE MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL FOR AND SUPPORTING DOCS FOR FINAL; FILE AND SERVE MOTION	4.00	750	3,000.00
07/30/21	PM	REVIEW TRIAL AND PRETRIAL DATES AND ORDERS; ANALYZE STATUS OF ACTION AND MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL; DRAFT STIPULATION TO VACATE TRIAL AND PRETRIAL DATES.	1.00	550	550.00
07/30/21 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF MPA; REVIEW COURT'S ORDERS AND DOCKET AND UPCOMING DATES; REVIEW STIPULATION TO VACATE DATES; CORRESPONDENCE TO DEFENDANT; ADVISE PM	1.00	495	495.00
08/09/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE PAPERS FILED IN NAVARRO CASE AS TO APPLICABILTIY TO OUR CASE.	2.00	725	1,450.00
08/13/21 15	VR	REVIEW COURT'S ORDERS AND DOCKET; REVIEW STATUS OF UPCOMING HEARINGS; ADVISE PM AND CJ	0.50	495	247.50
08/20/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANLAYZE RECAP FROM CO-COUNSEL RE CALL WITH DEFENSE COUNSEL. REVIEW/ANALYZE STATUS OF SETTLEMENT/TERMS OF SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND NUMBERS IN RE ESCALATOR CLAUSE ISSUES. DRAFT AND SEND RESPONSE TO CO-COUNSEL.	2.50	725	1,812.50
08/24/21	AJB	REVIEW/ANALYZE ORDER GRANTING PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS SETTLEMENT. ANALYZE NEXT STEPS. ADJUST CALENDAR.	1.00	725	725.00
08/24/21 15	KN	REVIEW ORDER; MEMO TO ADMIN	0.75	750	562.50

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
08/24/21	RE	REVIEW & ANALYZE PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	0.40	475	190.00
09/01/21 15	VR	REVIEW STATUS OF CASE AND SETTLEMENT; REVIEW TRANSITION STATUS; ADVISE CJ AND PM	0.50	495	247.50
11/02/21	RE	CONFER W CO-COUNSEL RE CLIENT DECLARATIONS IN SUPPORT OF SERVICE AWARD	0.30	475	142.50
11/02/21	RE	COMM W ADRIAN AVILES RE SERVICE AWARD REQUEST DECLARATION	0.30	475	142.50
11/02/21	RE	DRAFT DECLARATION OF ADRIAN AVILES RE SERVICE AWARD REQUEST	2.00	475	950.00
11/02/21 15	KN	REVIEW EMAILS AND RESPOND; REVIEW STATUS; MEMO TO STAFF; REVIEW BILLING FOR ACCURACY	0.75	750	562.50
11/03/21	RE	EMAIL TO ADRIAN AVILES RE SERVICE AWARD DECLARATION DRAFT	0.30	475	142.50
11/03/21	RE	FINISH DRAFT OF DECLARATION OF ADRIAN AVILES RE SERVICE AWARD/FEEES MOTION	1.25	475	593.75
11/03/21	RE	PHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADRIAN AVILES RE SERVICE AWARD DECLARATION	0.40	475	190.00
11/04/21	RE	PHONE CONFERENCE WITH ADRIAN AVILES RE DECLARATION/SERVICE AWARD REQUEST	0.40	475	190.00
11/04/21	RE	REVISE & EDIT DECLARATION OF ADRIAN AVILES	1.00	475	475.00
11/04/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE ADMIN DECL; RESPOND	0.30	750	225.00
11/04/21 10	KN	DRAFT DECLARATION ISO ATTY FEES AND COSTS	1.50	750	1,125.00

<u>DATE</u>	<u>ATTY</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>HOURS</u>	<u>RATE</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
11/04/21 17	KN	REVIEW & REVISE MOTION FOR ATTY FEES AND COSTS; LEGAL RESEARCH; MEMO TO CO-COUNSEL	2.00	750	1,500.00

TOTAL BILLED HOURS

A.J.BHOWMIK	52.50 hr @ 725.00	\$ 38,062.50
CHARLOTTE JAMES	5.75 hr @ 475.00	\$ 2,731.25
FREDRICK J. GOLDMAN	26.60 hr @ 275.00	\$ 7,315.00
HEATHER DROSI	0.20 hr @ 250.00	\$ 50.00
JACKLAND HOM	7.90 hr @ 175.00	\$ 1,382.50
KARLA HORNE	21.50 hr @ 250.00	\$ 5,375.00
KYLE NORDREHAUG	45.50 hr @ 750.00	\$ 34,125.00
NORMAN BLUMENTHAL	19.00 hr @ 795.00	\$ 15,105.00
NICK DEBLOUW	49.90 hr @ 695.00	\$ 34,680.50
PIYA MUKHERJEE	102.20 hr @ 550.00	\$ 56,210.00
RICO EHMANN	17.30 hr @ 475.00	\$ 8,217.50
SCOTT BLUMENTHAL	21.60 hr @ 325.00	\$ 7,020.00
VICTORIA RIVAPALACIO	200.00 hr @ 495.00	\$ 99,000.00

TOTAL PROFESSIONAL SERVICES

569.95

\$309,274.25

COSTS ADVANCED

10/15/19	PAGA FILING FEE.	75.00
03/15/20	ONE LEGAL FILING FEE	1,687.85
03/15/20	ONE LEGAL FILING FEE	100.25
03/30/20	KNOX ATTORNEY SERVICES	115.55
06/16/20	COURT FILING	65.00
08/21/20	ONELEGAL FILING	175.75
12/23/20	MEDIATION FEES - LISA KLERMAN.	3,750.00
12/31/20	EXPERT WITNESS AND CONSULTANTS -DM&A.	1,650.00
01/04/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM STENO	1,252.90
01/04/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM STENO	1,516.36
01/04/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM STENO	993.90
01/04/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT FROM STENO	888.35

<u>DATE</u>	<u>DESCRIPTION</u>	<u>AMOUNT</u>
01/19/21	EXPERT WITNESS AND CONSULTANTS - ALLMAN & PETERSEN ECONOMICS	4,950.00
02/09/21	EXPERT WITNESS AND CONSULTANTS - ALLMAN & PETERSON FEE SPLIT WITH SPIVAK FIRM.	825.00
02/09/21	EMPLOYEE STATS.	8,809.37
02/09/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT VIA VERITEXT	790.80
02/12/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT VIA VERITEXT	604.75
02/12/21	DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPTS VIA VERITEXT	1,539.35
02/18/21	EXPERT FEES - RICHARD DONOHOE - JS/HELD	18,881.25
02/25/21	EXPERT FEES - ALLMAN & PETERSEN ECONOMICS.	412.50
03/02/21	LEXIS NEXIS	288.00
03/11/21	EXPERT FEES - EMPLOYEE STATS.	4,841.87
03/11/21	DEPO TRANSCRIPT VIA STENO	1,073.81
04/01/21	LEXIS NEXIS	483.00
04/02/21	MEDIATION FEES - LOU MARLIN.	4,000.00
04/08/21	EXPERT WITNESS AND CONSULTANTS - ALLMAN AND PETERSEN.	687.50
04/22/21	US LEGAL DEPOSITION TRANSCRIPT COST - EXPERT DEPOSITION	<u>2,410.18</u>
	TOTAL COSTS ADVANCED	<u>\$ 62,868.29</u>
TOTAL CURRENT CHARGES		<u>\$372,142.54</u>