

1 **MAYALL HURLEY P.C.**
2 **ROBERT J. WASSERMAN (SBN: 258538)**
3 **rwasserman@mayallaw.com**
4 **JENNY D. BAYSINGER (SBN: 251014)**
5 **jbaysinger@mayallaw.com**
6 **2453 Grand Canal Boulevard**
7 **Stockton, California 95207-8253**
8 **Telephone: (209) 477-3833**
9 **Facsimile: (209) 477-4818**

10 **Attorneys for Plaintiff JENNIFER MODICA, individually and on behalf of other similarly**
11 **situated current and former employees and as a proxy for the Labor & Workforce Development**
12 **Agency (“LWDA”)**

13 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**
14 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

15 **JENNIFER MODICA, individually and on**
16 **behalf of other similarly situated current and**
17 **former employees and as proxy for the LWDA,**

18 **Plaintiff,**

19 **v.**

20 **IRON MOUNTAIN INFORMATION**
21 **MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a Delaware**
22 **corporation; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,**

23 **Defendants.**

24 **Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-JDP**

25 **DECLARATION OF JENNY D.**
26 **BAYSINGER IN SUPPORT OF**
27 **PLAINTIFF’S MOTION FOR**
28 **ATTORNEYS’ FEES, COSTS, AND**
SERVICE AWARD

Date: December 17, 2020
Time: 2:00 p.m.
Dept.: Courtroom 6, 14th Floor
Judge: Hon. Troy L. Nunley

I, Jenny D. Baysinger, declare:

1. I am an attorney duly licensed to practice before all the courts of this state and am a shareholder of Mayall Hurley P.C., attorneys for Plaintiff Jennifer Modica (“Plaintiff”).

2. I have personal knowledge of the matters set forth herein and, if called upon to do so, could and would competently testify thereto under oath.

Background and Procedural History

3. On October 26, 2018, Plaintiff provided written notice to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency (“LWDA”) and Defendant regarding specific provisions of the Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support the same.

1 4. Plaintiff filed this Class Action in San Joaquin County Superior Court on January 25,
2 2019.

3 5. On March 1, 2019, Defendant timely removed this case to the United States District
4 Court for the Eastern District of California based on the Class Action Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”)
5 diversity jurisdiction. (Dkt. No. 1).

6 6. In April 2019, my office served formal written discovery requests on Defendant
7 consisting of Interrogatories and Requests for Production of Documents. Defendant provided formal,
8 verified written responses in early June 2019.

9 7. During this same timeframe, the Parties began engaging in informal settlement
10 discussions and informal information exchange in order to facilitate those discussions.

11 8. After receiving and reviewing documents and information provided through both formal
12 and informal discovery, I determined there were additional violations of the Labor Code that had not
13 been revealed until Defendant’s provision of records. Specifically, review of Plaintiff’s wage and hour
14 records, which had not been previously provided, revealed that shift differentials earned by Plaintiff
15 were not factored into any doubletime earnings she was paid and were not included in the rate of pay
16 Defendant paid for sick pay pursuant to Labor Code section 245, et seq.

17 9. On June 12, 2019, my office provided an updated written notice to the LWDA and
18 Defendant outlining additional alleged violations of the California Labor Code, including the facts and
19 theories to support the same.

20 10. In July 2019, the Parties began discussing the prospect of mediation and agreed to
21 mediate with renowned wage and hour mediator David A. Rotman, Esq. The Parties scheduled
22 mediation to occur on November 14, 2019.

23 11. Prior to mediation and after my office’s review of documents produced in discovery,
24 Defendant stipulated to the filing of Plaintiff’s First Amended Complaint (“FAC”) in order to
25 incorporate the additional violations believed to exist. The FAC was ultimately filed on January 15,
26 2020 and states class and representative causes of action for (1) Failure to Properly Calculate and Pay
27 Doubletime; (2) Failure to Properly Calculate and Pay Sick Pay; (3) Failure to Furnish Accurate
28 Itemized Wage Statements; (4) Failure to Pay All Wages Due Upon Cessation of Employment; (5)

1 Violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17200; and (6) violation of the California Private
2 Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”). Plaintiff also states individual causes of action for failure to provide
3 personnel and payroll records. (Dkt. No. 13).

4 12. Through independent inquiry, research, and formal and informal discovery, my office
5 thoroughly and diligently investigated and pursued the Class claims. This included, but was not limited
6 to, (1) obtaining and reviewing Plaintiff’s personnel file, payroll records, and time records; (2)
7 researching Defendant, its organization, and structure; (3) identifying, researching, and pleading the
8 appropriate claims, including amending the complaint to add additional claims discovered through the
9 review of information provided by Defendant; (4) exhausting administrative remedies; (5) identifying,
10 requesting, securing, and reviewing pertinent policies, practices, and procedures; (6) identifying,
11 requesting, and securing time and payroll data for all Doubletime and Sick Pay Subclass members; (7)
12 securing a sampling of wage statements for Class Members, (8) retaining an expert to analyze the data
13 and supervising its calculations; (9) reviewing the expert’s findings; (10) separately calculating the
14 damages of a sampling of class members to confirm the accuracy of the expert’s findings; (11) creating
15 a reliable damages model; and (12) developing and implementing a strategy for mediation and
16 settlement.

17 13. Between May 2019 and November 2019, as part of the Parties’ formal and informal
18 discovery and settlement negotiations, Defendant provided Class Counsel with thousands of pages of
19 documents. Specifically, Defendant produced all of Plaintiff’s time records and payroll records, and
20 her personnel file. Defendant also produced extensive pay period data for Class Members, and written
21 policies applicable to the claims in this action. Additionally, Defendant produced time and payroll data
22 for Class Members amounting to tens of thousands of lines of data. Counsel for the Parties have further
23 investigated the applicable law as applied to the facts discovered regarding Plaintiff’s claims, the
24 defenses thereto, and the damages and penalties potentially available to Plaintiff in the Class Action. In
25 conjunction with those same negotiations, the Parties have spoken at length about the strengths and
26 weaknesses of each sides’ claims and defenses, the certifiability of the class, and the scope of
27 Defendant’s potential liability. Both Plaintiff and Defendant retained experts to examine the data and
28 determine the extent of the Class’s potential damages.

