1	SAMUEL T. REES (State Bar No. 58099) THOMAS P. BLEAU (State Bar No. 152945) MARTIN R. FOX (State Bar No. 155783)			
2	BLEAU FOX 2801 West Empire Avenue			
3	Burbank, California 91504			
4	Telephone: (818) 748-3434 Facsimile: (818) 748-3436			
5	SHANNON LISS-RIORDAN (State Bar No. 310719) LICHTEN & LISS-RIORDAN, P.C.			
6	729 Boylston Street, Suite 2000			
7				
8	Facsimile: (617) 994-5801 sliss@llrlaw.com			
9	Attorneys for Plaintiff			
10				
11	SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA			
12				
13	RAYMOND STODDARD and SANTIAGO MEDINA etc.,			
14	Plaintiffs,) Case No. 30-2010-00395208-CU-0E- CXC		
15	VS.) Hon. William Claster		
16	EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC, et) Department CX 102		
17	al.,) CLASS ACTION		
18	Defendants.) DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T.) REES RE UPDATED REVISED		
19) [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY) APPROVAL ORDER		
20) Date: September 4, 2020		
21) Time: 9:00 a.m.) Dept: CX 104) Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010		
22) Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010) Trial Date: None Set		
23				
24	· /)		
25	I, SAMUEL T. REES, declare:			
26	1. I remain an attorney at law duly licensed to practice in California			
27	and Louisiana. I also remain "Of Counsel" to Bleau Fox, a PLC, counsel for			
28	Plaintiff and Plaintiff Class herein.			
	– 1 – DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T. REES RE UPDATED REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY			
	APPROVAL ORDER			

2. On September 4, 2020, this Court granted Plaintiff's motion for
 preliminary approval of the class settlement with Defendant R&M Pacific Rim,
 Inc. and ordered Plaintiff to update his Second Revised [Proposed] Preliminary
 Approval Order to incorporate this Court's ruling.

5 3. Contemporaneously with the filing of this declaration, Plaintiff has
6 lodged his Updated Second Revised [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order.

4. In addition to inserting the date of the Final Approval Hearing, 7 8 Plaintiff discovered that in some locations the Second Revised [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order referenced the Amended and Restated Settlement 9 Agreement instead of the Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement 10 that this Court preliminarily approved. Plaintiff has now corrected those 11 incorrect references and also updated the signature dates. Attached hereto as 12 13 Exhibit N is a redline showing the changes between the Updated Second Revised [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order and the previously lodged Second 14 Revised [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order. 15

16 5. Counsel has reviewed and approved the Updated Second Revised17 [Proposed] Preliminary Approval Order.

18 Dated: September 4, 2020

19 20 SAMUEL T. REES 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 **REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY** DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T. REES RE APPROVAL ORDER **BLEAU FOX**

EXHIBIT N

2 3	 THOMAS P. BLEAÙ (State Bar No. 152945) MARTIN R. FOX (State Bar No. 155783) BLEAU FOX A Professional Law Corporation 2801 West Empire Avenue Burbank, CA 91504 Telephone: (818) 748-3434 Facsimile: (818) 748-3436 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA 		
10	FOR THE COUNTY OF ORANGE		
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	RAYMOND STODDARD and SANTIAGO MEDINA, etc., Plaintiffs, vs. EQUILON ENTERPRISES, LLC, et al., R&Ms.	 Case No. 30-2010-00395208-CU-OE-CXC Hon. William Claster Department CX 102 CLASS ACTION UPDATED SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER Date: July 31, 2020 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept: CX 104 Complaint Filed: August 2, 2010 Trial Date: None Set Reservation No. 73219881 	
20			
21			
22	WHEREAS, this action is pendin	g before this Court as a Class Action; and	
23	WHEREAS, Plaintiff Santiago Medina ("Medina") has filed an unopposed		
24 25	motion with this Court for an Order preliminarily approving the settlement of		
25 26	the Class Action entered into by and between R & M Pacific Rim, Inc., a		
20 27	California corporation, ("R&M") and M		
28	Settlement Class Members as defined therein, in accordance with their <u>Second</u>		
0	Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement, which, together with the		
BLEAU FOX	- 1 - <u>UPDATED</u> SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER		
	UIDATED SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER		

Exhibits attached to the <u>Second</u> Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement,
 sets forth the terms and conditions for a proposed partial settlement of the Class
 Action; and the Court having read and considered the <u>Second</u> Amended and
 Restated Settlement Agreement and the Exhibits attached thereto;

5

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

6 1. This Preliminary Order incorporates by reference the definitions in
7 the Settlement Agreement, as filed with the Court with Medina's motion, and all
8 terms defined therein shall have the same meaning as set forth in the <u>Second</u>
9 Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.

