

1 Justin F. Marquez, Esq. (SBN 262417) SUPERIOR COURT OF CALIFORNIA COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO SAN BERNARDINO DISTRICT justin@wilshirelawfirm.com 2 Bobby Saadian, Esq. (SBN 250377) classaction@wilshirelawfirm.com 3 AUG 3 0 2021 Nicol E. Hajjar, Esq. (SBN 303102) nicol@wilshirelawfirm.com 4 Rachel J. Vinson, Esq. (SBN 331434) 5 rvinson@wilshirelawfirm.com WILSHIRE LAW FIRM 6 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010 7 Telephone (213) 381-9988 Facsimile: (213) 381-9989 8 9 Attorneys for Plaintiff 10 SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO 12 13 VICTOR PEREZ, individually, and on behalf of Case No. CIVDS 2009691 all others similarly situated, 14 **CLASS ACTION** Plaintiff, 15 [Assigned for all purposes to Hon. David Cohn, Dept. S26 ν. 16 DECLARATION OF JUSTIN F. THE BIG COMPANY, INC. DBA CAPO 17 FIRESIDE, a California corporation; and DOES MARQUEZ IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR 1 through 10, inclusive, 18 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT Defendants. 19 [Filed with Memorandum of Points and 20 Authorities the Declaration of Justin F. Marquez, and Proposed Order] 21 PRELIMINARY APPROVAL HEARING 22 Date: September 22, 2021 Time: 9:00 a.m. 23 Dept: S-26 24 file by fax PER CAC 25 2.303 26 27

DECLARATION OF JUSTIN F. MARQUEZ

I, Justin F. Marquez, declare as follows:

1. I am admitted, in good standing, to practice as an attorney in the State of California, the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, and the United States District Courts for the Central, Southern, Eastern, and Northern Districts of California. I am a Senior Partner at Wilshire Law Firm, PLC, counsel of record for Plaintiff. I have personal knowledge of the facts set forth in this declaration and could and would competently testify to them under oath if called as a witness. This Declaration is submitted in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement.

CASE BACKGROUND

- 2. Plaintiff and putative class members worked in California as hourly-paid, non-exempt employees for Defendant during the class period. Defendant provides modern fireplace installation and serves customers in Van Nuys, San Diego, Palm Desert, Concord, San Juan Capistrano, Santa Cruz, and Sacramento.
- 3. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant's payroll, timekeeping, and wage and hour practices resulted in Labor Code violations. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to pay for all hours worked by not recording the actual start and end times of shifts and only paying for 8 hours of work for each workday, regardless of whether an employee worked overtime. Plaintiff further alleges that Defendant failed to provide employees with legally compliant meal and rest periods. Based on these allegations, Plaintiff asserts claims against Defendant for failure to pay overtime wages, failure to pay minimum and straight time wages, failure to provide meal periods, failure to authorize and permit rest periods, inaccurate wage statements, failure to pay all final wages at termination, unfair business practices, and civil penalties under PAGA.
- 4. On May 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed a putative wage-and-hour class action complaint against Defendant The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside for: (1) failure to pay minimum and straight time wages (Labor Code §§ 204, 1194, 1194.2, and 1197); (2) failure to pay overtime wages (Labor Code §§ 1194, and 1198); (3) failure to provide meal periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7 and 512); (4) failure to authorize and permit rest periods (Labor Code §§ 226.7);

(5) failure to timely pay final wages at termination (Labor Code §§ 201-203); (6) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements (Labor Code § 226); and (7) unfair business practices (Business and Professions Code 17200 et seq.). On June 29, 2020, Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint adding a claim for civil penalties under Private Attorneys General Act "PAGA" (Labor Code § 2698 et seq.).

DISCOVERY AND INVESTIGATION

- 5. Following the filing of the Complaint, the parties exchanged documents and information before mediating this action. Defendant produced a sample of time and pay records for class members. Defendant also provided documents of its wage and hour policies and practices during the class period, and information regarding the total number of current and former employees in its informal discovery responses.
- 6. After reviewing documents regarding Defendant's wage and hour policies and practices, analyzing Defendant's timekeeping and payroll records, and interviewing Class Members, Class Counsel was able to evaluate the probability of class certification, success on the merits, and Defendant's maximum monetary exposure for all claims. Class Counsel also investigated the applicable law regarding the claims and defenses asserted in the litigation. Class Counsel reviewed these records and prepared a damage analysis prior to mediation.

<u>SETTLEMENT NEGOTIATIONS</u>

- 7. On May 20, 2021, the parties participated in private mediation with experienced class action mediator Steven J. Serratore. The mediation was conducted via Zoom. The settlement negotiations were at arm's length and, although conducted in a professional manner, were adversarial. The parties went into the mediations willing to explore the potential for a settlement of the dispute, but each side was also prepared to litigate their position through trial and appeal if a settlement had not been reached.
- 8. After extensive negotiations and discussions regarding the strengths and weaknesses of Plaintiff's claims and Defendant's defenses, Mr. Serratore issued a mediator's proposal that was accepted by all parties, the material terms of which are encompassed within the Settlement. Attached as **Exhibit 1** is a true and correct copy of the Joint Stipulation for Class

Action Settlement.

- 9. The settlement includes \$30,000 allocated to Plaintiff's claims under PAGA, with 75% of which (\$22,500) will be paid to the LWDA and 25% (\$7,500) will be paid to eligible members of the PAGA Class. (Settlement, ¶ 21.) Class Counsel submitted the proposed settlement to the LWDA before filing this Motion for Preliminary Approval.
- 10. The Settlement provides that Defendant will not oppose a fee application of up to 33 1/3% (\$191,666.66) of the Settlement Amount, plus out-of-pocket costs not to exceed \$25,000. (Settlement, ¶ 4.)
- 11. I requested several bids from experienced class action settlement administrators to handle the responsibilities of the Settlement Administrator under this settlement. The Parties accepted the bid of Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions. In its bid, Phoenix agreed to cap its costs at \$7,500.00 if there are 220 class members. The bid also provides that class notice will be provided in English and Spanish. A true and correct copy of the bid is attached hereto as **Exhibit 2.**
- 12. Plaintiff Victor Perez does not have any interest, financial or otherwise, in the proposed third-party administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions.
- 13. No one at Wilshire Law Firm, PLC (meaning the law firm itself and anyone employed at the law firm) has any interest, financial or otherwise, in the proposed third-party administrator Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions.
- 14. Wilshire Law Firm, PLC has no fee-splitting agreement with any other counsel in this case.

THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT IS FAIR AND REASONABLE

15. Class Counsel has conducted a thorough investigation into the facts of this case. Based on the foregoing discovery and their own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel is of the opinion that the Settlement is fair, reasonable, and adequate and is in the best interests of the Settlement Class Members in light of all known facts and circumstances, the risk of significant delay, the defenses that could be asserted by Defendant both to certification and on the merits, trial risk, and appellate risk.

16. Based on an analysis of the facts and legal contentions in this case, documents and information from Defendant, I evaluated Defendant's maximum exposure. I took into account the risk of not having the claims certified and the risk of not prevailing at trial, even if the claims are certified. After using the data Defendant provided, including a random sample of timekeeping and payroll records, as well as class member demographics (i.e., the number of class members, workweeks, and average total compensation of the class), with the assistance of a statistics expert I created a damages model to evaluate the realistic range of potential recovery for the class. The damages model is based on the following benchmarks:

Total Class Members: 199

Terminated Class Members during 3-year statute: 93

Avg. number of active employees per year: 102

Total Workweeks: 19,631

PAGA Pay Periods: 4,930

Avg. Hourly Rate: \$20.87

Class period: May 29, 2016 through July 19, 2021, or the date when the Court grants preliminary approval of this settlement, whichever is earlier

17. Discovery and investigation revealed that Defendant failed to pay class members overtime for all hours worked, failed to record any instances of meal period taken or missed, and failed to have a legally compliant written policy providing meal periods and authorizing and permitting rest periods. However, approximately 50% of all class members are subject to arbitration agreements and class action waivers.

18. Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to pay for all hours worked, including minimum wages, straight time wages, and overtime wages. My expert analyzed Defendant's timekeeping and payroll records and found many instances where Defendant failed to pay employees for all hours worked, particularly the time employees spent driving between job sites, and many instances where Defendant falsified time records to show that meal periods were taken when in fact employees were working. A more conservative estimate was appropriate given the difficulty of proving an off-the-clock work violation on a class-wide basis. Assuming that

Defendant failed to pay employees for 30 minutes of work each shift, and 80% of this work was overtime, potential liability for this claim is \$1,602,296. Because individualized can be a significant obstacle to certifying and proving off-the-clock claims, I discounted this figure by 80% to account for the difficulty of prevailing on a motion for class certification and a trial on the merits, yielding a realistic damage estimate of \$320,459.20.1

- 19. With respect to the meal period claim, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant required him and similarly situated class members to work in lieu of taking meal periods, and Defendant lacked legally compliant policies and practices providing meal periods for most of the class period. My expert analyzed Defendant's timekeeping records and found that approximately 20.6% of all meal breaks had violations of short, missed, or no meal periods. Potential liability of the meal period claim, which includes shifts 5-6 hours long, shifts over 6 hours long, and shifts over 10 hours long, is \$446,012, including interest; however, I discounted this figure by 80% to account for the difficulty of certifying and proving meal period claims, and to account for the possibility of class members voluntarily choosing to forego a meal period, yielding a realistic damage estimate of \$89,202.40.
- 20. With respect to the rest period claim, Plaintiff alleges that Defendant required him and similarly situated class members to work in lieu of taking rest periods, and Defendant lacked legally compliant policies and practices authorizing and permitting employees to take rest periods for most of the class period. Assuming a 100% violation rate for the time period when Defendant's written policies were inadequate, Defendant's potential liability for the rest period claim is \$2,013,657; however, I discounted this figure by 80% to account for the difficulty of certifying and proving rest period claims, particularly because rest periods do not have to be recorded, and to account for the possibility of class members voluntarily choosing to forego a rest period, yielding a realistic damage estimate of \$402,731.40.

¹ This discount for risk at certification and trial is reasonable because the Judicial Council of California found that only 21.4% of all class actions were certified either as part of a settlement *or* as part of a contested certification motion. *See* Findings of the Study of California Class Action Litigation, 2000-2006, available at http:// www.courts.ca.gov/documents/class-action-lit-study.pdf.

- 21. In sum, Plaintiff's maximum recovery for the unpaid wages due to unpaid work (minimum wages, straight time wages, and overtime wages), meal period, and rest period claims is \$4,061,965, but, after factoring in the risk and uncertainty of prevailing at certification and trial, Plaintiff's realistic estimated recovery for the non-penalty claims is \$812,393.
- 22. With respect to Plaintiff's derivative claims for statutory and civil penalties, Plaintiff estimated that Defendant's realistic potential liability is \$350,000.00. While Defendant's maximum potential liability for waiting time penalties is \$535,277 based on approximately 93 terminated class members during the 3-year statute, \$368,700 for inaccurate wage statements based on approximately 135 class members who worked 4,519 pay periods within the 1-year statute, and \$493,000 for PAGA violations based on the Court assessing a \$100 penalty for initial violations for all 4,930 pay periods within the 1-year statute, I believe that it would be unrealistic to expect the Court to award the full \$1,396,977 in penalties given Defendant's defenses, the contested nature of Plaintiff's claims, and the discretionary nature of penalties. Considering that the underlying claims are realistically estimated to be \$812,393, such a disproportionate award would also raise due process concerns. Weighing these factors, and applying a discount to account for the risk and uncertainty of prevailing at trial, I arrived at \$350,000.00 for statutory and civil penalties.
- 23. Using these estimated figures, Plaintiff predicted that the realistic maximum recovery for all claims, including penalties, would be \$1,162,393. This means that the \$575,000 settlement figure represents approximately 49.5% of the realistic maximum recovery (\$575,000 / \$21,162,393 = 49.5%). Considering the risk and uncertainty of prevailing at class certification and at trial, particularly in light of many class members being subject to arbitration agreements and class action waivers, this is an excellent result for the Class. Indeed, because of the proposed Settlement, class members will receive timely, guaranteed relief and will avoid the risk of an unfavorable judgment.
- 24. While Plaintiff is confident in the merits of his claims, a legitimate controversy exists as to each cause of action. Plaintiff also recognizes that proving the amount of wages due to each Class Member would be an expensive, time-consuming, and uncertain proposition.

- 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10
- 13 14

12

16

15

18

17

19

21

20

22 23

24

25

26 27

28

- 25. This settlement avoids the risks and the accompanying expense of further litigation. Although the parties had engaged in a significant amount of investigation, informal discovery and class-wide data analysis, the parties had not yet completed formal written discovery. Plaintiff intended to depose corporate officers and managers of Defendant. Moreover, preparation for class certification and a trial remained for the parties as well as the prospect of appeals in the wake of a disputed class certification ruling for Plaintiff and/or adverse summary judgment ruling. Had the Court certified any claims, Defendant could move to decertify the claims. As a result, the parties would incur considerably more attorneys' fees and costs through trial.
- 26. The Net Settlement Amount available for Class Member settlement payments is estimated to be \$313,333.34, for a class of 199 persons.² As a result, each Settlement Class Member is eligible to receive an average net benefit of approximately \$1,574.54.
- 27. The proposed settlement of \$575,000.00 therefore represents a substantial recovery when compared to Plaintiff's reasonably forecasted recovery. When considering the risks of litigation, the uncertainties involved in achieving class certification, the burdens of proof necessary to establish liability, the probability of appeal of a favorable judgment, it is clear that the settlement amount of \$575,000.00 is within the "ballpark" of reasonableness, and preliminary settlement approval is appropriate.