1 14. Prior to the mediation, Plaintiff learned of a previous class action lawsuit titled
2 *Cardenas v. Iron Mountain Information Management, LLC*, Los Angeles County Superior Court Case
3 No. BC620476 that was settled and granted final approval on March 6, 2018. Review of the court-
4 approved release in the *Cardenas* matter reveals that it is extremely broad and covered claims asserted
5 by the Class in this matter that occurred prior to September 30, 2017. The *Cardenas* matter limited the
6 timeframe of the claims asserted on behalf of the DoubleTime Subclass, the Sick Pay Subclass, and the
7 Former Employee Subclass. Claims asserted on behalf of the Wage Statement Subclass were not
8 impacted by *Cardenas* as the judgment in that case released claims that occurred more than one year
9 before this Class Action was commenced.

10 15. On November 14, 2019, the Parties participated in good faith in arms' length settlement
11 discussions at a mediation with David A. Rotman, Esq. in San Francisco. At the close of the mediation,
12 the Parties agreed to a Memorandum Of Understanding ("MOU") from which Defendant had one week
13 to withdraw.

14 16. On November 20, 2019, Defendant confirmed its agreement to the MOU. After several
15 weeks of further negotiations, on January 22, 2020, the Parties executed the Joint Stipulation of Class
16 and Representative Action Settlement Agreement and Release of claims ("Settlement Agreement"), a
17 true and correct copy of which is attached as **Exhibit A** hereto.

18 17. There was no fraud or collusion at the mediation with Mediator Rotman or in the
19 subsequent settlement negotiations, all of which were adversarial and conducted at arms' length.

20 ***The Benefits of the Settlement to the Class and Class Counsel's Damages Analysis***

21 18. The Settlement Agreement represents a compromise of highly disputed claims.
22 Defendant vigorously denies Plaintiff's allegations in their entirety, denies that certification is
23 appropriate (except for settlement purposes), contends that it has complied with the law, and asserted
24 numerous affirmative defenses.

25 19. The Class Action and Settlement Agreement have and will result in substantial benefits
26 to all Class Members and Participating Class Members. First and foremost, and irrespective of the
27 Settlement Agreement or any individual Class Member's decision to be bound thereby, as a result of
28 the Class Action and efforts of Plaintiff and Class Counsel, Defendant (a) revised it wage statements on

1 November 29, 2019, and (b) revised its policies, practices, and procedures associated with the
2 calculation and payment of its employees' doubletime and sick pay. Settlement Agreement ("SA") ¶
3 10. Accordingly, and regardless of any individual Class Member's decision to participate in or exclude
4 themselves from the Settlement, Defendant's employees will receive tens of thousands of dollars in
5 additional compensation over the next few years as a result of the Class Action and the efforts of
6 Plaintiff and Class Counsel. As set forth in greater detail below, this amounted to more than \$21,317 in
7 unpaid wages and interest since October 1, 2017.

8 20. With the help of an expert, and after performing individual calculations and spot checks
9 to ensure the accuracy of the results, and accounting for various litigation risks and the defenses and
10 arguments of Defendant, we were able to develop a damages model illustrating both Defendant's
11 maximum exposure and the realistic potential recovery for the alleged violations.

12 21. Under Class Counsel's damages model, Defendant faced a maximum of approximately
13 \$3,510.00 in unpaid wages for failing to properly calculate and pay doubletime¹, \$15,707.00 in unpaid
14 wages for failing to properly calculate and pay sick pay², \$274,543.20 in waiting time penalties under
15 Labor Code section 203³, \$2,527,450.00 in statutory penalties under Labor Code section 226(e)⁴,

17
18 ¹ Under Labor Code section 510, an employer is required to pay an employee at least twice the "regular rate of pay" for
19 all work in excess of twelve (12) hours in a workday or in excess of eight (8) hours on the 7th consecutive workday in the
20 workweek. Labor Code section 1194 authorizes an employee who receives less than the legal overtime compensation to
21 recover "the unpaid balance of the full amount of this . . . overtime compensation, including interest thereon, attorney's
22 fees, and costs of suit. Cal. Labor Code § 1194(a).

23 ² California Labor Code section 246, subdivision (1), paid sick time for non-exempt employees such as Plaintiff shall be
24 calculated either 1) in the same manner as the "regular rate of pay for the workweek in which the employee uses paid sick
25 time, whether or not the employee actually works overtime in that workweek", or 2) by dividing the employee's total
26 wages, not including overtime premium pay, by the employee's total hours worked in the full pay periods of the prior 90
27 days of employment. Cal. Labor Code § 246(1)(1)-(2). Under Labor Code section 248.5(e), any individual enforcing the
28 Healthy Workplaces Healthy Families Act of 2014 "shall, upon prevailing, be entitled only to equitable, injunctive, or
restitutionary relief, and reasonable attorney's fees and costs."

³ Under Labor Code section 203, if an employer willfully fails to pay any wages of an employee who is discharged or who
quits, the wages of the employee shall continue as a penalty from the due date thereof at the same rate until paid for up to 30
days. Here, there were 51 total individuals who were underpaid (i.e. members of either the Sick Pay Subclass or the
DoubleTime Subclass) and left their employment with Defendant sometime between October 1, 2017 and the date of
mediation, and whose average base rate of pay was \$22.43/hr. Accordingly, the maximum section 203 penalties are
\$274,543.20 (51 underpaid former employees * 8 hrs/day * \$22.43/hr * 30 days).