2. The Court hereby grants Medina's Motion for Preliminary Approval
 of Class Action Settlement and finds the terms of the <u>Second Amended and</u>
 Restated Settlement Agreement to be within the range of reasonableness of a
 settlement that ultimately could be granted approval by the Court at a Final
 Approval Hearing.

15 3. The Court preliminarily approves the terms of the <u>Second</u> Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement and finds that they fall within the range of 16 approval as fair, adequate, and reasonable. The Court hereby preliminarily finds 17 that the Settlement Agreement is the product of informal, non-collusive 18 19 negotiations conducted at arms' length by the parties. The Court has considered 20 the estimate of the Class Members' total recovery, R&M's potential liability, the allocation of settlement proceeds among Class Members, including the two 21 22 subclasses, and the fact that a settlement represents a compromise of the parties' respective positions rather than the result of a finding of liability at 23 24 trial. The assistance of an experienced mediator in the settlement process 25 supports the Court's conclusion that the Settlement is non-collusive and reasonable. The Settlement is presumptively valid. 26

27 4. For purposes of the Settlement only, the Court finds that the
28 proposed Settlement Class is ascertainable and that there is a sufficiently well-

defined community of interest among the members of the Settlement Class in 1 questions of law and fact. Therefore, the Court preliminarily certifies as the 2 Settlement Class, for settlement purposes only, all persons who were employed 3 by R&M and who worked at a Shell branded station operated by R&M and 4 5 owned by Equilon Enterprises, LLC at any time during the period from August 2, 2006 to September 1, 2008. The Settlement Class consists of the Settlement 6 7 Misclassification Subclass, consisting of all Settlement Class Members during 8 any portion of the Class Period that they were declared by R&M as exempt 9 employees and paid a salary. and the Settlement Break Subclass, consisting of 10 all Settlement Class Members during any portion of the Class Period that they were non-exempt hourly wage employees. 11

12 5. For purposes of the Settlement only, Medina is approved as the
13 Class Representative.

14 6. For purposes of the Settlement only, Bleau Fox, a Professional Law
15 Corporation, is appointed and approved as Class Counsel.

16 7. The Court hereby appoints and approves Phoenix Settlement
17 Administrators as the Settlement Administrator.

18 After balancing the privacy interests of the Settlement Class as 8. 19 asserted by R&M, the Court finds that in order for the Class Notice to be mailed 20 to the Settlement Class at their last known address based upon R&M's employment records, that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have 21 22 sufficient information to locate Settlement Class members and that the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel have sufficient information to 23 24 prorate Individual Settlement payments for each subclass, it is necessary and 25 appropriate, without prior notice to the Settlement Class, that R&M be authorized and directed to provide to the Settlement Administrator and Class 26 27 Counsel the Class Information to be used solely for the purposes of settlement of this Class Action. Having so determined, the Court hereby orders R&M to so 28

- 3

UPDATED SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

provide to the Settlement Administrator and Class Counsel the Class
 Information to be so used.

9. A hearing ("Final Approval Hearing") shall be conducted before this 3 <u>____, 2020,February 19, 2021</u> at <u>____9:00</u> a.m., in 4 Court on – 5 Department CX104, to determine whether the proposed settlement of the Class Action on the terms and conditions provided for in the Settlement Agreement is 6 fair, reasonable and adequate, whether said settlement should be finally 7 8 approved by the Court, and whether a Final Approval Order and Judgment 9 should be entered herein.

10 10. The Court hereby approves, as to form and content, the Class Notice,
11 Information Sheet and Request for Exclusion Form attached as Exhibit 1 to the
12 Second Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement.

13 The Court approves the requirements for disputing the information 11. upon which Settlement Class Members' share of the Settlement will be 14 calculated, objecting to the Settlement, and excluding Settlement Class 15 Members who timely and properly request to be excluded from the Settlement 16 Class, all as provided in the <u>Second</u> Amended and Restated Settlement 17 Agreement. The Court finds that the procedures and requirements for 18 19 submitting objections in connection with the Final Approval Hearing are 20 intended to ensure the efficient administration of justice and the orderly presentation of any Settlement Class Member's objection to the Settlement, in 21 accordance with the due process rights of all Settlement Class Members. 22

12. The Court finds that the mailing of the Class Notice substantially in
the manner and form as set forth in the <u>Second Amended and Restated</u>
Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order meets the
requirements of *California Rules of Court* Rules 3.766(d) and 3.769(f), California
Code of Civil Procedure section 382, California Civil Code section 1781, other
applicable law, and due process, and is the best notice practicable under the

<u>UPDATED</u> SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER

circumstances, and shall constitute valid, due and sufficient notice to all
 Settlement Class Members.