ENHANCEMENT AWARD FOR PLAINTIFF IS REASONABLE

28. Class Counsel represent that Plaintiff devoted a great deal of time and work assisting counsel in the case, communicated with counsel very frequently for litigation and to prepare for mediation, and was frequently in contact with Class Counsel during the mediation. Plaintiff's requested enhancement award is reasonable particularly in light of the substantial benefits Plaintiff generated for all class members.

² The Net Settlement Amount is: \$575,000.00 minus \$7,500.00 for class representative service award, minus \$7,500.00 in administration costs, minus \$22,500.00 for PAGA portion sent to the LWDA, minus \$7,500.00 for payments to Aggrieved Employees on a pro rata basis, minus \$191,666.66 for Class Counsel's attorneys' fees, and minus \$25,000.00 for Class Counsel's litigation expenses.

- 29. Throughout this litigation, Plaintiff, who is a former employee of Defendant, has cooperated immensely with my office and has taken many actions to protect the interests of the class. Plaintiff provided valuable information regarding unpaid overtime, meal period, and rest period claims. Plaintiff also informed my office of developments and information relevant to this action, participated in decisions concerning this action, made himself available to answer questions during the mediation, and provided my office with the names and contact information of potential witnesses in this action. Before we filed this case, Plaintiff provided my office with several documents, including policy documents, and communications from Defendant regarding the claims alleged in this action. The information and documentation provided by Plaintiff was instrumental in establishing the wage and hour violations alleged in this action, and the recovery provided for in the Settlement Agreement would have been impossible to obtain without Plaintiff's participation.
- 30. At the same time, Plaintiff faced many risks in adding himself as the class representative in this matter. Plaintiff faced actual risks with his future employment, as putting himself on public record in an employment lawsuit could also very well affect his likelihood for future employment. Furthermore, as part of this settlement, Plaintiff is executing a general release of all claims against Defendant.
- 31. In turn, class members will now have the opportunity to participate in a settlement, reimbursing them for alleged wage violations they may have never known about on their own or been willing to pursue on their own. If these class members would have each tried to pursue their legal remedies on their own, that would have resulted in each having to expend a significant amount of their own monetary resources and time, which were obviated by Plaintiff putting himself on the line on behalf of these other class members.
- 32. In the final analysis, this class action would not have been possible without the aid of Plaintiff, who put his own time and effort into this litigation, sacrificed the value of his own individual claims, and placed himself at risk for the sake of the class members. The requested enhancement award for Plaintiff for his service as the class representative and for his general release of all individual claims is a relatively small amount of money when the time and effort

put into the litigation are considered and in comparison to enhancements granted in other class actions. The requested incentive award is therefore reasonable to compensate Plaintiff for his active participation in this lawsuit. Indeed, in *Karl Adams, III, et al. v. MarketStar Corporation*, et al., No. 2:14-cv-02509-TLN-DB, a wage and hour class action alleging that class members were misclassified as exempt outside salespersons, I was co-lead Class Counsel and helped negotiate a \$2.5 million class action settlement for 339 class members, and the court approved a \$25,000 class representative incentive award for each named plaintiff.

THE REQUEST FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS IS REASONABLE

- 33. The Settlement provides for attorney's fees payable to Class Counsel in an amount up to one-third (33 1/3%) of the Settlement Amount, for a maximum fees award of \$191,666.66, plus actual costs and expenses not to exceed \$25,000.00. The proposed award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel in this case can be justified under either method lodestar or percentage recovery. Class Counsel, however, intend to base the proposed award of fees, costs and expenses on the percentage method as many of the entries in the time records will have to be redacted to preserve attorney-client and attorney work product privileges.
- 34. I am informed and believe that the fee and costs provision is reasonable. The fee percentage requested is less than that charged by my office for most employment cases. My office invested significant time and resources into the case, with payment deferred to the end of the case, and then, of course, contingent on the outcome.
- 35. It is further estimated that my office will need to expend at least another 50 to 100 hours to monitor the process leading up to the final approval and payments made to the class.

 My office also bears the risk of taking whatever actions are necessary if Defendant fails to pay.
- 36. The risk to my office has been very significant, particularly if we would not be successful in pursuing this class action. In that case, we would have been left with no compensation for all the time taken in litigating this case. Indeed, I have taken on a number of class action cases that have resulted in thousands of attorney hours being expended and ultimately having certification denied or the defendant company going bankrupt. The contingent risk in these types of cases is very real and they do occur regularly. Furthermore, we were

28

precluded from focusing on, or taking on, other cases which could have resulted in a larger, and less risky, monetary gain.

- 37. Because most individuals cannot afford to pay for representation in litigation on an hourly basis, Wilshire Law Firm, PLC represents virtually all of its employment law clients on a contingency fee basis. Pursuant to this arrangement, we are not compensated for our time unless we prevail at trial or successfully settle our clients' cases. Because Wilshire Law Firm, PLC is taking the risk that we will not be reimbursed for our time unless our client settles or wins his or her case, we cannot afford to represent an individual employee on a contingency basis if, at the end of our representation, all we are to receive is our regular hourly rate for services. It is essential that we recover more than our regular hourly rate when we win if we are to remain in practice so as to be able to continue representing other individuals in civil rights employment disputes.
- 38. As of the drafting of this motion, my office has incurred around \$19,211.85 in expenses litigating this action, and we anticipate accruing additional costs up to Final Approval of the Settlement. These expenses were reasonably necessary to the litigation and were actually incurred by my office. They should be reimbursed in full, up to the maximum amount allowed in the Settlement Agreement.

MY EXPERIENCE AND QUALIFICATIONS

- 39. Wilshire Law Firm was selected by Best Lawyers and U.S. News & World Report as one of the nation's Best Law Firms in 2021 and is comprised of over 20 attorneys and over 200 employees. Wilshire Law Firm is actively and continuously practicing in employment litigation, representing employees in both individual and class actions in both state and federal courts throughout California.
- 40. Wilshire Law Firm is qualified to handle this litigation because its attorneys are experienced in litigating Labor Code violations in both individual, class action, and representative action cases. Wilshire Law Firm has handled, and is currently handling, numerous wage and hour class action lawsuits, as well as class actions involving consumer rights and data privacy litigation.

- 41. I graduated from the University of California, Los Angeles's College Honors Program in 2004 with Bachelor of Arts degrees in History and Japanese, *magna cum laude* and *Phi Beta Kappa*. As an undergraduate, I also received a scholarship to study abroad for one year at Tokyo University in Tokyo, Japan. I received my Juris Doctor from Notre Dame Law School in 2008.
- 42. My practice is focused on advocating for the rights of consumers and employees in class action litigation and appellate litigation. I am currently the primary attorney in charge of litigating several class action cases in state and federal courts across the United States.
- 43. I have received numerous awards for my legal work. From 2017 to 2020, Super Lawyers selected me as a "Southern California Rising Star." In 2016 and 2017, the National Trial Lawyers selected me as a "Top 40 Under 40" attorney. I am also rated 10.0 ("Superb") by Avvo.com.
- 44. I am on the California Employment Lawyers Association (CELA)'s Wage and Hour Committee and Mentor Committee, and I was selected to speak at CELA's 2019 Advanced Wage & Hour Seminar on the topic of manageability of class actions. Since 2013, I have actively mentored young attorneys through CELA's mentorship program.
- 45. I am also an active member of the Consumer Attorneys of California (CAOC). In 2020, I was selected for a position on CAOC's Board of Directors. I am also a member of CAOC's Diversity Committee, and I help assist the CAOC in defeating bills that harm employees. Indeed, I recently helped assist Jacqueline Serna, Esq., Legislative Counsel for CAOC, in defeating AB 443, which proposed legislation that sought to limit the enforceability of California Labor Code § 226.
- 46. As the attorney responsible for day-to-day management of this matter at the Wilshire Law Firm, I have over ten years of experience with litigating wage and hour class actions. Over the last ten years, I have managed and assisted with the litigation and settlement of several wage and hour class actions. In those class actions, I performed similar tasks as those performed in the course of prosecuting this action. My litigation experience includes:
 - a. I served as lead or co-lead in negotiating class action settlements worth over \$10

- million in gross recovery to class members in 2020.
- b. To my knowledge, I am the only attorney to appear on each of the following *Top Verdict* lists for 2018 in California: Top 20 Civil Rights Violation Verdicts, Top 20 Labor & Employment Settlements, and Top 50 Class Action Settlements.
- c. As lead counsel, on April 29 2021, I prevailed against CVS Pharmacy, Inc. by winning class certification on behalf of hundreds of thousands of consumers for misleading advertising claims in *Joseph Mier v. CVS Pharmacy, Inc.*, U.S. Dist. Ct. C.D. Cal. no. SA CV 20-1979-DOC-(ADSx).
- d. As lead counsel, I prevailed against Bank of America by: winning class certification on behalf of thousands of employees for California Labor Code violations; defeating appellate review of the court's order certifying the class; defeating summary judgment; and defeating a motion to dismiss. (Frausto v. Bank of America, N.A. (N.D. Cal. 2019) 334 F.R.D. 192, 2020 WL 1290302 (9th Cir. Feb. 27, 2020), 2019 WL 5626640 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 31, 2019), 2018 W.L. 3659251 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 2, 2018).). The decision certifying the class in Frausto is also discussed in Class Certification Under Fed. R. Civ. P. 23 in Action by Information Technology or Call Center Employees for Violation of State Law Wage and Hour Rules, 35 A.L.R. Fed. 3d Art. 8.
- e. I was the primary author of the class certification and expert briefs in *ABM*Industries Overtime Cases (2017) 19 Cal.App.5th 277, a wage and hour class action for over 40,000 class members for off-the-clock, meal period, split shift, and reimbursement claims. *ABM Industries Overtime Cases* is the first published California appellate authority to hold that an employer's "auto-deduct policy for meal breaks in light of the recordkeeping requirements for California employers is also an issue amenable to classwide resolution." (*Id.* at p. 310.)³ Notably, the

³ As a California district court observed before the *ABM Industries Overtime* decision, "[t]he case law regarding certification of auto-deduct classes is mixed." (*Wilson v. TE Connectivity Networks, Inc.* (N.D. Cal. Feb. 9, 2017) No. 14-CV-04872-EDL, 2017 WL 1758048, *7.)

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Court of Appeal also held that expert analysis of timekeeping records can also support the predominance requirement for class certification. (*Id.* at p. 310-311.) In 2021, the case settled for \$140 million, making it one of the largest ever wage and hour class action settlements for hourly-paid employees in California.

- f. I briefed, argued, and won Yocupicio v. PAE Group, LLC (9th Cir. 2015) 795 F.3d 1057. The Ninth Circuit ruled in my client's favor and held that non-class claims under California's Private Attorney Generals Act ("PAGA") cannot be used to calculate the amount in controversy under the Class Action Fairness Act ("CAFA"). This case is cited in several leading treatises such as Wright & Miller's Federal Practice & Procedure, and Newberg on Class Actions. In October 2016, the U.S. Supreme Court denied review of a case that primarily concerned Yocupicio. That effort was led by Theodore J. Boutrous, who brought the cert petition, with amicus support from a brief authored by Andrew J. Pincus.⁴ Considering that leading Supreme Court practitioners from the class action defense bar were very motivated in undermining Yocupicio case, but failed, this demonstrates the national importance of the *Yocupicio* decision.
- g. On December 13, 2018, the United States District Court granted final approval of the \$2,500,000 class action settlement in Mark Brulee, et al. v. DAL Global Services, LLC (C.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2018) No. CV 17-6433 JVS(JCGx), 2018 WL 6616659 in which I served as lead counsel. In doing so, the Court found: "Class Counsel's declarations show that the attorneys are experienced and successful litigators." (*Id.* at p. *10.)
- h. Gasio v. Target Corp. (C.D. Cal. Sep. 12, 2014) 2014 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 129852, a reported decision permitting class-wide discovery even though the employer has a lawful policy because "[t]he fact that a company has a policy of not violating the law does not mean that the employees follow it, which is the issue here." The

⁴ http://www.chamberlitigation.com/cases/abm-industries-inc-v-castro

- court also ordered defendant to pay for the cost of Belaire-West notice.
- i. In 2013, I represented a whistleblower that reported that his former employer was defrauding the State of California with the help of bribes to public employees.
 The case, a false claims (qui tam) action, resulted in the arrest and criminal prosecution of State of California employees by the California Attorney General's Office.
- j. In 2013, I was part of a team of attorneys that obtained conditional certification for over 2,000,000 class members in a federal labor law case for misclassification of independent contractors that did crowdsourced work on the Internet, *Otey v. CrowdFlower, Inc.*, N.D. Cal. Case No. 12-cv-05524-JST (MEJ), resulting in the following pro-plaintiff reported decisions:
 - 1) 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 151846 (N.D. Cal. Oct. 22, 2013) (holding that an unaccepted Rule 68 offer doesn't moot plaintiff's claims, and granting plaintiff's motion to strike defendant's affirmative defenses based on *Twombly/Iqbal*).
 - 2) 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 122007 (N.D. Cal. Aug. 27, 2013) (order granting conditional collective certification).
 - 3) 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 95687 (N.D. Cal. July 8, 2013) (affirming the magistrate judge's discovery ruling which held that "evidence of other sources of income is irrelevant to the question of whether a plaintiff is an employee within the meaning of the FLSA").
 - 4) 2013 U.S. Dist. LEXIS 91771 (N.D. Cal. June 20, 2013) (granting broad discovery because "an FLSA plaintiff is entitled to discovery from locations where he never worked if he can provide some evidence to indicate company-wide violations").
- j. From 2012 to 2013, I was part of a team of attorneys that obtained class certification for over 60,000 class members for off-the-clock claims, *Linares v. Securitas Security Services USA, Inc.*, Los Angeles Superior Court No.

