-		\smile
1 2 3 4 5 6 7	LIDMAN LAW, APC Scott M. Lidman (SBN 199433) slidman@lidmanlaw.com Elizabeth Nguyen (SBN 238571) enguyen@lidmanlaw.com Milan Moore (SBN 308095) mmoore@lidmanlaw.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 322-4772 Fax: (424) 322-4775 Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSHUA LEONARD	FILED SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT SEP 1 3 2021 BY JESSICA MORALES, DEPUTY
8	[Additional counsel on following page]	
9		
10	SUPERIOR COURT OF T	HE STATE OF CALIFORNIA
11	FOR THE COUNTY	OF SAN BERNARDINO
12		
13	JOSHUA LEONARD, as an individual and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	Case No.: CIVDS1710174
14	Plaintiff,	[Assigned for all purposes to the Hon. David Cohn, Dept. S-26]
15	vs.	[AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL
16	AMERICAN BEEF PACKERS, INC., a California corporation; and DOES 1 through	JUDGMENT
17 18	100, Defendants.	Date: September 9, 2021 Time: 10:00 a.m. Dept.: S-26
19		Concurrently filed with:
20		 Plaintiff's Notice of Motion and Motion for Final Approval Declaration of Elizabeth Nguyen Declaration of Scott M. Lidman
21		 Declaration of Scott M. Lidman Declaration of Milan Moore Declaration of Romina Tamiry
22		 Declaration of Paul K. Haines Declaration of Plaintiff Joshua Leonard
23 24		 Declaration of Elizabeth Kruckenberg Declaration of Cinthia Reyes
25		 Proposed Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval
26		Action Filed: May 31, 2017
27		Trial Date: None Set
28		
-		1
	[AMENDED PROPC	DSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

-	ļ
1	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC
2	Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) phaines@haineslawgroup.com
3	2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245
4	HAINES LAW GROUP, APC Paul K. Haines (SBN 248226) phaines@haineslawgroup.com 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 180 El Segundo, California 90245 Tel: (424) 292-2350 Fax: (424) 292-2355
5	Attorneys for Plaintiff JOSHUA LEONARD
6	JOSHUA LEONARD
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	2 [AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

1 This matter came on regularly for hearing before this Court on September 9, 2021. 2 pursuant to California Rule of Court 3.769 and this Court's April 22, 2021 Order Granting 3 Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement ("Preliminary Approval Order"). Having 4 considered the parties' Stipulation of Settlement and Joint Stipulation to Set Deadline for 5 Payment of Increase to Gross Settlement as A Result of Escalator Clause (collectively referred to hereinafter as "Settlement" or "Settlement Agreement")¹ and the documents and evidence 6 presented in support thereof, and the submissions of counsel, the Court hereby ORDERS and 7 8 enters JUDGMENT as follows:

9 1. Final judgment ("Judgment") in this matter is hereby entered in conformity with
10 the Settlement, the Preliminary Approval Order, and this Court's Order Granting Final Approval
11 of Class Action Settlement. The Settlement Class is defined as:

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

28

All current and former non-exempt, hourly employees of Defendant American Beef Packers, Inc. (including employees who worked for American Beef Packers Inc. through a staffing agency) who worked in California at any time from December 19, 2015 through April 22, 2021.

Plaintiff Joshua Leonard is hereby confirmed as Class Representative, and Scott
 M. Lidman, Elizabeth Nguyen, Milan Moore, and Romina Tamiry of Lidman Law, APC and
 Paul K. Haines of Haines Law Group, APC are hereby confirmed as Class Counsel.

3. Notice was provided to the Settlement Class as set forth in the Settlement. The form and manner of notice were approved by the Court on April 22, 2021, and the notice process has been completed in conformity with the Court's Order. The Court finds that said notice was the best notice practicable under the circumstances. The Notice Packet provided due and adequate notice of the proceedings and matters set forth therein, informed Settlement Class members of their rights, and fully satisfied the requirements of California Code of Civil Procedure § 1781(e), California Rule of Court 3.769, and due process.

27 Unless otherwise indicated, all terms used in this Order shall have the same meaning as that assigned to them in the Settlement.

_____3 [AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT 4. The Court finds that no Settlement Class member objected to the Settlement and
 two Settlement class members have opted out of the Settlement, and that the 99.79%²
 participation rate in the Settlement supports final approval. The two (2) individuals who
 submitted timely requests for exclusion are Javier Soto and Sarah M. Naab.

5 5. The Court hereby approves the settlement as set forth in the Settlement
6 Agreement as fair, reasonable, and adequate, and directs the parties to effectuate the Settlement
7 Agreement according to its terms.