⁴ Under Labor Code section 226(e), an employee suffering injury as a result of a knowing and intentional failure to comply
with section 226(a) is entitled to the greater of all actual damages or \$50 for the initial pay period in which a violation
occurs and \$100 for each additional violation. During the relevant time period, Defendant furnished approximately 25,834
wage statements to 1,119 employees that allegedly violated section 226(a)(2) and (9) because multiple and inaccurate listing
in the hours and rates columns (\$2,527,450.00 = (\$50 * 1,119) + (\$100 * 24,715)). There were also derivative wage
statement violations as a result of the failure to pay doubletime wages at the "regular rate of pay", but the overlap of these

1 \$2,100.00 in interest⁵, and \$6,572,700.00 in civil penalties under the PAGA⁶ for a total of
2 \$9,396,010.20 in exposure for both damages and civil penalties. The maximum damages exposure to
3 the Class is \$2,823,310.20.

4 22. These all-in figures assume a 100% probability of prevailing at certification, defeating
5 Defendant's planned motion for summary judgment/adjudication, maintaining certification through
6 trial, prevailing after a trial on the merits, and the Court declining to use its statutory discretion under
7 Labor Code section 2699, subdivision (e)(2) to reduce the civil penalty amount imposed.

8 23. Because 100% success in litigation is unrealistic, Class Counsel also determined an
9 aggressive, but much more realistic estimate, for the potential recovery of the Class. Under this more
10 measured approach, Class Counsel (1) applied no discount to the amount of unpaid doubletime
11 resulting from Defendant's alleged failure to properly calculate the same; (2) applied no discount to the
12 amount of unpaid sick pay arising from Defendant's alleged failure to properly calculate it; (3) applied
13 a 50% discount to the waiting time penalties based upon Defendant's various defenses, including its
14 contention that its conduct was not willful and the lack of any binding legal authority as to whether paid
15 sick time constitutes "wages" sufficient to underscore a claim for waiting time penalties, leaving
16 \$136,771.60; (4) applied a 25% discount to statutory penalties attributable to the alleged Labor Code
17 section 226(a) (1), (2), (5) and (9) violations because of the inherent risks in establishing the "injury"
18 and "knowing and intentional" elements of any 226 violation and case law suggesting that the failure to

19 ///

21 alleged deficiencies with the more prominent section 226(a)(2) and (a)(9) violations is complete maxing Defendant's 226(e)
22 exposure at \$2,527,450.00.

⁵Alleged underpaid overtime and sick pay wages from October 1, 2017 forward at 10% simple interest.

23 ⁶Labor Code section 2699(e)(1) gives courts discretion to assess civil penalties. Section 2699(f) provides that the civil
24 penalty recoverable in a PAGA action is that which is provided for by the Labor Code or, where no civil penalty is
25 provided, \$100 for each aggrieved employee per pay period for the initial violation and \$200 for each subsequent violation.
26 75% of any civil penalties recovered shall be paid to the LWDA and 25% to the aggrieved employees. Section 2699(i).

25 Section 558 provides the civil penalties for overtime violations, i.e., \$50 for each underpaid employee for first pay period in
26 which the employee was underpaid and \$100 for each subsequent violation. Here, available Section 558 penalties are
27 approximately \$109,100.00.

27 Section 2699(f) penalties apply to alleged violations of section 203 and total \$5,100.00 (\$100 * 51).

28 There is some disagreement as to whether Section 226.3 or 2699(f) penalties apply to wage statement violations. Under the
former, the penalties total \$6,458,500.00. Under the latter, they total \$2,583,400.00.

1 properly pay wages and premiums does not create derivative wage statement violations⁷, leaving
2 \$1,895,587.50; (5) applied no discount on the interest for all the reasons set forth above, leaving
3 \$2,100.00; and (9) applied a 90% discount to the civil PAGA penalties because they are discretionary,
4 often reduced significantly by courts, and punitive measures would be unlikely where Defendant has
5 already amended its wage statements and revised its policies, practices, and procedures relating to the
6 calculation and payment of its employees' doubletime and sick pay, leaving \$657,270.00. Under this
7 more measured approach, Class Counsel determined the Class's realistic total damages, interest, and
8 civil and statutory penalties to be \$2,710,946.10.

9 24. Based upon the above, Class Counsel estimated Defendant's maximum and realistic
10 total liability to be between \$9,396,010.20 and \$2,710,946.10. The maximum and realistic liability for
11 Class claims (i.e. not including PAGA civil penalties) is between \$2,823,310.20 and \$2,053,676.10.
12 The \$1,500,000 Maximum Settlement Amount ("MSA"), which consists of \$1,490,000 to compensate
13 for non-PAGA claims, represents 15.96% of the *total* maximum exposure and 55.33% of the *total*
14 realistic exposure. The portion of the MSA allocated to non-PAGA claims (\$1,490,000.00) represents
15 recovery of an impressive 52.77% of the maximum exposure for non-PAGA claims, and 72.55 percent
16 of the realistic non-PAGA exposure.

17 25. If interest and civil penalties (totaling \$6,574,800.00) are excluded, the Maximum
18 Settlement Amount represents 53.16% of the \$2,823,310.20 in damages and statutory penalties
19 recoverable by the Class.⁸

20 26. The expected Net Settlement Amount, which is now believed to be \$947,499.95
21 (because Class Counsel's costs are less than the \$25,000 preliminarily approved) itself represents
22 33.55% of the Class' maximum recovery and 46.13% of the Class' realistic total recovery, not
23 considering civil penalties and interest.⁹

24 _____
25 ⁷ See e.g., *Maldonado v. Epsilon Plastics, Inc.* (2018) 22 Cal.App.5th 1308 and *Stewart v. San Luis Ambulance, Inc.* (2017)
878 F.3d 833.