26

27

28

BC416555. We also successfully opposed subsequent appeals to the California Court of Appeal and California Supreme Court.

- 47. Bobby Saadian is the Founding President and Managing Attorney at Wilshire Law Firm. He graduated from California State University, Northridge, Pepperdine University Graziadio Business School and Pepperdine University School of Law. He is listed in both The Best Lawyers in America and Super Lawyers. Through his work with the CAOC, Bobby meets with state attorney generals and legislators to help shape policies designed to protect vulnerable consumers from large corporations. He frequently speaks at trial advocacy, litigation seminars, and other continuing legal education events, including the annual Consumer Attorneys Association of Los Angeles (CAALA) Las Vegas Convention, the National Trial Lawyers Summit and the Association of Plaintiff Interstate Trucking Lawyers of America (APITLA) National Interstate Trucking Supper Summit. He has been named one of the "Most Influential Minority Lawyers" by the Los Angeles Business Journal. The Streets Are For Everyone (SAFE). In 2017, Mr. Saadian started Wilshire Law Firm's Academic Scholarship Program, which is "committed to helping the next generation of lawyers succeed." He is also an Executive Board Member of the Los Angeles Trial Lawyers' Charities (LATLC). He is also rated 10.0 ("Superb") by Avvo.com and he has been awarded "Client's Choice Award Winner." He also holds Martindale-Hubbell AV Preeminent Peer Review Rating, the highest possible rating in both legal ability and ethical standards. In 2014 and 2015, he was awarded the "Litigator Award Winner", which is awarded to the Top 1% of lawyers nationwide. He is admitted to practice in the State of California, State of Texas and District of Columbia.
- 48. Nicol E. Hajjar is an Attorney at Wilshire Law Firm. She graduated from California State University Los Angeles and Western State College of Law. The National Trial Attorneys selected her as a "Top 10 Wage and Hour Trial Lawyer", and a "Top 40 under 40" attorney. Super Lawyers selected her as one of their "Rising Stars." She was selected to serve on CAOC's Board of Directors in 2020 and is an active member of CAALA, CELA, National Employment Lawyers Association (NELA), and the Women Lawyers Association of Los Angeles. She sits on CELA's women's committee and wage and hour committee and was

28

selected to speak at CELA's 2020 Advanced Wage & Hour Seminar. Further, she has extensively litigated employment cases for the past five years, including a successful wage and hour trial wherein the jury awarded her wage and hour damages and she was awarded PAGA penalties.

- 49. Rachel J. Vinson is a second-year Associate Attorney at Wilshire Law Firm. She was admitted to practice law in the State of California and the Central and Southern Districts of California in 2020. Rachel graduated from Claremont McKenna College with a Bachelor in Arts in Philosophy and Government. She received her Juris Doctor from Washington University in St. Louis where she earned a Scholar in Law Award, was Executive Editor of the Washington University Journal of Law and Policy, was a Finalist in the Client Interviewing and Counseling Competition, and successfully second-chaired a felony trial as a Rule 13 Attorney for the Missouri State Public Defender Office. She is also a member of CAALA and CELA.
- 50. My current contingent billing rate of \$800 per hour is consistent with my practice area, lead appellate experience in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals, numerous awards received, legal market and accepted hourly rates:
 - a. In the December 8, 2008 article "Billable Hours Aren't the Only Game in Town Anymore," NATIONAL LAW JOURNAL, the following hourly billing rates were reported by Sheppard, Mullin, Richter & Hampton, a leading firm in the defense of wage-and-hour class actions that I opposed when litigating wage-and-hour class actions: Partners: \$475-\$795; Associates: 1st Year - \$275, 2nd Year - \$310, 3rd Year - \$335, 4th Year - \$365, 5th Year - \$390, 6th Year - \$415, 7th Year - \$435, 8th Year - \$455. I am an 11th year attorney and Senior Partner, with most of my experience in class action litigation as a primary practice area. Having successfully briefed and argued a published appeal in the Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals involving CAFA and PAGA, having experience certifying large class actions (including ABM Industries Overtime Cases, which was decided on appeal), and having received numerous awards for my legal work, my hourly rate should be adjusted upward.

- b. In the wage and hour class action *Savaglio*, *et al*, *v. WalMart*, Alameda County Superior Court No. C-835687-7, Order Granting Class Counsel's Motion for Attorneys' Fees, filed September 10, 2010, the Court approved hourly rates from \$435 per hour for four years of experience to \$875 per hour for 51 years of experience.
- c. On January 19, 2021, the Hon. Elihu M. Berle of the Los Angeles County Superior Court approved my \$750 hourly rate when he granted final approval of the class action settlement in *Faye Zhang v. Richemont North America, Inc.*, No. 19STCV32396.
- d. On December 13, 2018, the United States District Court granted final approval of the \$2,500,000 class action settlement in *Mark Brulee, et al. v. DAL Global Services, LLC* (C.D. Cal. Dec. 13, 2018) No. CV 17-6433 JVS(JCGx), 2018 WL 6616659 in which I served as lead counsel. In doing so, the Court approved my then \$600 hourly rate and found: "Class Counsel's declarations show that the attorneys are experienced and successful litigators." (*Id.* at p. *10.)

I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States that the foregoing is true and correct.

Executed on August 30, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.

Justin F. Marquez

EXHIBIT 1

1 2	WILSHIRE LAW FIRM Justin F. Marquez (State Bar No. 262417) justin@wilshirelawfirm.com	
3	Nicol E. Hajjar (State Bar No. 303102) nicol@wilshirelawfirm.com	
4	Rachel J. Vinson, Esq. (SBN 331434)	
•	3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12 th Floor Los Angeles, California 90010	
	Telephone: 213-381-9988	
6	Facsimile: 213-381-9989	
7	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
8	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP Brandon L. Sylvia (State Bar No. 261027)	
9	bsylvia@rutan.com Kimberly A. Nayagam (State Bar No. 301058)	
10	knayagam@rutan.com 611 Anton Boulevard, Suite 1400	
11	Costa Mesa, California 92626-1931	
12	Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035	
13	Attorneys for Defendant	DE
14	THE BIG COMPANY, INC. dba CAPO FIRESI	
15		E STATE OF CALIFORNIA
16	FOR THE COUNTY O	OF SAN BERNARDINO
17	VICTOR PEREZ, individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated,	
18	Plaintiff,	<u>CLASS ACTION</u>
19	VS.	ASSIGNED FOR ALL PURPOSES TO: HON. DAVID COHN, DEPT. S26
20	THE BIG COMPANY, INC. DBA CAPO	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND
21	FIRESIDE, a California corporation, and DOES 1 through 10, inclusive,	STIPULATION TO RESOLVE CLASS ACTION AND PAGA CLAIMS
22	Defendants.	ACTION AND FAGA CLAIMS
23		Date Action Filed: May 29, 2020
24		Trial Date: Not assigned
25		
26		
27		
28		
	_	1-
l l		

1	This Settlement Agreement and Stipulation To Resolve Class Action and PAGA Claims
2	(the "Settlement Agreement," "Agreement," or "Settlement") is entered into to resolve the action
3	entitled Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside, et al., San Bernardino County
4	Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2009691.
5	<u>Definitions</u>
6	1. Action. "Action" means Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO
7	Fireside, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2009691.
8	2. <u>Agreement or Settlement or Settlement Agreement</u> . "Agreement" or "Settlement"
9	or "Settlement Agreement" means this Settlement Agreement and Stipulation To Resolve Class
10	Action and PAGA Claims, entered into by the Parties to resolve the Action.
11	3. <u>Aggrieved Employees</u> . "Aggrieved Employees" means Class Members who
12	worked during the PAGA Period (as defined in Paragraph 22).
13	4. <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u> . "Attorneys' Fees and Costs" means the amount
14	authorized by the Court for: (i) an award of attorneys' fees to Class Counsel for litigation and
15	resolution of the matter, in the amount that does not exceed one-third or 33.33% percent of the
16	Gross Settlement Amount; and (ii) reimbursement of actual costs incurred by Class Counsel in
17	connection with this Action, in an amount to not to exceed twenty-five thousand dollars (\$25,000).
18	5. <u>Class Counsel</u> . "Class Counsel" means Wilshire Law Firm.
19	6. <u>Class or Class Members</u> . "Class" or "Class Members" means all current and former
20	employees who worked in a non-exempt or hourly-paid position for The BIG Company, Inc. dba
21	CAPO Fireside within the state of California during the Class Period.
22	7. <u>Class Notice</u> . "Class Notice" means the Notice of Class Action Settlement,
23	attached as Exhibit A to this Agreement, or a substantially similar notice approved by the Court.
24	8. <u>Class Period</u> . "Class Period" means the period from May 29, 2016 to the earlier of:
25	(i) the date the Court enters the Order preliminarily approving the Settlement; or (ii) July 19, 2021.
26	9. <u>Court</u> . "Court" means the San Bernardino County Superior Court, where the
27	Action is currently pending.
28	10. <u>Defendant</u> . "Defendant" means The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside.

1	20. <u>PAGA Claims</u> . "PAGA Claims" means those claims that (a) arise from the facts,
2	matters, transactions or occurrences alleged in the Action or that could have been alleged in the
3	Action based on such facts; or (b) arise from the facts, matters, transactions or occurrences alleged,
4	or that could have been alleged, in the letter sent by Class Counsel to the Labor and Workforce
5	Development Agency ("LWDA") on or about March 23, 2020, asserting that Defendant violated
6	various provisions of the Labor Code. Without limiting the foregoing, and in addition to the
7	foregoing, the PAGA Claims include claims premised on failure to pay the minimum wage; failure
8	to pay regular wages; failure to pay overtime compensation and other premium wages; off-the-
9	clock work; failure to provide and maintain complete and accurate itemized wage statements that
10	included all information required by the California Labor Code; failure to provide meal periods
11	and rest breaks or additional pay in lieu thereof; untimely payment of wages; violations of
12	California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 558,
13	1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1191, and 2698 et seq.; and related
14	violations of the applicable California Wage Orders.
15	21. PAGA Amount. "PAGA Amount" means the amount of \$30,000.00, which
16	represents the portion of the Gross Settlement Amount allocated to the settlement of the PAGA
17	Claims. The PAGA Amount is paid from the Gross Settlement Amount, and will be allocated as
18	set forth in Paragraph 43.d. The Parties agree that 75% of the PAGA Amount (\$22,500.00) will
19	be paid to the LWDA as the "LWDA Payment," and the remaining 25% (\$7,500.00) will be
20	allocated to the Aggrieved Employees as the "PAGA Payment."
21	22. <u>PAGA Period</u> . "PAGA Period" means the period from March 26, 2019 to the
22	Line 6 () do dot do Contrato do Ordo anticipalita analizada Contrato ()

- 22 earlier of: (i) the date the Court enters the Order preliminarily approving the Settlement; or (ii) July 19, 2021.
 - 23. Parties. "Parties" means the Defendant and the Named Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of all Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. Each of the Parties may be referred to in the singular as a "Party."
 - 24. Participating Class Member. "Participating Class Member" means each Class Member who has not timely opted out of the Settlement pursuant to Paragraph 48 of the

24

25

26

2

4

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Agreement; "Settlement Class" means a class of all Participating Class Members.

- 25. <u>Preliminary Approval Order</u>. "Preliminary Approval Order" means an order from the Court preliminarily approving this Settlement.
- 26. <u>Released Parties</u>. "Released Parties" means and includes The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside and its past, present, and future predecessors, successors, assigns, affiliates, shareholders, members, owners, officers, directors, investors, employees, managers, managing agents, partners, affiliated companies or entities, parents, subsidiaries, holding companies, agents, attorneys, insurers, and representatives.
- 27. Settled Claims or Released Claims. "Settled Claims" or "Released Claims" means any and all claims, known or unknown, contingent or accrued, against Defendant and the other Released Parties that (a) arise from the facts, matters, transactions or occurrences alleged in the Action or that could have been alleged in the Action based on such facts; or (b) arise from the facts, matters, transactions or occurrences alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the letter sent by Class Counsel to LWDA on or about March 23, 2020, asserting that Defendant violated various provisions of the Labor Code. Without limiting the foregoing, and in addition to the foregoing, the Settled Claims include claims for failure to pay the minimum wage; failure to pay regular wages; failure to pay overtime compensation and other premium wages; off-the-clock work; failure to provide and maintain complete and accurate itemized wage statements that included all information required by the California Labor Code; failure to provide meal periods and rest breaks or additional pay in lieu thereof; untimely payment of wages; violations of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1191; related violations of the applicable California Wage Orders; violations of all related or corresponding federal laws; violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 et seq.; and claims under California Labor Code Section 2698 et seq.
- 28. <u>Settlement Administration Costs</u>. "Settlement Administration Costs" means the costs of settlement administration, including costs of notice to Class Members, distributing settlement payments, and any other fees and costs incurred or charged by the Settlement

4

6

11

17

21

22

23

27

28

Administrator in connection with the execution of its duties under this Settlement. 29. Settlement Administrator. "Settlement Administrator" means Phoenix Settlement 2 Administrators or such other third-party administrator chosen by the Parties and approved by the Court. 30. 5 Settlement Hearing. "Settlement Hearing" means the hearing on the Final Hearing Date at which the Court will determine whether to fully and finally approve the fairness and reasonableness of this Agreement. 8 RECITALS 31. 9 On May 29, 2020, Victor Perez filed a putative class action against defendant The 10 BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside in a case styled Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside, et al., San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2009691. The 12 Complaint alleges that Defendant violated various wage-and-hour laws, including: (1) failure to pay minimum and regular wages; (2) failure to pay overtime; (3) failure to provide meal periods 13 and pay meal period premiums; (4) failure to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period 14 15 premiums; (5) failure to timely pay wages; (6) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; and (7) violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq. On June 16 24, 2020, the Named Plaintiff filed a First Amended Complaint, adding a cause of action for civil penalties under PAGA. 18 32. Defendant denies that it engaged in any misconduct in connection with the wage-19 20 and-hour practices associated with the Class Members (inclusive of the Aggrieved Employees). Defendant further denies that it has any liability of any kind associated with the claims alleged in the Action. Defendant contends that it has complied with both federal and state wage-and-hour laws, and all other laws regulating its relationship with the Class Members, including the Named Plaintiff. 24 25 33. On May 20, 2021, the Parties participated in mediation with Steve Serratore, Esq. (the "Mediator"), a respected mediator of complex wage and hour actions, and with the assistance 26 of the Mediator's evaluations, and in response to a proposal made by the Mediator, the Parties

reached the settlement that is memorialized in this Agreement.