The Court hereby authorizes the Settlement Administrator to mail 13. 3 or cause to be mailed to Settlement Class Members the Class Notice, completed 4 5 Information Sheet and the Request for Exclusion Form. Such documents shall be sent by First Class U.S. mail, postage prepaid. Mailing of the Class Notice 6 7 shall occur within Sixty (60) days after the entry of this Preliminary Approval 8 Order. The Class Notice, completed Information Sheet and the Request for Exclusion Form shall be mailed using the information provided by R&M in the 9 10 Class Information, as updated, to the extent that Class Notices are returned undeliverable, by the Settlement Administrator as provided in the <u>Second</u> 11 12 Amended and Restated Settlement Agreement. Class Counsel may provide additional updated mailing and/or emailing addresses to the Settlement 13 14 Administrator. If these procedures are followed, notice to Class Members shall be deemed to have been satisfied, and if the intended recipient of the Class 15 Notice does not receive the Class Notice, the intended recipient shall 16 nevertheless remain a Settlement Class Member and shall be bound by all terms 17 of the Settlement Agreement and this Preliminary Approval Order. The 18 19 Settlement Administrator shall provide periodic reports to Class Counsel and Defense Counsel. 20

21 14. Prior to forty-six days following the entry of this Preliminary
22 Approval Order, Class Counsel shall serve and file its application for a Class
23 Counsel Award and litigation costs and expenses as well as any application for a
24 Service Award.

15. Five days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, Class Counsel shall
serve and file the declaration of the Settlement Administrator containing the
information required by the <u>Second</u> Amended and Restated Settlement
Agreement.

- 5

16. The Court reserves the right to adjourn or continue the date of the 1 2 Final Approval Hearing without further notice to Class Members, and retains 3 jurisdiction to consider all further applications or motions arising out of or connected with the proposed settlement. 4 IT IS SO ORDERED. 5 6 Dated:-7 8 William D. Claster Judge of the Superior Court 9 APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT. 10 Dated: August 25September 4, 2020 **BLEAU FOX** 11 A Professional Law Corporation 12 By: /s/ Samuel T. Rees 13 SAMUEL T. REES 14 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Plaintiff Class 15 Dated: August 25 September 4, 2020 **KRING & CHUNG LLP** 16 By: /s/ Allyson K. Thompson 17 ALLYSON K. THOMPSON 18 Attorneys for R&M PACIFIC RIM, INC. 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 - 6 -**BLEAU FOX UPDATED SECOND REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER**

1		
2	PROOF OF SERVICE	
3	I am employed in the County of Los Angeles, State of California. I am over the age of 18 and not a party to	
4	the within action; my business address is 580 West Empire Avenue, Burbank, California 91504.	
5	On September 4, 2020, I served the foregoing document(s) described as DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T. REES RE UPDATED REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER on the interested parties to this action who are listed	
6	on the attached Service List by electronically serving those persons at the electronic addresses noted therein.	
7	STATE: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing is true and correct.	
8	FEDERAL: I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the United States of America that the	
9	foregoing is true and correct of my own personal knowledge, and that I am employed in the office of a member of the Bar of this Court at whose discretion this service was made.	
10	Executed on September 4, 2020, at Burbank, California.	
11	/s/ Nathan Childress	
12	Nathan Childress	
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21 22		
22		
23		
25		
25 26		
27		
28		
-		
BLEAU FOX	DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T. REES RE UPDATED REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER	

1	<u>SERVICE LIST</u>
2	Raymond A. Cardozo, Esq.
3	Reed Smith, LLP
4	355 South Grand Avenue Suite 2900
5	Los Angeles, CA 90071-3048
6	RCardozo@reedsmith.com
7	Allyson K. Thompson Attorney at Law
8	Kring & Chung, LLP 38 Corporate Park
9	Allyson K. Thompson Attorney at Law Kring & Chung, LLP 38 Corporate Park Irvine, CA 92606 athompson@kringandchung.com
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	_ 4 _
	DECLARATION OF SAMUEL T. REES RE UPDATED REVISED [PROPOSED] PRELIMINARY APPROVAL ORDER
BLEAU FOX	APPKUVAL UKDEK