26 ⁸ Courts regularly evaluate the fairness of a class action settlement utilizing the defendant's exposure exclusive of potential
27 penalties and interest. *Rodriguez v. West Publishing Corp.*, 563 F.3d 948, 955 (9th Cir. 2000) ("It is our impression that
28 courts generally determine fairness of an antitrust class action settlement based on how it compensates the class for past
injuries, without giving much, if any, consideration to treble damages."); see also *Miller v. CEVA Logistics USA*, 2015 WL
729638, at *7 (E.D. Cal. Feb. 19, 2015) (evaluating fairness of wage and hour class action by comparison to potential
exposure exclusive of interest and penalties); *Richardson v. Interstate Hotels & Resorts, Inc.* 2019 WL 803746 at *3 (N.D.
Cal. Feb 21, 2019) (same).

1 27. Critically, Class Counsel estimated the maximum exposure for doubletime and sick pay
2 owed based upon Defendant's alleged failure to properly calculate its employees' regular rate of pay
3 not to exceed \$20,000.00 (\$19,217.00 was the actual number calculated based on the data that was
4 provided through November 1, 2019).

5 28. The expected updated *average* payment to each Participating DoubleTime Subclass
6 Member, Participating Sick Pay Subclass Member, and Participating Wage Statement Subclass
7 Member will exceed \$800.¹⁰ Many individuals are in more than one class (there is particular overlap
8 between the Doubletime Subclass and the Sick Pay Subclass) and each Participating Former Employee
9 Subclass Member will receive an additional payment of \$500.00.

10 **Defendant's Defenses and Weaknesses of the Class' Claims**

11 29. Defendant asserted numerous defenses and planned to employ a multipronged attack
12 aimed at circumscribing both the Class's claims and the damages available to it. First, Defendant
13 planned to file a motion for summary judgment aimed at wiping out liability on the Class claims for
14 failure to properly calculate and pay doubletime and sick pay. Specifically, Defendant planned to argue
15 that, at all times relevant herein, it properly calculated and paid doubletime and sick pay owed to its
16 employees and, to the extent that any alleged underpayments may have occurred, they were both
17 inadvertent and de minimus. If Defendant succeeded, on this front its potential exposure would be cut
18 by more than \$20,000.00.

19 30. In addition, since the FAC was filed, a ruling that potentially eliminates the Failure to
20 Pay Sick Pay Wages claim was issued in this District. *Flores v. Dart Container Corp.*, 2020 WL
21 2770073 (E.D. Cal. 2020). While the same damages remain arguably recoverable as restitution through
22 the UCL Claim, this ruling subjects the pending third cause of action to potential dismissal and, at least,
23 potentially costly motion practice.

24
25 ⁹ District courts in California have found similar percentage recoveries to be reasonable in other cases under similar
26 circumstances. See, e.g., *Villegas v. J.P. Morgan Chase & Co.*, No. 09-00261 SBA (EMC), 2012 WL 5878390, at 6 (N.D.
27 Cal. Nov. 21, 2012) (settlement of approximately 15% preliminarily fair); *Glass v. UBS Fin. Services, Inc.*, No. C-06-4068,
28 2007 WL 221860, at *4 (N.D. Cal. Jan. 26, 2017) (settlement of approximately 25-35% was reasonable).

¹⁰ Based on the data provided by Defendant, each Sick Pay Subclass Member suffered an average of \$52.66 in total damages and interest, and each DoubleTime Subclass Member suffered an average of \$14.02 in total damages and interest. A net recovery that provides those individuals with \$17.56 more than their counterparts in the Wage Statement Subclass who did not suffer any actual wage underpayments is fair and appropriate. This is particularly true since the wage claims carry the most palatable risks.

1 31. Second, Defendant argued that, at all times relevant hereto, it acted in good faith and its
2 conduct was not willful such that waiting time penalties would have been available to the Class under
3 Labor Code section 203. Defendant also contended that the amount of allegedly unpaid/underpaid
4 wages was so disproportionate to the potential waiting time exposure (more than 14x) that imposing
5 such would be unconstitutional. See *Moody v. Charming Shoppes of Delaware, Inc.*, 2009 WL
6 10699672 * 13 (C.D. Cal. 2009). In addition, Defendant intended to challenge the imposition of
7 waiting time penalties for alleged failures to properly calculate and pay sick pay on the basis that sick
8 pay earnings are not “wages” and thus cannot support a claim under Labor Code 203. Although the
9 *Flores* case supports the proposition that unpaid/underpaid sick pay constitutes wages, there is no
10 binding California state court precedent on the issue and success on this front alone would have cut
11 Defendant’s potential liability by nearly \$275,000.00.

12 32. Third, Defendant argued that Plaintiff could not establish the “injury” or “knowing and
13 intentional” elements of their Labor Code section 226(a) (1), (2), (5), and (9) claim where the alleged
14 inaccuracies did not themselves cause any distinct injury, where Defendant had accurately listed the
15 amounts actually paid to its employees, where case law suggests that such does not create derivative
16 wage statement violations¹¹, and where Defendant voluntarily amended its wage statements to ensure
17 compliance with section 226(a) in November 2019. Defendant also made attempts to distinguish its
18 wage statements from those found to engender liability in *McKenzie v. Fed. Express Corp.* (C.D. Cal.
19 2011) 765 F.Supp.2d 1222, by contending that there was sufficient explanatory information on the
20 wage statements to inform employees not to include both hours identified as “Overtime” and hours
21 identified as “US Overtime Pay FLSA Premium”. If Defendant was successful on any of these fronts,
22 it would potentially reduce available damages by another \$2,527,450.

23 33. Defendant contended that the derivative claims, including those for inaccurate wage
24 statements (under section 226(a) (1), (2), (5), and (9)), waiting time penalties (under sections 201-203),
25 for PAGA Penalties, and for restitutionary/injunctive relief under the UCL, were all (a) dependent upon
26 the substantive claims (and thereby subject to the same attacks), (b) completely duplicative of damages

27 ///

28 _____
¹¹ See e.g., *Maldonado v. Epsilon Plastics, Inc.* (2018) 22 Cal.App.5th 1308.