34. Class Counsel has investigated the facts relating to the Action. Settlement discussions were conducted at arm's-length, a full-day mediation with a neutral third-party took place, and the Settlement is the result of an informed and detailed analysis of Defendant's potential liability and exposure in relation to the costs and risks associated with continued litigation. Based on the documents produced, as well as Class Counsel's own independent investigation and evaluation, Class Counsel believes that the Settlement documented by this Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable, and adequate, and in the best interest of the Class in light of all known facts and circumstances, including the risk of significant delay and defenses asserted to the merits of the Action. While Defendant specifically denies any liability in the Action, Defendant has agreed to enter into this Settlement to avoid the costs associated with defending the Action.

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

NOW, THEREFORE, in consideration of the recitals listed above and the promises and warranties set forth below, and intending to be legally bound and acknowledging the sufficiency of the consideration and undertakings set forth below, Named Plaintiff, individually and on behalf of the Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California, and Defendant agree that the Action shall be and is finally and fully compromised and settled on the following terms and conditions:

35. Non-Admission Of Liability. The Parties enter into this Agreement to resolve the dispute that has arisen between them and to avoid the burden, expense and risk of continued litigation. In entering into this Agreement, Defendant and the other Released Parties do not admit, and specifically deny, that they have violated any federal, state, or local law; violated any regulations or guidelines promulgated pursuant to any statute or any other applicable laws, regulations or legal requirements; breached any contract; violated or breached any duty; engaged in any misrepresentation or deception; or engaged in any other unlawful conduct with respect to the Class or the Aggrieved Employees. Neither this Agreement, nor any of its terms or provisions, nor any of the negotiations connected with it, shall be construed as an admission or concession by Defendant or any of the other Released Parties of any such violations or failures to comply with any applicable law. Except as necessary in a proceeding to enforce the terms of this Agreement,

this Agreement and its terms and provisions shall not be offered or received as evidence in any action or proceeding to establish any liability or admission on the part of Defendant or to establish the existence of any condition constituting a violation of, or a non-compliance with, federal, state, local or other applicable law.

- 36. <u>Conditional Nature Of Settlement</u>. For settlement purposes *only*, the Parties agree that (a) a class may be certified in the Action pursuant to California Code of Civil Procedure Section 382, and (b) the Action may proceed as a PAGA representative action.
- a. The Parties intend their settlement to be contingent upon the preliminary and final approval of each and every term of this Agreement, without material modification. The Parties and their respective counsel shall use their respective best efforts to obtain Court approval and implement this Agreement in accordance with its terms. If the Court does not so approve this Agreement, the Parties agree to meet and confer to address the Court's concerns. If the Parties are unable to agree upon a resolution, the Parties intend this Agreement to become null and void, and unenforceable, in which event the settlement terms set forth in this Agreement, including any modifications made with the consent of the Parties, and any action taken or to be taken in connection with this Agreement shall be terminated and shall become null and void and have no further force or effect, and the class certified for settlement purposes pursuant to this Agreement will be decertified for all purposes.
- b. In the event the Court does not grant preliminary or final approval of the Parties' settlement, or in the event that this Agreement shall terminate or the settlement embodied in this Agreement does not become effective for any reason, the Agreement and all negotiations, court orders and proceedings relating to the Agreement shall be without prejudice to the rights of the Named Plaintiff, Class Members and Defendant, each of whom shall be restored to their respective positions existing prior to the execution of this Agreement, and evidence relating to the Agreement and all negotiations shall not be discoverable or admissible in the Action or any other litigation. Defendant does not waive, and instead expressly reserves, its rights to challenge the propriety of class certification and/or the Action proceeding on a representative basis for any purpose should the Court not grant preliminary or final approval of the Parties' settlement.

- 37. Participating Class Member Release Of Claims. Upon the funding of the Gross Settlement Amount, the Named Plaintiff and all Participating Class Members hereby do and shall be deemed to have fully, finally, and forever released, settled, compromised, relinquished and discharged any and all of the Released Parties from the Released Claims that arose during the Class Period.
 - a. This release by the Named Plaintiff and each Participating Class Member is intended to settle any and all of the Settled Claims, whether known or unknown, that any of them may have against Defendant or any of the Released Parties during the Class Period. Thus, even if the Named Plaintiff or any Participating Class Member may subsequently discover facts in addition to or different from those they now know or believe to be true with respect to the subject matter of the Released Claims, they shall be deemed to have, and by operation of the Final Judgment shall have fully, finally, and forever settled and released any and all Settled Claims, whether known or unknown, suspected or unsuspected, contingent or non-contingent, whether or not concealed or hidden, which now exist, or have existed upon any theory of law or equity now existing or coming into existence in the future.
 - b. Because it is impossible or impracticable to have each Class Member execute this Agreement, the Class Notice will advise all Class Members of the binding nature of the release and such notice will have the same force and effect as if the Agreement were executed by each Class Member.
 - 38. Aggrieved Employees Release of PAGA Claim: In exchange for the PAGA Amount recited in this Agreement, the Named Plaintiff, as the representative for the State of California and all Aggrieved Employees, and on behalf of their current, former, and future heirs, executors, administrators, attorneys, agents, and assigns will forever completely release and discharge Defendant and each of the Released Parties from the PAGA Claims that arose during the PAGA Period. The Aggrieved Employees and the State of California will be deemed by operation of the Final Order and Judgment to have agreed not to sue or otherwise make a claim against Defendant and any of the Released Parties for the PAGA Claims that arose during the PAGA Period.

- the Named Plaintiff fully releases and discharges Defendant and the other Released Parties from the Released Claims and any other claims that the Named Plaintiff now has or claims to have, or has ever had or claimed to have, against the Released Parties through the Effective Date. Without limiting the generality of the foregoing, the Named Plaintiff specifically and expressly releases to the maximum extent permitted by law any claims against Defendants and the Released Parties, arising out of or relating to the Named Plaintiff's employment or the termination of his employment with Defendant and any other Released Party. This general release by the Named Plaintiff includes a waiver of Named Plaintiff's rights under Civil Code Section 1542, which provides: "A general release does not extend to claims that the creditor or releasing party does not know or suspect to exist in his or her favor at the time of executing the release and that, if known by him or her, would have materially affected his or her settlement with the debtor or released party."

 40. No Prior Assignments. The Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel represent and
- 40. <u>No Prior Assignments</u>. The Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel represent and warrant that they have not directly or indirectly assigned, transferred, encumbered or purported to assign, transfer, or encumber to any person or entity any portion of any liability, claim, demand, action, cause of action, or rights released and discharged by this Agreement.
- 41. <u>Settlement Payments And Calculation Of Claims</u>. Subject to final Court approval and the conditions specified in this Agreement, and in consideration of the mutual covenants and promises set forth in this Agreement, Defendant agrees to pay the Gross Settlement Amount of \$575,000.00. The Gross Settlement Amount includes, but is not limited to, payments to be made to Participating Class Members, Class Counsel's Attorneys' Fees and Costs, Enhancement Award to the Named Plaintiff, the PAGA Amount, and Settlement Administration Fees and Costs. For the avoidance of doubt, subject to the conditions set forth in this Agreement, Defendant shall not be required to pay any amount over \$575,000.00 for this Settlement. The following table summarizes the allocation of the Gross Settlement Amount:

27 1 / / /

28 ///

1 **Gross Settlement Amount of \$575,000.00, Allocated As Follows:** 2 **\$30,000.00** for the PAGA Amount 3 o **\$22,500.00** for the LWDA Payment. o \$7,500.00 for payments to Aggrieved Employees on a *pro rata* basis 4 (the PAGA Payment). 5 Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees not to exceed \$191,666.66 Class Counsel Costs not to exceed \$25,000.00 6 Up to \$7,500.00 for an Enhancement Award for Named Plaintiff 7 Settlement Administration Costs, not to exceed \$7,500 Approximately \$313,333.34 paid to Participating Class Members on a pro rata 8 basis (the Net Class Settlement Amount). 9 42. Settlement Escalator. In preparation for the mediation, Defendant represented that 10 there were approximately 19,711 non-exempt workweeks worked by the Class Members from 11 May 29, 2016 to April 12, 2021. The Parties understood that the workweeks would increase 12 between the date of the mediation and the end of the Class Period and, therefore, considered this 13 during their settlement negotiations. Accordingly, should the actual number of workweeks 14 increase by more than ten percent (10%) through May 20, 2021, the date of the Parties' mediation, 15 (i.e. by more than 1,971 workweeks) Defendant shall increase the Net Class Settlement Amount 16 on a proportional percentage basis equal to the percentage increase in the number of workweeks 17 worked by the Class Members above 10%. For example, if the number of workweeks increases 18 by 11% through May 20, 2021 to 21,879 workweeks, the Net Class Settlement Amount will 19 increase by 1%. 20 43. Apportionment of Gross Settlement Amount. The Parties agree, subject to Court 21 approval and the conditions specified in this Agreement, that the Gross Settlement Amount shall 22 be apportioned as follows: 23 <u>Class Counsel Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u>: At the final approval hearing, 24 Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of Attorneys' Fees of no more than 1/3 25 (33.33%) of the Gross Settlement Amount, which equals \$191,666.66. Class Counsel will also 26 apply to the Court for an award of actual Costs incurred by Class Counsel not to exceed the amount 27 of \$25,000.00. These fees and costs are included in, and shall come from, the Gross Settlement 28

Amount. Class Counsel will be issued an IRS Form 1099 for any fees and costs awarded by the Court pursuant to this Paragraph 43.a. Except as provided in this Paragraph 43.a, each party will bear his, her, or its own attorneys' fees, costs, and expenses incurred in the prosecution, defense, or settlement of the Action. If the Court awards a lower amount of Attorneys' Fees and Costs than the amount requested, any amount not awarded will be part of the distribution to the Participating Class Members as set forth in this Agreement and shall not be a reason to invalidate/terminate this Agreement.

- b. <u>Settlement Administrator Costs</u>: At the final approval hearing, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for approval of Settlement Administration costs not to exceed the amount of \$7,500.00. These costs are included in, and shall come from, the Gross Settlement Amount.
- c. Named Plaintiff Enhancement Award: At the final approval hearing, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of up to \$7,500.00 to be paid to the Named Plaintiff as an Enhancement Award for his services and for assuming the risks associated with this litigation, and as consideration for providing a general release. Defendant will not oppose such application. The Enhancement Award is included in, and shall come from, the Gross Settlement Amount. Named Plaintiff will be issued an IRS Form 1099 for the Enhancement Award approved by the Court pursuant to this Paragraph. The Enhancement Award payable to the Named Plaintiff shall be in addition to any payment he may receive pursuant to Paragraph 43.e, below. If the Court awards less than the amount requested, any amount not awarded will be part of the distribution to the Participating Class Members as set forth in this Agreement and shall not be a reason to invalidate/terminate this Agreement.
- d. <u>PAGA Amount</u>: At the final approval hearing, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for approval of the PAGA Amount of \$30,000.00 for claims for civil penalties asserted under PAGA. Class Counsel will submit notice of this Settlement to the LWDA, as required by Labor Code § 2699(*l*)(2). The Parties agree that 75% of the PAGA Amount (\$22,500.00) will be paid to the LWDA as the "LWDA Payment," and the remaining 25% (\$7,500.00) will be allocated to the Aggrieved Employees as the "PAGA Payment." The portion of the PAGA Payment

1	allocated to each of the Aggrieved Employees will be calculated using the same formula as set
2	forth in Paragraph 43.e, but will be limited to weeks worked during the PAGA Period. Any Class
3	Members who worked during the PAGA Period and who opt out of the Settlement will still be
4	considered Aggrieved Employees for purposes of this Paragraph 43.d and, therefore, will
5	(i) receive their portion of the PAGA Payment; and (ii) release all PAGA Claims against the
6	Released Parties.
7	e. <u>Individual Settlement Payments</u> . The Individual Settlement Payments shall
8	consist of: (i) each Participating Class Member's pro rata portion of the Net Class Settlement
9	Amount; and (ii) if applicable, each Aggrieved Employee's pro rata portion of the PAGA
10	Payment.
11	i) <u>Participating Class Member Payments</u> : After deducting the approved
12	amounts specified in Paragraphs 43.a-43.d above, each Participating Class Member will be entitled
13	to a pro rata portion of the remaining amount. Participating Class Member Payments will be
14	calculated from the Net Class Settlement Amount based on the respective number of weeks worked
15	by each Participating Class Member in a non-exempt position during the Class Period, rounded up.
16	Each Participating Class Member's share of the Net Class Settlement Amount will be calculated by
17	dividing the Participating Class Member's weeks worked in a non-exempt position by the total
18	number of weeks worked by all Class Members in a non-exempt position during the Class Period
19	and multiplying this figure by the Net Class Settlement Amount. The Class Notice will include the
20	number of weeks that the Class Member worked during the Class Period and the amount the Class
21	Member is estimated to receive under the terms of the Settlement.
22	Example: Class Member A worked 50 workweeks during the Class Period.
23	All Class Members who worked during the Class Period worked a total of 20,000
24	workweeks. Class Member A's Individual Settlement Payment would be calculated as
25	follows:
26	 50 workweeks worked by Class Member A ÷ 20,000 workweeks for all
27	Class Members = 0.25%.
28	Class Member A would be estimated to be entitled to a Participating