8 6. For purposes of settlement only, the Court finds that (a) the members of the 9 Settlement Class are ascertainable and so numerous that joinder of all members is impracticable; 10 (b) there are questions of law or fact common to the Settlement Class, and there is a well-defined 11 community of interest among members of the Settlement Class with respect to the subject matter 12 of the litigation; (c) the claims of the Class Representative are typical of the claims of the members of the Settlement Class; (d) the Class Representative has fairly and adequately 13 14 protected the interests of the Settlement Class members; (e) a class action is superior to other 15 available methods for an efficient adjudication of this controversy; and (f) Class Counsel are qualified to serve as counsel for the Class Representative and the Settlement Class. 16

The Court orders that American Beef Packers, Inc. deliver the Gross Settlement
 Amount of \$1,564,298.13 to Phoenix Settlement Administrators, the Settlement Administration,
 based on the payment plan, as provided for in the Settlement. Specifically, the first disbursement
 will occur within 17 calendar days after American Beef Packers, Inc. deposits the second
 payment such that there is a total gross amount of \$1,250,000.00 to be distributed as follows:

22

23

a. \$416,666.66 (which is 1/3 of \$1,250,000) in Class Counsel's attorneys' fees;

28

 ²⁴ ² Class Notice was mailed to 940 Settlement Class Members. However, Carlos Reyes is excluded
 ²⁵ from this Settlement because ABP contends it employed a person (whose actual identity is unknown) who held himself out to be Carlos Reyes and upon discovering the theft identity issue,
 ²⁶ Defendant American Beef Packers, Inc. terminated this individual on or about June 30, 2018. Therefore, the correct class size is 939 and with the two requests for exclusion the participation
 ²⁷ rate is 99.79% (937/939).

F	1	
1	b. \$48,571.26 in actual costs and expenses incurred by Class Counsel;	
2	c. \$50,000 as the PAGA civil penalties (of which \$37,500 shall be paid to the	
3	LWDA and \$12,500 shall be distributed to certain Settlement Class Members;	
4	d. \$7,500 for the Plaintiff's Class Representative Award;	
5	e. \$11,000 to the Settlement Administrator; and	
6	f. The balance that remains after the amounts identified in 7.a. through 7.e. are	
7	deducted from \$1,250,000 shall be distributed to the Settlement Class Members	
8	(who do not opt out on a pro rata basis pursuant to Paragraph 5 of the Settlement	
9	Agreement)	
10	8. Subsequently, American Beef Packers, Inc. will fund the additional amount of	
11	\$314,298.13 on or before January 13, 2023 and the Settlement Administrator shall disburse the	
12	second and final disbursement to Settlement Class members within 17 calendar days after	
13	Defendant deposits the third payment (due on or before January 13, 2023) as follows:	
14	a. \$104,766.05 (which is 1/3 of the increase of \$314,298.13) in Class Counsel's	
15	attorneys' fees;	
16	b. \$1,500 to the Settlement Administrator for increased fees related to the second	
17	distribution of Individual Settlement Amounts reporting of taxes of same,	
18	among other settlement administration duties; and	
19	c. The balance that remains after the amounts approved by the Court for 8.a.	
20	through 8.c. are deducted from \$314,298.13 shall distributed to the Settlement	
21	Class Members (who do not opt out on a pro rata basis pursuant to Paragraph 5	
22	of the Settlement).	
23	9. The Court finds that the settlement payments, as provided for in the Settlement,	
24	are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute the	
25	individual payments in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.	
26	10. The Court finds that a service award in the amount of \$7,500.00 for Plaintiff	
27	Joshua Leonard is appropriate for his risks undertaken and service to the Settlement Class. The	
28		
	5 [AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT	

Court finds that this award is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders that the Settlement
 Administrator make this payment in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.

3

4

5

6

7

8

11. The Court finds that attorneys' fees in the amount of \$521,432.71, and actual litigation costs of \$48,571.26 for Class Counsel, are fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders that the Settlement Administrator distribute these payments to Class Counsel in conformity with the terms of the Settlement. Specifically, \$416,666.66 in attorneys' fees and costs of \$48,571.26 will be paid after the second installment payment and the remaining amount of \$104,766.05 in attorneys' fees will be paid after the third and final installment payment.

9 12. The Court orders that the Settlement Administrator shall be paid \$12,500.00 from
10 the Gross Settlement Amount for all of its work done and to be done until the completion of this
11 matter, and finds that sum appropriate. Specifically, \$11,000.00 will be paid to the Settlement
12 Administrator after the second installment payment and the remaining amount of \$1,500.00 will
13 be paid after the third and final installment payment.

14 13. The Court finds that the payment to the California Labor & Workforce
15 Development Agency ("LWDA") in the amount of \$37,500.00 for its share of the settlement of
16 Plaintiff's representative action under the California Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act
17 ("PAGA") is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and orders the Settlement Administrator to distribute
18 this payment to the LWDA in conformity with the terms of the Settlement.

19 14. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement, the employer's share of payroll taxes for
20 the portion of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to wages shall be paid by American Beef
21 Packers, Inc. separately, and in addition to, the Gross Settlement Amount.