1 available under other theories, or (c) discretionary, and therefore not likely to be awarded by the
2 court.¹²

3 34. I strongly believe that the proposed Settlement Agreement is a fair, adequate, and
4 reasonable resolution of the Class Action and is preferable to continued litigation.

5 35. While I am confident that certification and success on the merits could have been
6 attained, continued litigation was guaranteed to be costly, time consuming, and uncertain in outcome.
7 Appellate proceedings would only further delay and jeopardize recovery by Class Members. Shifting
8 sentiment regarding PAGA claims add yet another layer of concern to continued litigation. By
9 contrast, the proposed Settlement ensures timely relief and substantial recovery for the Class and is
10 superior to other recently approved settlements.¹³

11 36. The Released Claims, defined in Paragraph 71 of the Settlement, and Released PAGA
12 Claims, defined in Paragraph 72 of the Settlement, were narrowly tailored to track the claims advanced
13 on behalf of each subclass and do not include a Civil Code section 1542 waiver.

14 **The Notice and Notice Plan Comply with Rule 23 and Satisfy Due Process**

15 37. Attached as Exhibit 1 to the Settlement Agreement is true and correct copy of the
16 proposed Class Notice.

17 38. The Class Notice meets all of the requirements of procedural due process and Rule 23(e)
18 by (1) identifying the Plaintiff and Defendant and describing the claims and the Class Action in a
19 straightforward manner; (2) succinctly describing the essential terms of the proposed Settlement,
20 including the Class Representative's proposed Service Payment and the amount Class Counsel will
21 request for attorney's fees and costs; (3) identifying the claims that are being released; (4) identifying
22 all parties against whom claims are being released; (5) providing Class Members with information on
23 how to participate in, exclude themselves from and/or object to the Settlement; (6) clearly providing all
24 applicable deadlines for such action; (7) informing Class Members of the consequences if they decide

25 _____
26 ¹² See also, *In re Wachovia Corp. "Pick-A-Payment" Mortgage Mktg. & Sales Practices Litig.*, 5:09-MD-02015-JF (N.D.
27 Cal. May 17, 2011) 2011 WL 1877630, at *3 (approving settlement based upon, among other factors, the belief of
"Plaintiffs' counsel, who are experienced attorneys . . . that the settlement is extremely favorable to the class").

28 ¹³ Tellingly, this Settlement provides the same monetary recovery as that in *Cardenas*, although it involves substantially
fewer claims (i.e. no meal and rest period, minimum wage, or off-the-clock claims are involved here), affords a much
more narrow release of claims, and resulted in non-monetary benefits as well.

1 to exclude themselves from the Settlement; and (8) advising them that, if they choose to participate and
2 the Settlement is approved, they will be bound by the resulting judgment. Further, the Notice clearly
3 explains the manner in which Class Members can obtain further information (e.g., through the Court's
4 website and the Settlement Administrator's website) and that the Final Approval Hearing may be
5 moved without further notice.

6 39. Because all Class Members are current or former employees of Defendant, for whom
7 Defendant has current or last known addresses as well as SSNs, notice here is simpler and more reliable
8 than in other types of class actions that require published notice to reach unidentifiable class members.
9 The Settlement Administrator also created a website from which Class Members can obtain
10 information regarding the Settlement. Class Members can view the Settlement Agreement, Class
11 Notice, and Order of Preliminary Approval on the Administrator's website, and will be able to view the
12 Motion for Final Approval, and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Payment, as well as any
13 updates regarding the scheduling of the Final Approval Hearing.

14 **Phoenix Settlement Administrators Claims Administration Costs of \$14,000 Should Be Approved**

15 40. I solicited bids from Atticus Administrators, LLC, Phoenix Settlement Administrators,
16 and Simpluris, Inc. After weeks of negotiation in an effort to obtain the most reliable and cost-effective
17 service possible, the Parties have selected Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions to serve as
18 Settlement Administrator. I have experience with Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions and
19 have found their fees fair and competitive amongst other administrators in the industry. I believe their
20 bid of \$14,000 to be reasonable in relation to a class this size, the work to be performed, and as
21 compared to estimates received from other administrators.

22 41. To date, Phoenix has diligently performed its administration duties, including setting up
23 and maintaining the information-only website: www.ironmountainclassaction.com, providing the
24 CAFA notice to the U.S. Attorney General's Office on September 15, 2020, and mailing the court-
25 approved Class Notice to 1,264 Class Members on September 15, 2020.

26 42. The most recent weekly report provided by the Administrator, attached hereto as
27 **Exhibit B** along with a copy of the Notice Packet, was provided on October 16, 2020 and reflects an
28 impressive successful mail rate of at least 99.4% (only 7 notices have been returned and all have been

1 remailed) and indicates that 0 opt-outs and 0 objections have been received to date. Class Counsel will
2 provide updated information in conjunction with the filing of the Motion for Final Approval after the
3 Notice Period expires on October 30, 2020.

4 **Plaintiff Has Served the Class Well**

5 43. Plaintiff Jennifer Modica's interests in prosecuting this Class Action and obtaining the
6 most beneficial recovery possible fully comport with the interests of the Class Members. Plaintiff
7 understands the claims and theories that are being advanced, has been involved through the litigation
8 and has no conflicts with the other members of the Class she seeks to represent. Plaintiff was subjected
9 to the same unlawful policies, practices, and procedures, having been underpaid for her doubletime and
10 sick pay (because of the failure to include other remuneration such as shift differentials), furnished
11 inaccurate itemized wage statements, and not timely paid all wages due and owing to her once her
12 employment ended and, were she not serving as Class Representative, Plaintiff would be a Class
13 Member.

14 44. Plaintiff has and is prepared to continue adequately representing the interests of the
15 Class Members. Plaintiff has demonstrated her commitment to prosecuting this Class Action on behalf
16 of the Class Members by, amongst other things, locating and retaining attorneys, participating in
17 discovery and investigation, filing this action and willingly exposing her name and reputation by filing
18 the Class Action, bringing about the changes to Defendant's overtime and wage statement policies,
19 being present during the entirety of and fully participating in the all-day mediation in San Francisco,
20 and helping facilitate the Settlement for which approval is now sought.