1	Class Member Payment in the amount of \$783.33 (0.25% x
2	\$313,333.34).
3	ii) <u>PAGA Payment</u> : For each Class Member who is also an Aggrieved
4	Employee, the Individual Settlement Payment to the Class Member will also include the Class
5	Member's <i>pro rata</i> share of the PAGA Payment, as set forth in Paragraph 43.d.
6	f. The Parties acknowledge and agree that the formula used to calculate the
7	Individual Settlement Payments does not mean that all of the elements of damages, restitutionary
8	relief, and penalties alleged in the Action are not being taken into account. The above formula
9	was devised as a practical and logistical method to simplify the participation process.
10	g. Individual Settlement Payments shall be distributed only to Participating
11	Class Members, with the exception that PAGA Payments will be distributed to all Aggrieved
12	Employees. The portion of the Net Class Settlement Amount allocated to Class Members who opt
13	out of the Settlement will be distributed to Participating Class Members on a pro rata basis based
14	on the formula set forth in Paragraph 43.e.
15	h. The Parties agree that, under no circumstances shall Defendant be obligated
16	to pay any amount under this Agreement to any Class Member other than Participating Class
17	Members, with the exception of the PAGA Payments. In addition, the Parties agree that under no
18	circumstances shall Defendant be obligated to pay more than the Gross Settlement Amount in full
19	settlement of the Action.
20	44. <u>No Credit Toward Benefit Plans</u> . The Individual Settlement Payments made to
21	Participating Class Members under this Agreement, including the PAGA Payments made to
22	Aggrieved Employees, will not be utilized to calculate any additional benefits under any benefit
23	plans to which any Participating Class Member or Aggrieved Employees may be eligible
24	including, but not limited to: profit-sharing plans, bonus plans, 401(k) plans, stock purchase plans,
25	vacation plans, sick leave plans, PTO plans, and any other benefit plan. Rather, it is the Parties'
26	intention that this Agreement will not affect any rights, contributions, or amounts to which any
27	Participating Class Member or Aggrieved Employee may be entitled under any benefit plans.
28	45. <u>Taxation Of Settlement Proceeds</u> . All settlement payments paid to Participating

Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, and the Named Plaintiff, will be paid in a net amount after applicable state and federal tax withholdings, including payroll taxes, have been deducted.

- a. The Participating Class Member Payments shall be reported as follows: (i) 10% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered wages, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on a W-2 Form; (ii) 45% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered interest on the unpaid wages, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on an IRS Form 1099; and (iii) 45% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered statutory penalties, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on an IRS Form 1099. The PAGA Payments distributed to each Aggrieved Employee will be considered penalties and will be reported on an IRS Form 1099.
- b. Prior to mailing the Individual Settlement Payments, the Settlement Administrator will calculate, withhold from the Individual Settlement Payment, and remit to applicable governmental agencies sufficient amounts as may be owed by Participating Class Members or Aggrieved Employees for required withholdings and taxes, including all payroll taxes. The Settlement Administrator will issue appropriate tax forms to each Participating Class Member and Aggrieved Employee consistent with the foregoing breakdown. The Parties understand that the Named Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees who receive an Individual Settlement Payment pursuant to this Agreement shall be solely responsible for any and all tax obligations associated with such receipt.
- c. The Parties stipulate that the Settlement Fund (as defined at Paragraph 50) will qualify as a settlement fund pursuant to the requirements of Section 468(B)(g) of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, and Section 1.468B-1 *et seq.* of the federal income tax regulations. Furthermore, the Settlement Administrator is designated as the "Administrator" of the qualified settlement funds for purposes of Section 1.468B-2(k) of the income tax regulations. Accordingly, all taxes imposed on the gross income of the Settlement Fund and any tax-related expenses arising from any income tax return or other reporting document that may be required by the Internal Revenue Service or any state or local taxing body will be paid from the Settlement

Fund.

d. All Parties represent and acknowledge that nothing in this Agreement constitutes tax advice regarding the tax treatment of payments under federal, state, or local law. The Named Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, and Aggrieved Employees will assume any such tax obligations or consequences that may arise from this Agreement and Class Members shall not seek any indemnification from the Parties or any of the Released Parties in this regard. In the event that any taxing body determines that additional taxes are due from any Class Member or Aggrieved Employee, including Named Plaintiff, such Class Member or Aggrieved Employee assumes all responsibility for the payment of such taxes.

- Order, Defendant will provide to the Settlement Administrator a list of Class Members that identifies each Class Member by name, Social Security Number, and last-known address; and specifies the number of weeks worked by each Class Member in a non-exempt position during the Class Period and the PAGA Period (the "Class List"). Defendant will provide the Class List in an Excel file or other format reasonably acceptable to the Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator will keep the list confidential and use it only for the purposes described in this Agreement.
- a. Upon receipt of the Class List, the Settlement Administrator shall perform a search based upon the National Change of Address Database to update and correct any known or identifiable address changes. The Settlement Administrator shall exercise its best judgment to determine the current mailing address for each Class Member. Within 14 calendar days after receipt of the Class List from Defendant, the Settlement Administrator will send the Class Notice to each Class Member via First Class U.S. Mail. Receipt of the Class Notice shall be presumed as to each and every Class Member whose Class Notice is not returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable within 14 calendar days after mailing.
- b. The Settlement Administrator will re-mail any notice packet returned by the United States Postal Service with a forwarding address on or before the expiration of the Notice Period. It shall be conclusively presumed that those Class Members whose re-mailed Class Notice

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- is not returned to the Settlement Administrator as undeliverable within 14 calendar days after remailing, received the Class Notice.
- c. The Settlement Administrator will use the appropriate skip tracing and National Change of Address searches to increase the likelihood of delivery of the Class Notice to Class Members, and to re-mail the notice packets returned by the Postal Service without a forwarding address upon locating new or alternate addresses after a reasonable search.
- d. Class Counsel will provide to the Court, in connection with seeking final approval of the Settlement, a declaration from the Settlement Administrator confirming that the Class Notice was mailed to all Class Members as required by this Agreement, as well as any additional information Class Counsel deems appropriate to provide to the Court.
- 47. <u>Dispute Procedure</u>. The Class Notice will include a procedure by which a Class Member may dispute the number of workweeks allocated to the Class Member by submitting a written dispute sent via U.S. Mail to the Settlement Administrator postmarked no later than the expiration of the Notice Period ("Workweek Dispute"). To be valid, a Workweek Dispute must contain the following: (i) the Class Member's full name, current address, and signature; (ii) the Action name and case number; (iii) the number of workweeks the Class Member maintains is correct; and (iv) documentary evidence sufficient to prove that Defendant's calculation of the workweeks for the Class Member is incorrect. Upon receipt of notice of a Workweek Dispute, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly serve Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel with a copy of the Workweek Dispute and any accompanying papers. No Workweek Dispute shall be effective or considered for any purpose unless it is timely mailed by U.S. mail to and received by the Settlement Administrator as provided above. Defendant shall have the right to respond to the Workweek Dispute by any Class Member. All information and documents relating to any such disputes will be provided to Class Counsel. The Settlement Administrator will resolve the Workweek Dispute and make a final and binding determination without hearing or right of appeal. The Settlement Administrator's determination will be subject to review by the Court at the time of the Final Approval Hearing so long as the Participating Class Member submits an objection to the Settlement Administrator's determination at or before the Final Approval Hearing.

Within 14 calendar days after the close of the Notice Period, the Settlement 1 a. 2 Administrator will provide Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel with a report listing the amount 3 of all Individual Settlement Payments, including the *pro rata* portion of the PAGA Payment, to be made to Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees. The report to Class Counsel will 4 not include the names or contact information of Participating Class Members and Aggrieved 5 Employees. 6 48. 7 Opt-Out Procedure. Unless a Class Member opts out of the settlement described in this Agreement, the Class Member will be bound by the terms and conditions of this Agreement, 8 including the release of the Released Claims that arose during the Class Period. A Class Member 10 will not be entitled to opt out of the settlement established by this Agreement unless the Class 11 Member submits a valid opt-out request ("Opt-Out Request"). A valid Opt-Out Request must: 12 (i) contain the Class Member's full name, current address, and signature; (ii) the Action name and case number; (iii) a written request clearly expressing the Class Member's desire to be excluded 13 from (or opt out of) the Settlement; and (iv) be returned so that it is postmarked on or before the 14 15 expiration of the Notice Period. Any Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period and who opt out of the Settlement will still be considered Aggrieved Employees for purposes of this 16 17 Agreement. Upon receipt of any Opt-Out Request within the Notice Period, the 18 Settlement Administrator shall review the Opt-Out Request to confirm that it complies with the 19 opt-out requirements of this Agreement. 20 21 b. Any Class Member who fails to submit a timely, complete, and valid Opt-22 Out Request will be barred from opting out of this Agreement or the settlement, unless otherwise 23 ordered by the Court. If the Settlement Administrator receives a timely Opt-Out Request that is incomplete, it will make reasonable attempts to contact the class member to cure the defect. The 24 25 Settlement Administrator will not consider any Opt-Out Request postmarked after the end of the

Notice Period, but will report its receipt of any such requests to Class Counsel and counsel for

Defendant. It shall be presumed that, if an Opt-Out Request is not postmarked on or before the

end of the Notice Period, the Class Member did not make the request in a timely manner. A

26

27

declaration submitted by any Class Member attesting to the mailing of an Opt-Out Request on or before the expiration of the Notice Period shall be insufficient to overcome the conclusive presumption that the Opt-Out Request was untimely. Under no circumstances shall the Settlement Administrator have the authority to extend the deadline for Class Members to submit a request to opt out of the settlement without Defendant's written consent.

- c. At the close of the Notice Period, the Settlement Administrator shall report the names of all individuals who opted-out of the Agreement to the parties and include this information in a Declaration regarding the distribution of the notice that will be provided in support of Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval.
- d. If either: (i) 5% or more of the Class Members or (ii) Class Members who account for 5% or more of the total workweeks, timely opt out of the settlement, Defendant will have the sole and absolute discretion to withdraw from this Agreement within 14 calendar days after Defendant receives notice of the number of opt outs. Defendant will provide written notice to Class Counsel if it intends to withdraw from this Agreement. In the event that Defendant elects to so withdraw, the withdrawal shall have the same effect as a termination of this Agreement for failure to satisfy a condition of settlement, and the Agreement shall become null and void and have no further force or effect, and the class certified pursuant to this Agreement will be decertified for all purposes. If Defendant chooses to terminate this Settlement Agreement under this provision, it shall be responsible to pay the Settlement Administrator's fees and costs. If the Settlement Agreement is terminated for any other reason, including the Court's failure to grant final approval of the Parties' settlement, then Class Counsel and Defendant will be jointly responsible for the Settlement Administrator's fees and costs.
- 49. <u>Objections To Settlement</u>. Any Class Member may object to the Settlement. Any written objection must be mailed to the Settlement Administrator (who shall promptly provide a copy to Class Counsel and counsel for Defendant) by the close of the Notice Period. Class Counsel will ensure that any written objections get filed with the Court concurrently with the final approval documents. Class Members who have not objected in writing may still appear and be heard at the Settlement Hearing.

1 Written objections to the Settlement must contain at least the following: a. (i) the objecting Class Member's full name, current address, and signature; (ii) a clear reference to 2 3 the Action; (iii) a statement of the specific reasons why the objector believes the Settlement is unfair or objects to the Settlement; and (iv) a statement whether the objector intends to appear at 4 the final approval hearing, either in person or through counsel and, if through counsel, a statement 5 identifying that counsel by name, bar number, address and telephone number. All objections shall 6 be signed by the objecting Class Member or the Class Member's legally authorized representative. b. Class Counsel or Defendant's counsel may, before the Final Hearing Date, 8 file responses to any written objections submitted to the Court. 10 c. Unless they opt out of the Settlement as specified in Paragraph 48, Class 11 Members who object to the proposed settlement or the Agreement will remain Participating Class 12 Members, and shall be deemed to have voluntarily waived their right to pursue an independent remedy against Defendant and the other Released Parties. To the extent any Participating Class 13 14 Member objects to the proposed settlement or Agreement and such objection is overruled in whole 15 or in part, such individuals will be bound by the Court's Final Approval Order. d. 16 In the event that any person objects to or opposes this proposed settlement 17 or the Agreement, or attempts to intervene in or otherwise enter the Action, the Parties and Class Counsel will use their best efforts to defend the Settlement. 18 50. Funding And Distribution Of Settlement. 19 20 a. Within 14 calendar days of the close of the Notice Period, the Settlement Administrator will provide a draft declaration to Class Counsel and Defendant's counsel setting 21 22 forth: the number of Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees; the identity of those 23 individuals who opted-out of the Settlement; the total amount payable to all Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees; and the total PAGA Amount, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, 24 25 Enhancement Award, Settlement Administration Costs, Net Class Settlement Amount, and the appropriate applicable employer's taxes for any portion of the Individual Settlement Payments 26 27 designated as wages.

