1 2 3 4 5 6 7	SAMUEL T. REES (State Bar No. 58099) THOMAS P. BLEAU (State Bar No. 152945) MARTIN R. FOX (State Bar No. 155783) BLEAU FOX A Professional Law Corporation 2801 West Empire Avenue Burbank, CA 91504 Telephone: (818) 748-3434 Facsimile: (818) 748-3436 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class	
8	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
9	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE	
10		
11	RAYMOND STODDARD and SANTIAGO MEDINA, etc.,	Case No. 30-2010-00395208-CU-OE-CXC
12	Plaintiffs,	Hon. William Claster Department CX 102
13	vs.) CLASS ACTION
14	EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC, et al.,	PROPOSED] AMENDED PRELIMINARY
15	R&Ms.	APPROVAL ORDER
16 17		Date: September 4, 2020 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: CX 104
18		Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010 Trial Date: None Set
19		Reservation No. 73219881
20		
21		
22	WHEREAS, this action is pending before this Court as a Class Action; and	
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Santiago Medina ("Medina") has filed an unopposed	
24	motion with this Court for an Order preliminarily approving the settlement of	
25	the Class Action entered into by and between R & M Pacific Rim, Inc., a	
26	California corporation, ("R&M") and Medina, individually and on behalf of	
27	Settlement Class Members as defined therein, in accordance with their Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, which, together with the	
28	Amended and Restated Settlement Agr	eement, which, together with the
BLEAU FOX		- 1 - ELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

[PROPOSED] AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

Exhibits attached to the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed partial settlement of the Class Action; and the Court having read and considered the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and the Exhibits attached thereto;

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. This Preliminary Order incorporates by reference the definitions in the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, as filed with the Court, and all terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- 2. Effective September 4, 2020, the Court hereby grants Medina's Motion for Preliminary Approval of Class Action Settlement and finds the terms of the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement to be within the range of reasonableness of a settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final Approval Hearing.
- 3. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and finds that they fall within the range of approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court hereby preliminarily finds that the Settlement Agreement is the product of informal, non-collusive negotiations conducted at arms' length by the parties. The Court has considered the estimate of the Class Members' total recovery, R&M's potential liability, the allocation of settlement proceeds among Class Members, including the two subclasses, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at trial. The assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process supports the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is non-collusive and reasonable. The Settlement is presumptively valid.
- 4. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-

- 5. For purposes of the Settlement only, Medina is approved as the Class Representative.
- 6. For purposes of the Settlement only, Bleau Fox, a Professional Law Corporation, is appointed and approved as Class Counsel.
- 7. The Court hereby appoints and approves Phoenix Settlement Administrators as the Settlement Administrator.
- 8. After balancing the privacy interests of the Settlement Class as asserted by R&M, the Court finds that in order for the Class Notice to be mailed to the Settlement Class at their last known address based upon R&M's employment records, that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to locate Settlement Class members and that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to prorate Individual Settlement payments for each subclass, it is necessary and appropriate, without prior notice to the Settlement Class, that R&M be authorized and directed to provide to the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel the Class Information to be used solely for the purposes of settlement of this Class Action. Having so determined, the Court hereby orders R&M to so

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

26

27

provide to the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel the Class Information to be so used on or before October 4, 2020.

- 9. A hearing ("Final Approval Hearing") shall be conducted before this Court on February 19, 2021 at 9:00 a.m., in Department CX104, to determine whether the proposed settlement of the Class Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is fair, reasonable and adequate, whether said settlement should be finally approved by the Court, and whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment should be entered herein.
- The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice, 10. Information Sheet and Request for Exclusion Form attached as Exhibit 1 to the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.
- The Court approves the requirements for disputing the information 11. upon which Settlement Class Members' share of the Settlement will be calculated. The Court approves the requirements for objecting to the Settlement and excluding Settlement Class Members who timely and properly request to be excluded from the Settlement Class, all as provided in the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement. The Court finds that the procedures and requirements for submitting objections in connection with the Final Approval Hearing are intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class Members.
- 12. The Court finds that the mailing of the Class Notice substantially in the manner and form as set forth in the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order meets the requirements of California Rules of Court Rules 3.766(d) and 3.769(f), California Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, other applicable law, and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the

1	circumstances, and shall constitute valid, due and sufficient notice to all		
2	Settlement Class Members.		
3	13. The Court hereby authorizes and directs the Settlement		
4	Administrator to mail or cause to be mailed to Settlement Class Members the		
5	Class Notice, completed Information Sheet and the Request for Exclusion Form		
6	Such documents shall be sent by First Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid. Mailing		
7	of the Class Notice shall occur on November 3, 2020.		
8	14. On or before January 19, 2021, Class Counsel shall serve and file its		
9	application for a Class Counsel Award and litigation costs and expenses as well		
10	as any application for a Service Award.		
11	15. Five days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall		
12	serve and file the declaration of the Settlement Administrator containing the		
13	information required by the Second Amended and Restated Settlement		
14	Agreement.		
15	16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the		
16	Final Approval Hearing without further notice to Class Members, and retains		
17	jurisdiction to consider all further applications or motions arising out of or		
18	connected with the proposed settlement.		
19	IT IS SO ORDERED.		
20	Dated: September, 2020		
21	William D. Claster Judge of the Superior Court		
22	addge of the Superior Court		
23			
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

	CONTENT.
Dated: September 22, 2020	BLEAU FOX A Professional Law Corporation
	By: /s/ Samuel T. Rees SAMUEL T. REES
	Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class
Dated: September 22, 2020	KRING & CHUNG LLP
	By: /s/ Allyson K. Thompson ALLYSON K. THOMPSON
	Attorneys for R&M PACIFIC RIM, INC.
	- 6 -

BLEAU FOX

1	PROOF OF SERVICE	
2	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to the within action; my business address is 580 West Empire Avenue, Burbank, California 91504.	
3	On September 22, 2020, I served the foregoing document(s) described as [PROPOSED] AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER on the interested parties to this action who are listed on the attached Service I ist by electronically serving those persons at the electronic addresses noted therein	
4 5		
6	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
7	FEDERAL: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
8	foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge, and that I am employed in the office of a	
9		
10	/s/ Nathan Childress	
11	Nathan Childress	
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18 19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
D. E	- 7 -	
BLEAU FOX	IDDODOCEDI AMENDED DDEI IMINADIA ADDOCATA ODDED	

[PROPOSED] AMENDED PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

SERVICE LIST

Raymond A. Cardozo, Esq.

Reed Smith, LLP

355 South Grand Avenue

Suite 2900

Los Angeles, CA 90071-3048

RCardozo@reedsmith.com

Allyson K. Thompson
Attorney at Law
Kring & Chung, LLP
38 Corporate Park
Irvine, CA 92606
athompson@kringandchung.com