21 45. A Google search of Plaintiff's name and that of Defendant reveals—on the *first page of*
22 *results*—that she has been engaged in employment-related litigation against her former employer,
23 information readily available to and potentially located by any prospective employer. See **Exhibit C**.
24 A Google search of only Plaintiff's name reveals information about this pending action on the second
25 page of the results.

26 46. Plaintiff has worked diligently with my law firm throughout this entire litigation,
27 including participating in discovery and the Parties' informal information exchange, preparing to
28 submit to a deposition (which was ultimately taken off calendar), taking numerous calls regarding the

1 facts, claims, and damages at issue, and personally appearing at and participating in the mediation and
2 protracted settlement negotiations.

3 47. Plaintiff was also instrumental in assisting my office in identifying and evaluating the
4 additional claims (unpaid overtime, underpaid sick leave, and derivative claims) that were added
5 through the FAC.

6 48. Plaintiff should be rewarded for taking the initiative to pursue these claims on behalf of
7 her coworkers, and for her role in reaching a substantial settlement providing for valuable relief to the
8 Class. Plaintiff is requesting \$15,000, or 1% of the Maximum Settlement Amount, as a service
9 payment. I believe this to be fair, reasonable, and appropriate under the circumstances.

10 49. In addition to the release being provided by Class Members, Plaintiff is providing a full
11 release of *all* claims, including a Civil Code section 1542 waiver. Plaintiff did have unique, individual
12 claims asserted against Defendant, namely claims based on Defendant's failure to timely respond to her
13 statutory requests for records, and thus the broad release she is providing has value and was a condition
14 of Defendant's agreeing to the substantial monetary amount they are paying to the Class through the
15 Settlement.

16 50. Class Members have been apprised of Plaintiff's request as well as their ability to review
17 her moving papers on the Court's website and the Settlement Administrator's website, and to object to
18 her request if they so desire. To date, not a single objection or concern has been raised.

19 51. Class Members will have the opportunity to review the papers supporting Plaintiff's
20 Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Service Award prior to the opt-out/objection deadline of
21 October 30, 2020 on both the Court's and the Administrator's websites and thus will be afforded
22 further details of the basis for the requests within sufficient time to raise objections as they deem
23 necessary.

24 **The Attorneys' Fees Sought by Class Counsel are Reasonable and Should be Approved**

25 52. Plaintiff seeks an attorneys' fees award of one-third of the \$1,500,000 MSA, or
26 \$500,000. Based upon my experience, as well as my review of fee awards in similar class and

27 ///

28 ///

1 representative actions, the requested fee is reasonable under the circumstances and is consistent with
2 recent Federal and California court awards in similar wage and hour class settlements.¹⁴

3 53. Litigating large employment class and representative actions is neither appealing to, nor
4 realistic for many lawyers and law firms. The law is constantly changing and a single ruling or
5 legislative change can devastate a case. See e.g., *Epic Systems Corp. v. Lewis*, 138 S.Ct. 1612 (2018)
6 and Assembly Bill No. 1506. Plaintiff's lawyers undertake the obligation to finance the litigation and
7 bear significant risk in the event of an unsuccessful outcome, at trial or otherwise. This case was taken
8 on a contingency basis with the possibility that Class Counsel would receive no compensation
9 whatsoever for our efforts. The potential costs that must be advanced in wage and hour class and
10 representative actions like this one are often substantial.

11 54. Representing employees in class and representative actions also requires specialized
12 skill and the willingness to assume the aforementioned risks. As set forth below, Class Counsel have
13 extensive experience in employment class and representative actions.

14 55. In standard, single-plaintiff wage-and-hour cases, Mayall Hurley, P.C. routinely charges
15 a contingent fee at or above one-third of the gross recovery. Therefore, had we negotiated individual
16 retainer agreements with the Class Members in this action, we would have expected equal to or more
17 than we are presently requesting in attorneys' fees.

18 56. Throughout the litigation of this case, Class Counsel worked tirelessly and without
19 compensation (or any promise of compensation) to achieve the Settlement reached. Work performed
20 on this matter necessarily required Mayall Hurley P.C. to forego other profitable work.

21 57. At all times during the pendency of this action, Mayall Hurley, P.C., has operated under
22 a computerized billing system. On all cases, including this case, attorneys and paralegals enter their
23

24 ¹⁴ *In re Omnivision Tech., Inc.* (N.D. Cal. 2008) 559 F. Supp. 2d 1036, 1047); *Beaver v. Tarsadia Hotels* (S.D. Cal. 2017)
25 (2017 WL 4310707 *9 (approving fee of 1/3 of the common fund in wage and hour class action); *Campbell v. Best Buy*
26 *Stores, L.P.* (C.D. Cal. 2016) 2016 WL 6662719, at *10 (approving a fee of one-third of the common fund); *Millan v.*
27 *Cascade Water Services* (E.D. Cal. 2016) 2016 WL 3077710, at *11-12) (approving an award of 33% of the common
28 fund);); *Taylor v. Shipper's Transport Express, Inc.* (C.D., Cal. 2015) 2015 WL 12658458, at *17 (holding that 33% was
reasonable given the result, the risk, and counsel's time investment) *Barbosa v. Cargill Meat Solutions Corp.*, 297 F.R.D.
431, 449 (E.D. Cal. 2013) (awarding one-third of the settlement fund). The Ninth Circuit has also upheld awards of one-
third of a common fund. See *In re Mego Fin. Corp. Sec. Litig.*, 213 F.3d 454, 460 (9th Cir. 2000) (affirming an award of
one-third of total recovery); *In re Pacific Enters. Sec. Litig.*, 47 F.3d 373, 379 (9th Cir. 1995) (affirming an award of one-
third of a \$12 million common fund).