Within 14 calendar days after the Effective Date, Defendant shall remit to

28

b.

the Settlement Administrator: (i) the Gross Settlement Amount of \$575,000.00 and (ii) the employer's taxes for any portion of the Individual Settlement Payments designated as wages (the "Settlement Fund"). The delivery by Defendant of the Settlement Fund to the Settlement Administrator will constitute the full and complete discharge of the entire obligation of Defendant under this Agreement. No Released Party will have any further obligation or liability to the Named Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, or Class Counsel under this Agreement, regardless of whether the Named Plaintiff, Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, or Class Counsel receive the payments from the Settlement Administrator set forth in this Agreement.

- c. The distribution of Individual Settlement Payments to Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees will occur no later than 21 calendar days after receipt of the Settlement Fund from Defendant ("Settlement Proceeds Distribution Deadline"). The Settlement Administrator shall be deemed to have timely distributed Individual Settlement Payments if it places in the mail Individual Settlement Payments for all Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees by the Settlement Proceeds Distribution Deadline. No person will have any claim against the Settlement Administrator, Defendant, Class Counsel, Defendant's counsel, or any other agent designated by the Named Plaintiff or Class Counsel based upon the distribution of Individual Settlement Payments made substantially in accordance with this Agreement or further orders of the Court.
- d. The distribution of the LWDA Payment, Attorneys' Fees and Costs, and the Enhancement Award shall occur no later than 21 calendar days after the Settlement Administrator receives the Settlement Fund from Defendant.
- e. If a Participating Class Member's or Aggrieved Employee's check is returned to the Settlement Administrator, the Settlement Administrator will make reasonable efforts to re-mail it to the Participating Class Member or Aggrieved Employee at the correct address. It is expressly understood and agreed that the checks for the Individual Settlement Payments will become void and no longer available if not cashed within 180 days after mailing. The funds from uncashed and voided checks will be transferred to the State of California's

Unclaimed Property Fund in the name of the Participating Class Member/Aggrieved Employee.

- f. Defendant will not be obligated to make any payments contemplated by this Agreement unless and until the Court enters the Final Order and Judgment, and after the Effective Date of the Agreement.
- g. Within 60 days of the Settlement Proceeds Distribution Deadline, the Settlement Administrator will provide written certification of completion of settlement administration to Class Counsel and to Defendants' Counsel.
- 51. <u>Binding Effect Of Agreement On Class Members</u>. Subject to final Court approval and the occurrence of the Effective Date, and unless otherwise provided in this Agreement, all Participating Class Members will be bound by this Agreement.
- 52. <u>Binding Effect Of Agreement On Aggrieved Employees and State of California.</u>
 The Aggrieved Employees and the State of California are deemed by operation of the Final Order and Judgment to have agreed not to sue or otherwise make a claim against Defendant or any of the Released Parties for any of the PAGA Claims.
- 53. Provisional Approval Of Settlement. Named Plaintiff will file a motion in the Action requesting that the Court enter the Preliminary Approval Order within 30 days of the complete execution of this Agreement. Defendant will not oppose Class Counsel's motion for preliminary approval of the settlement so long as the motion and supporting papers are consistent with the terms of this Agreement. Class Counsel will provide Defendant's counsel with a reasonable opportunity to review, and provide comments to, the motion for preliminary approval of the settlement before the motion and supporting papers are filed with the Court. Notwithstanding the foregoing, Defendant may, without opposing the preliminary approval motion, advise the Court if Defendant disagrees with any of the factual statements included by the Named Plaintiff in the motion and supporting papers. Defendant's counsel will meet and confer with Class Counsel regarding any disputed factual statements before notifying the Court of any disputes.
- 54. <u>Non-Interference With Claims Procedure</u>. The Parties and their counsel agree that they will not advise, solicit, or otherwise encourage any Class Members to submit requests for

exclusion or objections to the settlement or to appeal from the Final Order or Final Judgment.

- 55. <u>Final Order and Judgment</u>. The Named Plaintiff will request that the Court enter, after the Settlement Hearing finally approving this Agreement, a Final Order and Judgment. Named Plaintiff will request that the Final Order and Judgment certify the Participating Class; find that this Agreement is fair, just, equitable, reasonable, adequate and in the best interests of the Class and the Aggrieved Employees; list the employees (if any) who opted-out of the settlement; order that the Participating Class Members and Aggrieved Employees, and the State of California, release the Released Parties from the Released Claims and PAGA Claims as set forth in this Agreement; and require the Parties to carry out the provisions of this Agreement.
- 56. Automatic Voiding Of Agreement If Settlement Not Finalized. If for any reason the settlement set forth in this Agreement does not become final, the settlement will be null and void and the orders, judgment, and dismissal to be entered pursuant to this Agreement shall be vacated, and the Parties will be returned to the status quo prior to entering this Agreement with respect to the Action, as if the Parties had never entered into this Agreement, and the class certified pursuant to this Agreement will be decertified for all purposes. In addition, in such event, the Agreement and all negotiations, court orders, and proceedings relating to this Agreement shall be without prejudice to the rights of any and all parties to this Agreement, and evidence relating to the Agreement and all negotiations shall not be admissible or discoverable in the Action or otherwise.
- 57. <u>No Double Recovery.</u> No person who has already released, assigned, or otherwise forfeited the claims asserted in the Action will be considered a Class Member or be entitled to recover under this Agreement.
- 58. <u>No Publicity</u>. The Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel agree that they shall not discuss, answer questions about, promote, or publicize the filing of the Action, the Parties' settlement, this Agreement and its terms, or the negotiations leading to this Agreement with anyone other than the Court or those individuals necessary to effectuate the terms of the Agreement. The prohibition set forth in this Paragraph 58 includes, but is not limited to: (i) publication by Named Plaintiff or Class Counsel on any website (including, without limitation, publishing on any Twitter

documents and perform all acts necessary and proper to effectuate the terms of this Agreement.

The execution of such documents must take place prior to the Final Hearing Date. In the event the

27

28

1	Parties are unable to reach agreement on the form or content of any document needed to implement
2	the Settlement, or any supplemental provisions that may become necessary to effectuate the terms
3	of the Settlement, the Parties agree to seek the assistance of the Court.
4	62. <u>Notices</u> . All notices, requests, demands, and other communications required or
5	permitted to be given pursuant to this Agreement shall be in writing, and shall be delivered
6	personally or by first class mail to the Settlement Administrator approved by the Court and the
7	undersigned persons at their respective addresses as set forth below:
8	<u>Class Counsel</u>
9	WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
10	Justin F. Marquez, Esq. Nicol E. Hajjar, Esq.
11	Rachel J. Vinson, Esq. 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12 th Floor
12	Los Angeles, California 90010
13	Telephone: 213-381-9988
14	Counsel For Defendant
15	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
16	Brandon L. Sylvia, Esq. Kimberly A. Nayagam, Esq.
17	18575 Jamboree Road, 9th Floor
18	Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (714) 641-5100
19	
20	63. <u>Binding on Successors</u> . This Agreement will be binding upon and will inure to the
21	benefit of the Parties and their respective successors, assigns, executors, administrators, heirs and
22	legal representatives.
23	64. Entire Agreement. This Agreement constitutes the full, complete, and entire
24	understanding, agreement, and arrangement between the Named Plaintiff, the Class Members, and
25	the Aggrieved Employees, on the one hand, and Defendant, on the other hand, with respect to the
26	settlement of the Action. This Agreement supersedes any and all prior oral or written
27	understandings, agreements and arrangements between the Parties with respect to the settlement
28	of the Action. Except for those set forth expressly in this Agreement, there are no other

3

11

23 24 25

counsel for one of the Parties, it being recognized that, because of the arms-length negotiations between the Parties, all Parties have contributed to the preparation of this Agreement. 70. Representation and Warranties. Class Counsel and the Named Plaintiff represent

27 28

26

and warrant to Defendant that they are not aware of any attorneys beyond those named as Class Counsel who have claims for fees arising out of the Action or the Settlement contemplated by this

- Authorization to Act. Each Party to this Agreement covenants and warrants that (a) such Party has full power and authority to enter into and consummate all transactions contemplated by this Agreement and have duly authorized the execution, delivery and performance of this Agreement and (b) the person executing this Agreement for such Party has the full right, power and authority to enter into this Agreement on behalf of such Party, and the full right, power and authority to execute any and all necessary instruments in connection with the Settlement, and to fully bind such Party to the terms and obligations of this Agreement.
- 72. Representation By Counsel. The Parties acknowledge that each of them has been represented by their respective counsel throughout all negotiations that preceded the execution of this Agreement, and that this Agreement has been executed with the consent and advice of their respective counsel. Further, the Named Plaintiff and Class Counsel warrant and represent that there are no liens on the Settlement Agreement, and that after entry by the Court of the Final Order and Judgment, the Settlement Administrator may distribute funds to Participating Class Members, Aggrieved Employees, Class Counsel, the LWDA, the Settlement Administrator, and the Named Plaintiff as provided by this Agreement.
- 73. <u>Representation By The Named Plaintiff</u>. The Named Plaintiff agrees not to request to be excluded from the Class and not to object to any terms of this Agreement. Any such request by the Named Plaintiff for exclusion or objection shall be void and of no force or effect.
- 74. <u>Additional Attorneys' Fees and Costs</u>. No Participating Class Member, Aggrieved Employee, or Class Counsel, or any other attorney acting for any Participating Class Member or Aggrieved Employee, may recover or seek to recover any amounts for fees, costs, or disbursements arising from the Action or the Gross Settlement Amount from the Released Parties except as expressly provided in this Agreement.
 - 75. <u>No Reliance on Representations</u>. The Parties have made such investigations of the

1	facts and the law pertaining to the ma	atters described in this Agreement as they deem necessary,
2	and have not relied, and do not rely,	on any statement, promise, or representation of fact or law,
3	made by any other Party, or any of t	their agents, employees, attorneys, or representatives, with
4	regard to any of their rights or assert	ted rights, or with regard to the advisability of making and
5	executing this Agreement, or with res	pect to any such matters. No representations, warranties, or
6	inducements have been made to any P	Party concerning this Agreement.
7	76. <u>No Collateral Attack</u> .	This Agreement will not be subject to collateral attack by
8	any Class Member or any recipient of	the Class Notice after the Final Order and Dismissal. Such
9	prohibited collateral attacks shall inclu	ude but not be limited to claims that the Class Member failed
10	for any reason to receive timely notice	e of the procedure for disputing the calculation of his or her
11	Individual Settlement Payment, or for	opting out of the Settlement.
12	IT IC CO. A CREED	
13	IT IS SO AGREED:	
14		DI A INTELE VICTOR DEDEZ
15		PLAINTIFF VICTOR PEREZ Docusigned by:
16	Dated: 8/27/2021	By: 0000 3 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1
17		Victor Perez Named Plaintiff
18		
19	Dated:	DEFENDANT THE BIG COMPANY, INC. DBA CAPO FIRESIDE
20		DDA CAFO FIRESIDE
21		By:
22		Title:
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-28-

1	facts and the law pertaining to the matters described in this Agreement as they deem necessary,			
2	and have not relied, and do not rely, on any statement, promise, or representation of fact or law,			
3	made by any other Party, or any of their agents, employees, attorneys, or representatives, with			
4	regard to any of their rights or asserted rights, or with regard to the advisability of making and			
5	executing this Agreement, or with respect to any such matters. No representations, warranties, or			
6	inducements have been made to any Party concerning this Agreement.			
7	76. No Collateral Attack. This Agreement will not be subject to collateral attack by			
8	any Class Member or any recipient of the Class Notice after the Final Order and Dismissal. Such			
9	prohibited collateral attacks shall include but not be limited to claims that the Class Member failed			
10	for any reason to receive timely notice of the procedure for disputing the calculation of his or her			
11	Individual Settlement Payment, or for opting out of the Settlement.			
12	IT IS SO A CREED			
13	IT IS SO AGREED:			
14	DI AINTER MOTOR DEDEZ			
15	PLAINTIFF VICTOR PEREZ			
16	Dated: By:			
17	Victor Perez Named Plaintiff			
18				
	Dated: 877 W71 DEFENDANT THE BIG COMPANY, INC. DBA CAPO FIRESIDE			
20				
21	By:			
22	Title:			
23				
24				
25				
2627				
28				
ں	-28-			
	SETTLEMENT AGREEMENT AND STIPULATION TO RESOLVE:			

1	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT AGREE TO BE BOUND BY PARAGRAPH 58	
2	AGREE TO BE BOUND BY PARAGRAPH 30	S.
3	Dated: August 27, 2021	WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
4		D. And Mark?
5		By: Attorney for Plaintiff
6	APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:	
7		
8	Dated: August 30, 2021	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP
9		3/2/
10		By: Attorney for Defendant
11		\mathcal{M}
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
		-29-
	CETTI EMENT A CREEMENT A	AND CTIDUL ATION TO DEGOLVE.

EXHIBIT A

SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA FOR THE COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO

VICTOR PEREZ, INDIVIDUALLY AND ON BEHALF OF ALL OTHERS SIMILARLY SITUATED,

PLAINTIFF,

VS.

THE BIG COMPANY, INC. DBA CAPO FIRESIDE, A CALIFORNIA CORPORATION, AND DOES 1 THROUGH 10, INCLUSIVE,

DEFENDANTS.

Case No. CIVDS2009691

Assigned for All Purposes To: Hon. David Cohn, Dept. S26

NOTICE OF PROPOSED CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT AND FINAL FAIRNESS HEARING

YOU MAY BE ENTITLED TO BENEFITS FROM THIS SETTLEMENT. YOUR ESTIMATED SETTLEMENT AMOUNT IS \$_____. PLEASE CONTINUE READING TO LEARN HOW THIS SETTLEMENT AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS.