15. The Court finds and determines that upon satisfaction of all obligations under the
Settlement and this Order, all Settlement Class members will be bound by the Settlement,³ will

28

24

 ³ Carlos Reyes is excluded from this Settlement because ABP contends it employed a person (whose actual identity is unknown) who held himself out to be Carlos Reyes and upon discovering the theft identity issue, Defendant American Beef Packers, Inc. terminated this individual on or about June 30, 2018. Moreover, Javier Soto and Sarah M. Naab submitted timely requests for exclusion.

have released the Released Claims as set forth in the Settlement, and will be permanently barred from prosecuting against Defendant any of the Released Claims pursuant to the Settlement.

1

2

27

28

Upon satisfaction of all obligations under the Settlement and the Final Approval 3 16. Order, by virtue of this Judgment, Plaintiff and every member of the Settlement Class will fully 4 and forever completely release and discharge Defendant, and all of its past and present officers, 5 directors, shareholders, managers, employees, agents, principals, heirs, representatives, 6 accountants, auditors, consultants, and its respective successors and predecessors in interest, 7 subsidiaries, affiliates, parents and attorneys, (collectively the "Released Parties"), from all 8 claims, demands, rights, liabilities and causes of action that were pled in any of the Complaints 9 in the Action (including the Second Amended Complaint), or which could have been pled in any 10 of the Complaints in the Action based on the factual allegations therein, that arose during the 11 Class Period with respect to the following claims: (a) failure to provide meal periods, or premium 12 pay for non-compliant meal periods; (b) failure to authorize and permit rest periods, or premium 13 pay for non-compliant rest periods; (c) failure to pay overtime wages owed; (d) failure to pay all 14 minimum wages owed; (e) failure to issue accurate, itemized wage statements; (f) payment of 15 16 wages less than required by contract; (g) failure to pay all wages upon separation of employment; (h) all claims for unfair business practices that could have been premised on the facts, claims, 17 18 causes of action or legal theories described above; and (i) a claim under California Labor Code 19 Private Attorneys General Act of 2004 that could have been premised on the facts, claims, causes of action or legal theories described above (collectively, the "Released Claims"). The period of 20 21 the Release shall extend to the limits of the Class Period.

17. In light of the Class Representative Service Award, Plaintiff agrees to release, in
addition to the Released Claims described above, all claims, whether known or unknown, under
federal law or state law against the Released Parties. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Plaintiff
understands that this release includes unknown claims, which includes waiving all rights and
benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the California Civil Code, which provides:

[AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT

A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release, and that if known by him or her would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party.

6 18. The releases identified herein will only be effective on the date that Defendant
7 fully funds the Gross Settlement Amount.

8 19. This document shall constitute a final judgment pursuant to California Rule of 9 Court 3.769(h), which provides, "If the court approves the settlement agreement after the final 10 approval hearing, the court must make and enter judgment. The judgment must include a 11 provision for the retention of the court's jurisdiction over the parties to enforce the terms of the 12 judgment. The court may not enter an order dismissing the action at the same time as, or after, 13 entry of judgment."

20. The Court will retain jurisdiction to enforce the Settlement, the Final Approval Order, and this Judgment.

JUDGMENT IS SO ENTERED.

18 Dated: **9/13**_,2021 19

1

2

3

4

5

14

15

16

17

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Honorable David Cohn Judge of the Superior Court

÷			
1	PROOF OF SERVICE		
2	Joshua Leonard v. American Beef Packers, Inc., et al San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS1710174		
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)		
4) ss. COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)		
5	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18		
6	and not a party to the within action; my business address is 2155 Campus Drive, Suite 150, El Segundo, California 90245.		
7			
8	On September 10, 2021, I served the document(s) described as: [AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT on the interested party(ies) in this action as follows:		
9	John R. DaCorsi, Esq.		
10	Michael M. Hernandez, Esq. DACORSI PLACENCIO P.C.		
11	21031 Ventura Boulevard, Suite 640 Woodland Hills, CA 91364 Attorneys for Defendant AMERICAN BEEF PACKERS, INC.		
12 13			
13	[X] (BY MAIL) I am "readily familiar" with Lidman Law, APC's practice of collection and processing correspondence for mailing. I enclosed the document(s) in a sealed envelope or		
15	package addressed to the persons at the address(es) listed above. Under the practice the correspondence would be deposited with the U.S. postal service on the same day with postage thereof fully prepaid at El Segundo, California in the ordinary course of business. I am aware that on motion of the party served, service is presumed invalid if postage cancellation date or postage date is more than one day after date of deposit for mailing in affidavit.		
16			
17			
18	[X] (STATE) I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the		
19	above is true and correct.		
20	Executed on September 10, 2021, at El Segundo, California.		
21	and		
22	Dana Joudi		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			
	9 [AMENDED PROPOSED] FINAL JUDGMENT		