1 time worked on the case contemporaneously with the work done. Each billing entry is identified by the
2 initials of the timekeeper performing the work, the date the work was performed, a description of the
3 work performed, the time worked, the assigned hourly rate for the timekeeper, and the total value of the
4 work performed. Attached as **Exhibit D** is the consolidated billing record of Mayall Hurley, P.C.
5 generated by the timekeepers working on this case. These records accurately reflect the hours our
6 attorneys have worked on this case, during which we sought to efficiently manage, staff, assign, and
7 divide the work between our respective attorneys and to avoid duplication of effort. All of these hours
8 worked were reasonably and necessarily expended on this litigation.

9 58. The hourly rates of individual attorneys vary depending upon his or her level of
10 experience, with more experienced attorneys billed at higher rates. The customary hourly rate in
11 plaintiff's employment class action cases ranges from \$455/hr (for an associate with 7 years'
12 experience litigating plaintiffs' employment cases) to \$894/hr (for a senior partner with nearly 30 years
13 of experience), are commensurate with the rates of practitioners with similar experience in plaintiffs'
14 wage-and-hour class actions within the California legal market, and have also been approved by
15 numerous federal and state courts across the state.¹⁵

16
17 ¹⁵ *Wise v. Ulta Salon Cosmetics & Fragrance, Inc.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California Case No.
18 1:17-cv-00853-DAD-EPG, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Awarding Attorneys' Fees,
19 Costs, and Incentive Payments, March 27, 2020 at pp. 12-14 (approving fees at or near these rates *with a multiplier*) *Grady*
20 *and Duran v. People 2.0 dba The Hire Source, et al.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2017-
21 13867, Order Granting Plaintiff's Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, May 29, 2019, (approving fee
22 request at identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Ali v. Sutter Gould Medical Foundation, Inc.*, Sacramento County
23 Superior Court Case No. 34-2017-00217486, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, May 30, 2019
24 (approving fee request at identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Kumar v. Forty Niners Stadium Management*
25 *Company, LLC*, Santa Clara County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV3121427, Order Granting Final Approval of Class
26 Action Settlement, Fees and Costs of Class Counsel, Service Payment to Class Representative, Settlement Administration
27 Costs, and Entering Final Judgment, March 29, 2019 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based upon the Laffey
28 Matrix); *Smith v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc.*, United States District Court, Northern District, Case No. 3:16-cv-02832-JD, Order
of Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Judgment, Dkt. No. 86 filed September 21, 2018 (approving fee request at
nearly identical rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Ahmed v. Beverly Health and Rehabilitation Services, Inc., et al.*,
United States District Court of California, Eastern District, Case No. 2:16-1747-WBS-KJN, Memorandum and Order RE:
Motion for Final Approval of Class Action Settlement and Motion for Attorneys' Fees, Costs, and Class Representative
Service Payment, Dkt. No. 49, April 25, 2018 (approving fee request of one-third of the common fund at nearly identical
rates based upon the Laffey Matrix); *Hugues v. The Morning Star Trucking Company, LLC*, Yolo County Superior Court
Case No. CV-1215, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Service Payment
and Final Judgment, August 3, 2017 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey Matrix and
awarding multiplier of 1.407); *Davis v. Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior Court, Case No.
BV592580, Order Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement, Attorneys' Fees, Costs, Service Payment and
Entering Final Judgment, August 7, 2017 (approving fee request of one-third at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey
Matrix) and; *Jaime v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.*, United States District Court, Central District of California,
Case No. SACV 15-01618-CJC(DFMx), Order Granting Plaintiff's Motions for Final Approval of the Settlement

1 59. As of the filing of Plaintiffs' Motion, Mayall Hurley, P.C.'s attorneys have worked
2 311.80 hours in prosecuting this case. The result is a lodestar of \$231,212.10. The lodestar is
3 summarized in the chart below:

<u>Timekeeper</u>	<u>Experience</u>	<u>Rate/Hour</u>	<u>Hours</u>	<u>Total</u>
Jenny D. Baysinger (Shareholder)	2007	\$742	174.10	\$129,182.20
Robert Wasserman (Shareholder)	2008	\$742	135.40	\$100,466.80
Nicholas J. Scardigli (Shareholder)	2007	\$742	1.80	\$1,335.60
Vladimir J. Kozina (Associate/Shareholder)	2012	\$455	0.50	\$227.50
Total:			311.80	\$231,212.10

12 60. Based on this lodestar, a multiplier of 2.17, which is below the "3-4 range [that] are
13 common in lodestar awards for lengthy and complex class action litigation" (*Van Vranken v. Atlantic*
14 *Richfield Co.*, 901 F.Supp. 294, 298 (C.D. Cal. 1995) and is well within the 1.9 to 5.1 typically
15 approved (4 NEWBERG ON CLASS ACTIONS § 14.7), would cause it to exceed the \$500,000 in fees
16 requested.

17 61. I anticipate Mayall Hurley P.C. will incur several thousand dollars of additional
18 attorneys' fees in representing the Class through final judgment in this matter. This will include, but
19 not be limited to, finalizing the motion for final approval that will be submitted after the Notice Period
20 expires, fielding Class inquires, attending the Final Approval Hearing, preparing for and attending a
21 final compliance hearing, and overseeing administration of the Settlement.

22 62. Class Members have been apprised of Class Counsel's request as well as their ability to
23 review their moving papers on the Court's website, and to object to their request if they so desire. As
24 of the filing of Plaintiff's Fee Motion, not a single Class Member objected to the attorneys' fees sought
25 by Class Counsel.

26 ///

28 Agreement and for Attorney Fees, Costs, and Service Payment, Docket No. 34, June 26, 2017 (approving fee request at nearly identical rates based on the Laffey Matrix and awarding a multiplier of 1.44).