Notice is given that a proposed settlement (the "Settlement") has been reached between plaintiff Victor Perez ("Plaintiff") and Defendant The BIG Company Inc. dba CAPO Fireside ("Defendant"). The lawsuit is a class action and representative action lawsuit entitled *Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside, et al.*, San Bernardino County Superior Court Case No. CIVDS2009691 (the "Litigation" or "Action").

The Settlement will resolve all Released Claims, as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement, made against Defendant and other Released Parties on behalf of the Class, *i.e.*, all current and former employees who worked in a non-exempt or hourly-paid position for The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside within the state of California from May 29, 2016 to July 19, 2021 (the "Class Period").

The Settlement will also resolve all PAGA Claims, as that term is defined in the Settlement Agreement, made against Defendant and other Released Parties on behalf of the Aggrieved Employees, *i.e.*, all Class Members who worked from March 26, 2019 to July 19, 2021 (the "PAGA Period"). Any Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period will be considered an Aggrieved Employee and, therefore, regardless of how they respond to this Notice, all Class Members who worked during the PAGA Period will (i) receive their portion of the PAGA Payment; and (ii) release all PAGA Claims against the Released Parties as set forth in the Settlement Agreement.

A. PURPOSE OF THIS NOTICE

The Court has ordered that this Class Notice be sent to you because Defendant's records reflect that you are a potential member of the Class. The purpose of this Notice is to: (a) provide a brief description of the Litigation; (b) inform you of the proposed Settlement; and (c) discuss your rights and options with respect to the Litigation and the Settlement.

B. <u>DESCRIPTION OF THE LITIGATION</u>

The Action was filed by Plaintiff on behalf of all current and former employees who worked in a non-exempt or hourly-paid position for The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside within the state of California during the Class Period (the "Class" or "Class Members"). As alleged in the Lawsuit, Plaintiff claims that Class Members were wrongfully denied wages and overtime compensation, meal and rest breaks, accurate wage statements, and other rights guaranteed under the Labor Code.

The Action alleges class and representative claims against Defendant for (1) failure to pay minimum and regular wages; (2) failure to pay overtime; (3) failure to provide meal periods and pay meal period premiums; (4) failure to authorize and permit rest periods and pay rest period premiums; (5) failure to timely pay wages; (6) failure to provide accurate itemized wage statements; (7) violation of California Business and Professions Code § 17200 et seq.; and (8) violation of Labor Code § 2698 et seq. Defendant denies each and all of the claims and contentions alleged by Plaintiff.

The Court has made no ruling on the merits of Plaintiff's class claims or Defendant's defenses. The Court will decide whether to give final approval to the Settlement at a hearing scheduled for ________, 2021, at _______a.m., in Department S26 of the San Bernardino County Superior Court, located at San Bernardino County Superior Court, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415.

Attorneys for the Class ("Class Counsel") in the Litigation are:

CLASS COUNSEL

WILSHIRE LAW FIRM
Justin F. Marquez, Esq.
Nicol E. Hajjar, Esq.
Rachel J. Vinson, Esq.
3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12th Floor
Los Angeles, California 90010
Telephone: 213-381-9988

Counsel For Defendant

RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP Brandon L. Sylvia, Esq. Kimberly A. Nayagam, Esq. 18575 Jamboree Road, 9th Floor Irvine, California 92612 Telephone: (714) 641-5100

C. YOUR OPTIONS

If you fit within the above description of the Class, you have several options. Your rights regarding each option, and the procedure you must follow to select each option, are explained below.

1. OPTION 1: Do Nothing and Remain a Member of the Class and Participate in the Settlement.

If you want to participate in the Class Settlement and receive a Participating Class Member Settlement Payment, <u>DO NOT TAKE ANY ACTION</u>. You will automatically be included in the Class Settlement and will receive the Participating Class Member Settlement Payment as detailed below. If you move, make sure you contact the Settlement Administrator at the following address or phone number:

THE BIG COMPANY, INC. SETTLEMENT ADMINISTRATOR

[INSERT ADDRESS AND PHONE NUMBER]

YOUR ESTIMATED PARTICIPATING CLASS MEMBER PAYMENT IS \$______. This amount is based on the number of workweeks during which you worked during the Class Period, based on the best information available, which is ______ workweeks. The settlement amount is just an estimate of your individual class settlement payment ("Participating Class Member Payment"). The exact Participating Class Member Payment allocated to you will not be determined until the class notice process is completed and the Court grants final approval of the Settlement.

If you believe the number of workweeks stated above is incorrect and you wish to challenge it, you must send the enclosed dispute form to the Settlement Administrator, stating what you believe is the correct number of workweeks, and providing any documents or other back-up you have for what you believe is the correct number. This form must be postmarked no later than <code>[date]</code>. You must also provide your name and telephone number. Defendants will have the right to respond to any challenge by a Class Member. The Settlement Administrator will resolve the challenge and make a final and binding determination without hearing or right of appeal. The Settlement Administrator's determination will be subject to review by the Court at the time of the final approval hearing. If you want confirmation that your correspondence has been received by the Settlement Administrator, you may send your letter by certified U.S. Mail with a return receipt request.

If you choose to participate in the Class Settlement, you will be bound by all the terms set forth in the Class Settlement, including a full release of claims for the matters being settled in the Action.

2. OPTION 2: Opt Out of the Class and Receive Nothing Under the Class Settlement

If you do not want to receive a payment from the Class Settlement, you can request exclusion from the Class (i.e., "opt out"). You can opt out of the Class by completing the Opt-Out Form included with this notice and sending it to the Settlement Administrator at the above-stated address, such that it is **postmarked** no later than [date]. This Opt-Out Form must be **signed** by you.

If you opt out of the Class, you will no longer be a member of the Class, you will be barred from participating in this Class Settlement, and you will not receive a Participating Class Member Payment. By opting out of the Class, you will retain whatever individual rights or claims you may have, if any, against Defendant, and you will be free to pursue them on an individual basis, if you choose to do so. Notwithstanding, all Aggrieved Employees will be issued payment of their PAGA Aggrieved Employee Payment regardless of whether they opt out of the Class Settlement, in which case you would still be bound by the PAGA portion of the Settlement.

3. OPTION 3: Object to the Settlement

If you are a Class Member who does not opt out of the Class Settlement, you may object to the Class Settlement, personally or through an attorney, by submitting your objection to the Settlement Administrator

(), at the address set forth above. All written objections must be signed and must contain your address, telephone number and a reference to the case name and number. All objections must be sent to the Settlement Administrator at the above-stated address, such that it is **postmarked** no later than [date]. Your written objection should clearly explain why you object to the Class Settlement and must state whether you (or someone on your behalf) intend to appear at the final fairness hearing on ______. Your failure to timely submit your objection to the Settlement Administrator may preclude your objection from being heard at the final fairness hearing. If you object to the Class Settlement and if the Court approves the Class Settlement as set forth in the Settlement Agreement, you will be bound by the terms of the Class Settlement in the same way as a Class Member who does not object.

D. <u>SUMMARY OF TERMS OF SETTLEMENT</u>

The principal terms of the Settlement reached between Plaintiff and Defendant are summarized below.

1. <u>Settlement Terms</u>

The Settlement provides that (a) Defendant will pay \$575,000 (the "Gross Settlement Amount") to resolve all claims asserted in the Action, an enhancement award, settlement administration costs, the PAGA Amount, and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, as described more fully below; and (b) Defendant will stipulate to the certification of the Class for settlement purposes only, conditioned upon the Court granting final approval of the Settlement.

- <u>Attorneys' Fees and Costs.</u> Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees of no more than \$191,666.66, which is approximately 33½% of the Gross Settlement Amount. In addition, Class Counsel will seek reimbursement of actual costs of no more than \$25,000, as well as settlement administration costs of \$7,500. The attorneys' fees and costs will be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- <u>Class Representative Enhancement Award.</u> Plaintiff will request an enhancement award of \$7,500. The enhancement award will be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- PAGA Payment. Plaintiff will request a payment pursuant to the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") of \$30,000, of which 75% (or \$22,500) will be paid to the Labor & Development Workforce Agency, and 25% (or \$7,500) will be paid to Aggrieved Employees, as defined by the Settlement Agreement. This PAGA payment will be paid out of the Gross Settlement Amount.
- Payments To Participating Class Members. After the deductions from the Gross Settlement Amount, the remaining amount of approximately \$313,333.34 will remain to be distributed to Participating Class Members on a *pro rata* basis based on each Class Member's workweeks during which the Class Member worked during the Class Period.
- <u>Payments To Aggrieved Employees.</u> In addition to the *pro rata* portion of the approximately \$313,333.34 payable to Participating Class Members discussed above, Aggrieved Employees will receive a *pro rata* portion of \$7,500 (the "PAGA Payment"), based on the formula set forth above, limited to workweeks worked

during the PAGA Period. The PAGA Payment represents penalties under the Labor Code Private Attorneys General Act. Class Members who opt out of the Settlement and are Aggrieved Employees will still receive their portion of the PAGA Payment.

<u>Settlement Payment To You:</u> Section C of this Notice includes the estimated amount that you are entitled to receive under the Class Settlement. The individual settlement payments to Class Members will vary based on a number of factors, including the number of Class Members who participate. The Settlement Payment will be mailed to you within approximately 90 days of the Court's Order granting final approval of the Class Settlement. If you change your address, please notify the Settlement Administrator.

Taxes: For purposes of this Settlement, Participating Class Member Payments shall be reported as follows: (i) 10% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered wages, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on a W-2 Form; (ii) 45% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered interest on the unpaid wages, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on an IRS Form 1099; and (iii) 45% of the amount distributed to each Participating Class Member will be considered statutory penalties, and will be reported as such to each Participating Class Member on an IRS Form 1099. The PAGA Payments distributed to each Aggrieved Employee will be considered penalties and will be reported on an IRS Form 1099. Prior to mailing your Individual Settlement Payment, the Settlement Administrator will calculate and deduct from your Individual Settlement Payment an amount necessary to pay the required withholdings and taxes, including all payroll taxes. The Settlement Administrator will remit that money to the appropriate governmental agencies. The Settlement Administrator will also issue you appropriate tax forms, including an IRS Form 1099 and W-2 Form. Class Members should consult their tax advisors concerning the tax consequences of the payments they receive under the Settlement.

2. Release

The Settlement provides that each Class Member who does not opt out of the Settlement fully releases and discharges Defendants and the Released Parties (as defined in the Settlement Agreement) from any and all claims, known or unknown, contingent or accrued, against Defendants and the Released Parties that (a) arise from the facts, matters, transactions or occurrences alleged in the Action based on such facts; or (b) arise from the facts, matters, transactions or occurrences alleged, or that could have been alleged, in the letter sent by Class Counsel to LWDA on or about March 23, 2020, asserting that Defendant violated various provisions of the Labor Code. Without limiting the foregoing, and in addition to the foregoing, the Settled Claims include claims for failure to pay the minimum wage; failure to pay regular wages; failure to pay overtime compensation and other premium wages; off-the-clock work; failure to provide and maintain complete and accurate itemized wage statements that included all information required by the California Labor Code; failure to provide meal periods and rest breaks or additional pay in lieu thereof; untimely payment of wages; violations of California Labor Code §§ 201, 202, 203, 204, 210, 218.5, 218.6, 226, 226.3, 226.7, 510, 512, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1182.12, 1194, 1194.2, 1197, 1197.1, 1198, 1191; related violations of the applicable California Wage Orders; violations of all related or corresponding federal laws; violation of California Business and Professions Code Section 17200 et seq.; and claims under California Labor Code Section 2698 et seq.

A complete statement of the release of claims is contained in the Settlement Agreement and is binding on all Class Members who do not opt out of the Class Settlement. A copy of the Settlement Agreement may be obtained from the Settlement Administrator.

3. Conditions Of The Settlement

The Settlement is conditioned upon the Court entering an Order at or following the final fairness hearing approving the Settlement as fair, reasonable, and adequate and in the best interests of the Class.

4. No Admission Of Liability

Defendant does not admit any wrongdoing or liability, and specifically denies any liability or wrongdoing. The Settlement reflects a compromise of disputed claims and does not mean that Defendant violated any state wage requirements or is liable for any of the allegations made by Plaintiff.

E. FAIRNESS HEARING ON PROPOSED SETTLEMENT

Any Class Member who does not object in the manner provided above shall be deemed to have approved the Settlement and to have waived any objections, and shall be forever foreclosed from objecting to the fairness or adequacy of the proposed Settlement, the plan of distribution, the payment of attorneys' fees and costs, the administration process, the enhancement award to Named Plaintiff, or any other aspect of the Settlement. If the Settlement is not approved, the Action will continue to be prepared for class certification, trial, or other judicial resolution.

F. <u>ADDITIONAL INFORMATION</u>

This Notice only summarizes the Action and the Settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the settlement, you may review the detailed "Settlement Agreement and Stipulation to Resolve Class Action and PAGA Claims" which is available for viewing online on the following website:

URL: [Insert website provided by Settlement Administrator]

The pleadings and other records in the lawsuit are also available on the website.

For more information, you may also inspect the Court files at the San Bernardino County Superior Court, located at San Bernardino County Superior Court, 247 West Third Street, San Bernardino, CA 92415, from 8:00 a.m. to 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday or you can view the case records online at the court's website: https://www.sb-court.org/divisions/civil-general-information/court-case-information-and-document-sales. Any questions regarding this Class Notice or the Action may be directed to the Settlement Administrator at the above address and telephone number. Alternatively, you may contact your own attorney, at your own expense, to advise you, or you may contact Class Counsel at the address and telephone number set forth above. If your address changes or is different from the address on the envelope enclosing this Notice, please promptly notify the Settlement Administrator.

PLEASE DO NOT CALL OR WRITE THE COURT ABOUT THIS NOTICE.

Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS 2009691

OPT-OUT FORM

MAIL OR FAX TO: c/o [Administrator] P.O. Box ***** ************. CA *****

Fax: (***) ***-***

IF YOU WISH TO PARTICIPATE IN THE CLASS SETTLEMENT AND RECEIVE THE CLASS MEMBER PAYMENT SPECIFIED IN THE CLASS NOTICE THAT YOU RECEIVED, **DO NOT** FILL OUT THIS FORM.

COMPLETE THIS FORM **ONLY IF** YOU WISH TO EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE CLASS SETTLEMENT. IF YOU EXCLUDE YOURSELF, YOU **WILL NOT** RECEIVE THE CLASS MEMBER PAYMENT SPECIFIED IN THE CLASS NOTICE.

I request to be excluded from the Class Settlement in the matter of *Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside*, San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS 2009691. I understand that, by submitting this Opt-Out Form, I will no longer be eligible for the Class Member Payment specified in the Class Notice that I received.

Sign your name here	Date
Print your name here	
IF YOU WISH TO BE EXCLUDED FROM OR FAX THIS OPT-OUT FORM BY	THE CLASS SETTLEMENT, YOU MUST MAIL , 2021 TO THE FOLLOWING
ADDRESS OR FAX:	, 2021 TO THE FOLLOWI

c/o [Administrator] P.O. Box ***** **********, CA ***** Fax: (***) ***-****

[BAR CODE OR CLASS MEMBER ID WILL BE INCLUDED HERE]

Victor Perez v. The BIG Company, Inc. dba CAPO Fireside San Bernardino County Superior Court, Case No. CIVDS 2009691

DISPUTE FORM

	«CD»-«Seq» «MailRec»	Name/Address Cha	anges (if any):
«c/o» «Address1» «Address2»		First Name	Last Name
«City», «ST» «Zip» «Country»			Address
Email address:		City	State Zip
If your name or address is different from	those shown above, print co	rrections on the lines	to the right.
Your estimated Class Member Paymer Your estimated Class Member Paymen			
Class Period: Workweeks Estimated Class Member Payment:	Covered Period Start De «Workweeks» «Estimated Amount»	ate – Covered Perio	d End Date
Your actual Class Member Payment may rulings related to fees, costs, incentive inaccurate, you do not need to do anyth	payment, and other factor	ors. Unless you beli	
DO NOT fill out the portion below accurate or your address is incorrect. and mail it to the Settlement Administra	If you would like to dispute	e the above informati	on, please sign and date the below,
	OPTION TO DI	SPUTE	
I have enclosed documentation s without documentary evidence	de ("CAPO") as a non-ex- substantiating my dispute. e to support my dispute riew CAPO's records and	empt employee in C I recognize that it I understand that make a determinati	workweeks for The BIG alifornia during the Class Period. my dispute will not be reviewed to by submitting this dispute, the control based on those records and the
Sign your name he	re		Date
Print your name he	re	Tei	lephone Number
OU MUST MAIL OR FAX THIS ADDRESS OR FAX:	S DISPUTE FORM BY		, 2021 TO THE FOLLOWING
	P.O. Box **	****	
	Fax: (***) ***		

If you want confirmation that your correspondence has been received by the Settlement Administrator, you may send your letter by certified U.S. Mail with a return receipt request.

EXHIBIT 2



For 220 Class Members		
WILL NOT EXCEED	\$7,500.00	
Subtotal Admin Only	\$7,500.00	
Total Class Claimants	218	
Opt Outs Received	2	
Opt Out Rate	1%	
Class Members	220	
CASE ASSUMPTIONS		

August 19, 2021

Case: Victor Perez v. The Big Company, Inc., Opt-Out wLanguage

Phoenix Contact: Jodey Lawrence Requesting Attorney: Justin Marquez

Contact Number: 949.566.1455 Firm: Wilshire Law Firm, PLC

Email: Jodey@phoenixclassaction.com Contact Number: (213) 381-9988, Ext. 345

Email: justin@wilshirelawfirm.com

Assumptions and Estimate are based on information provided by counsel. If class size changes, PSA will need to adjust this Estimate accordingly. Estimate is based on 220 Class Members. PSA assumes class data will be sent in Microsoft Excel or other usable format with no or reasonable additional formatting needed. A rate of \$150 per hour will be charged for any additional analysis or programming.

Case & Database Setup / Toll Free Setup & Call Center / NCOA (USPS)				
Administrative Tasks:	Hours/Units Line Ite	em Estimate		
Programming Manager	\$100.00	2	\$200.00	
Programming Database & Setup	\$100.00	2	\$200.00	
Toll Free Setup*	\$128.98	1	\$128.98	
Call Center & Long Distance	\$2.50	22	\$55.00	
NCOA (USPS)	220	0.24	\$52.80	
		Total	\$636.78	

^{*} Up to 120 days after disbursement

Data Merger & Scrub / Notice Packet, Opt-Out Form & Postage /Translation / Website				
Project Action	Rate	Hours/Units	Line Item Estimate	
Notice Packet Formatting	\$100.00	2	\$200.00	
Data Merge & Duplication Scrub	\$0.15	220	\$33.00	
Notice Packet & Opt-Out Form	\$1.75	220	\$385.00	
Estimated Postage (up to 2 oz.)*	\$0.70	220	\$154.00	
Language Translation	\$1,000.00	1	\$1,000.00	
Website	\$200.00	1	\$200.00	
		Total	\$1,972.00	

^{*} Prices good for 90 days. Subject to change with the USPS Rate or change in Notice pages or Translation, if any.



CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATION SOLUTIONS

Skip Tracing & Remailing Notice Packets / Tracking & Programming Undeliverables				
Project Action:	Rate	Hours/Units	Line Item Estimate	
Case Associate	\$50.00	2	\$100.00	
Skip Tracing Undeliverables	\$2.00	44	\$88.00	
Remail Notice Packets	\$1.75	44	\$77.00	
Estimated Postage	\$0.70	44	\$30.80	
Programming Undeliverables	\$50.00	2	\$100.00	
		Total	\$395.80	

Database Programming / Processing Opt-Outs, Deficiencies or Disputes				
Project Action:	Rate	Hours/Units	Line Item Estimate	
Programming Claims Database	\$125.00	2	\$250.00	
Non Opt-Out Processing	\$175.00	1	\$175.00	
Case Associate	\$50.00	4	\$200.00	
Opt-Outs/Deficiency/Dispute Letters	\$8.50	6	\$46.75	
Case Manager	\$85.00	2	\$170.00	
		Total	\$841.75	

Project Action:	Rate	Hours/Units	Line Item Estimate
Programming Calculations	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Disbursement Review	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Programming Manager	\$95.00	2	\$190.00
QSF Bank Account & EIN	\$40.00	3	\$120.00
Check Run Setup & Printing	\$125.00	2	\$250.00
Mail Class Checks, W2 and 1099 *	\$1.50	218	\$326.70
Estimated Postage Checks, W2 and 1099	\$0.56	218	\$121.97
		Total	\$1,508.67

^{*} Checks are printed on 8.5 x 11 in. sheets with W2/1099 Tax Filing



CLASS ACTION ADMINISTRATION SOLUTIONS

Tax Reporting & Reconciliation / Re-Issuance of Checks / Conclusion Reports and Declarations			
Project Action:	Rate	Hours/Units	Line Item Estimate
Case Supervisor	\$105.00	2	\$210.00
Remail Undeliverable Checks	\$1.50	20	\$30.00
(Postage Included)			
Case Associate	\$55.00	3	\$165.00
Reconcile Uncashed Checks	\$85.00	2	\$170.00
Conclusion Reports	\$100.00	2	\$200.00
Case Manager Conclusion	\$85.00	3	\$255.00
Final Reporting & Declarations	\$115.00	1	\$115.00
IRS & QSF Annual Tax Reporting *	\$1,000.00	1	\$1,000.00
(State Tax Reporting Included)			
		Total	\$2,145.00

^{*} All applicable California State & Federal taxes, which include SUI, ETT, and SDI, and FUTA filings. Additional taxes are Defendant's responsibilty.

Estimate Total: \$7,500.00



SS ACTION ADMINISTRATION SOLUTIONS

TERMS AND CONDITIONS

Provisions: The case estimate is in good faith and does not cover any applicable taxes and fees. The estimate does not make any provision for any services or class size not delineated in the request for proposal or stipulations. Proposal rates and amounts are subject to change upon further review, with Counsel/Client, of the Settlement Agreement. Only pre-approved changes will be charged when applicable. No modifications may be made to this estimate without the approval of PSA (Phoenix Settlement Administrators). All notifications are mailed in English language only unless otherwise specified. Additional costs will apply if translation into other language(s) is required. Rates to prepare and file taxes are for Federal and California State taxes only. Additional charges will apply if multiple state tax filing(s) is required. Pricing is good for ninety (90) days.

Data Conversion and Mailing: The proposal assumes that data provided will be in ready-to-use condition and that all data is provided in a single, comprehensive Excel spreadsheet. PSA cannot be liable for any errors or omissions arising due to additional work required for analyzing and processing the original database. A minimum of two (2) business days is required for processing prior to the anticipated mailing date with an additional two (2) business days for a National Change of Address (NCOA) update.

Additional time may be required depending on the class size, necessary translation of the documents, or other factors. PSA will keep counsel apprised of the estimated mailing date.

Claims: PSA's general policy is to not accept claims via facsimile. However, in the event that facsimile filing of claims must be accepted, PSA will not be held responsible for any issues and/or errors arising out of said filing. Furthermore, PSA will require disclaimer language regarding facsimile transmissions. PSA will not be responsible for any acts or omissions caused by the USPS. PSA shall not make payments to any claimants without verified, valid Social Security Numbers. All responses and class member information are held in strict confidentiality. Additional class members are \$10.00 per opt-out.

Payment Terms: All postage charges and 50% of the final administration charges are due at the commencement of the case and will be billed immediately upon receipt of the data and/or notice documents. PSA bills are due upon receipt unless otherwise negotiated and agreed to with PSA by Counsel/Client. In the event the settlement terms provide that PSA is to be paid out of the settlement fund, PSA will request that Counsel/Client endeavor to make alternate payment arrangements for PSA charges that are due at the onset of the case. The entire remaining balance is due and payable at the time the settlement account is funded by Defendant, or no later than the time of disbursement. Amounts not paid within thirty (30) days are subject to a service charge of 1.5% per month or the highest rate permitted by law.

Tax Reporting Requirements

PSA will file the necessary tax returns under the EIN of the QSF, including federal and state returns. Payroll tax returns will be filed if necessary. Under the California Employment Development Department, all taxes are to be reported under the EIN of the QSF with the exception of the following taxes: Unemployment Insurance (UI) and Employment Training Tax (ETT), employer-side taxes, and State Disability Insurance (SDI), an employee-side tax. These are reported under Defendant's EIN. Therefore, to comply with the EDD payroll tax filing requirements we will need the following information:

- 1. Defendant's California State ID and Federal EIN.
- 2. Defendant's current State Unemployment Insurance (UI) rate and Employment Training Tax (ETT) rate. This information can be found in the current year DE 2088, Notice of Contribution Rates, issued by the EDD.
- 3. Termination dates of the class members, or identification of current employee class members, so we can account for the periods that the wages relate to for each class member.
- 4. An executed Power of Attorney (Form DE 48) from Defendant. This form is needed so that we may report the UI, SDI, and ETT taxes under Defendant's EIN on their behalf. If this form is not provided we will work with the EDD auditors to transfer the tax payments to Defendant's EIN.
- 5. Defendant is responsible for reporting the SDI portion of the settlement payments on the class member's W-2. PSA will file these forms on Defendant's behalf for an additional fee and will issue an additional W-2 for each class member under Defendant's EIN, as SDI is reported under Defendant's EIN rather than the EIN of the QSF. The Power of Attorney (Form DE 48) will be needed in order for PSA to report SDI payments.

1	PROOF OF SERVICE			
2	Victor Perez v. The Big Company, Inc. dba Capo Fireside CIVDS 2009691			
3	STATE OF CALIFORNIA)			
4	COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES)			
5	I am employed in the county of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18			
6	and not a party to this action. My business address is 3055 Wilshire Blvd., 12 th Fl., Los Angeles, California 90010. My electronic service address is minjee@wilshirelawfirm.com. On August 30 ,			
7	2021, I served the foregoing document described as:			
8 9	DECLARATION OF JUSTIN F. MARQUEZ IN SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFF'S MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF CLASS ACTION SETTLEMENT			
10	[✓] BY E-MAIL: I hereby certify that this document was served from Los Angeles, California, by e-mail delivery on the parties listed herein at their most recent known email address or e-mail of record in this action.			
11 12	[✓] BY UPLOAD: I hereby certify that the documents were uploaded by my office to the State of California Labor and Workforce Development Agency Online Filing Site.			
13	Brandon L. Sylvia (State Bar No. 261027)			
14	bsylvia@rutan.com Kimberly A. Nayagam (State Bar No. 301058)			
15	knayagam@rutan.com			
16	RUTAN & TUCKER, LLP 18575 Jamboree Road, Ninth Floor			
17	Irvine, California 92612			
18	Telephone: 714-641-5100 Facsimile: 714-546-9035			
19	Attorneys for Defendant The Big Company, Inc. dba Capo Fireside			
20	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the			
21	foregoing is true and correct.			
22	Executed this August 30, 2021, at Los Angeles, California.			
23	Min Jee Kim			
24	Type or Print Name Signature			
25				
26				
27				
28				
	PROOF OF SERVICE			
	, and a substitute			