1 Costs of Class Counsel

2 63. Class Counsel has incurred \$16,000.05 in actual costs in the prosecution of this Action.
3 See Exh. D. These costs include filing fees, copy/ mailing costs, mediation fees, and expert fees and are
4 of the type generally assessed to non-contingency clients. This amount is substantially less than the
5 \$25,000 requested in connection with preliminary approval as my office has made a concerted effort to
6 limit the costs incurred in order to maximize the amount of funds available for distribution to Class
7 Members. Class Members were apprised of the anticipated \$25,000 cost request and none has objected
8 to it to date.

9 Experience of Class Counsel

10 64. In May 2004, I received a B.A. from California State University, Sacramento. In May
11 2007, I received a J.D. with distinction from the University of the Pacific, McGeorge School of Law.
12 In November 2007, I became a member of the State Bar of California. I have been an attorney with
13 Mayall Hurley P.C. since March 2013 and a shareholder since March 2019. I became a Managing
14 Partner in July 2020 and have served as the Firm's Director of Human Resources since that time. Prior
15 to joining Mayall Hurley, I spent two (2) years as a Deputy District Attorney, and an additional three
16 (3) years as a litigation associate for the Sacramento law firm of Downey Brand, LLP. I have been
17 focusing my practice almost exclusively on plaintiff's employment litigation, including prosecuting
18 class action matters, for the past seven (7) years.

19 65. My law firm, Mayall Hurley P.C., has no conflicts of interest in the representation of the
20 Class or Class Representative in this matter

21 66. Mayall Hurley, P.C., primarily through attorneys Robert J. Wasserman and me, has
22 pursued this action aggressively, and many other similar class and representative actions.

23 67. For instance, Mayall Hurley P.C. has recently been approved as class counsel in
24 *Basinger v. Il Palio Restaurant Group, Inc.*, San Diego County Superior Court Case No. 37-2018-
25 00004296-CU-OE-CTL; *Pacheco v. Bushfire Grill, Inc., et al*, United States District Court, Southern
26 District of California Case No. 3:18-CV-01696-JAH-WVG; *Wise v. ULTA Salon, Cosmetics &*
27 *Fragrance, Inc.*, United States District Court, Eastern District, Case No. 1:17-cv-00853-DAD-EPG;
28 *Fernando and Kalaveras v. Burroughs, Inc.*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No. RG18906875;

1 *Alderson v. Alameda County Agricultural Fair Association*, Alameda County Superior Court, Case No.
2 RG18912654; *Terry v. Mare Island Dry Dock, LLC*, Solano County Superior Court, Case No.
3 FCS051650; *Mettler, Bender, and Rojas v. Les Schwab Tire Centers of California, Inc.*, San Joaquin
4 County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2018-476; *Escalera v. La Tapatia Mexican Market,*
5 *Inc.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No., ST-CV-UOE-2017-5296; *Garcia, et al. v. HMS*
6 *Host USA, Inc.*, United States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 17-cv-03069-
7 RS; *Ali v. Sutter Valley Medical Foundation, Inc.*, Sacramento County Superior Court, Case No. 34-
8 2017-00217486; *Grady, et al. v. People 2.0 dba The Hire Source, et al.*, San Joaquin County Case No.
9 STK-CV-UOE-2017-13867; *Kumar v. Forty Niners Stadium Management Company*, Santa Clara
10 County Superior Court, Case No. 17CV3121427; *Gast v. Flooring Liquidators, Inc.*, Stanislaus County
11 Superior Court, Case No. 2026223; *Russell v. KeHe Distributors, Inc.*, United States District Court,
12 Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-at-00592; *Smith v. Wal-Mart Stores, Inc. et al.*, United
13 States District Court, Northern District of California, Case No. 3:16-cv-02832-JD; *Titus v. The Martin-*
14 *Brower, LLC*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:17-cv-00558-
15 JAM-GGH; *Hugues v. The Morning Star Trucking Company, LLC, et al.*, Yolo County Superior Court
16 Case No. CV16-1215; *Ahmed v. Beverly Health and Rehabilitation Services, Inc., et al.*, United States
17 District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:16-cv-01747-WBS-KJN; *Guzman-Padilla, et*
18 *al. v. Gerard Van De Pol, et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No.
19 2:17-cv-00196-JAM-KJN; *Jaime v. Walt Disney Parks and Resorts U.S., Inc.*, United States District
20 Court, Central District of California, Case No. SACV 15-01618-CJC(DFMx); ; *Stevens v. Suncrest*
21 *Solar, Inc.*, Fresno County Superior Court, Case No. 16CECG03355; *Cardoza v. Blazona Concrete*
22 *Construction, Inc.*, Alameda County Superior Court Case No. RG17866256; *Maasrani v. Waterton*
23 *Hospitality Management*, San Mateo County Superior Court, Case No. 17CIV05470; *Huynh v. Parker-*
24 *Hannifin Corporation*, Stanislaus County Superior Court, Case No. 2022325; *Moser v. O'Connor*
25 *Woods Housing Corporation*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2014-
26 0009861; *Bastami v. Semiconductor Components Industries, LLC*, Santa Clara County Superior Court,
27 Case No. 16cv297447; *Davis v. Balfour Beatty Infrastructure, Inc.*, Los Angeles County Superior
28 Court, Case No. BC592580; *Van Lith v. iHeartmedia + Entertainment, Inc. et al.*, United States District

1 Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 1-16-cv-00066-SKO; *Clark v. Arrow Sign Co.*, San
2 Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2016-6457, *Moreno v. B.R. Funsten & Co.*,
3 Solano County Superior Court Case No. FCS046149, *Taylor v. Meadowbrook Meat Company, Inc.*,
4 United States District Court, Norther District of California, Case No. 3:15-cv-00132-LB, and *Perez et*
5 *al. v. Abbate Family Farms Limited Partnership et al.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No.
6 39-2012-00288653-CU-OE-STK, all wage-and-hour class actions with claims similar to the instant
7 matter. Not including this matter, we have several other wage-and-hour class actions currently pending
8 final approval in which we have been preliminarily approved as class counsel. My law firm and I have
9 also represented plaintiffs in numerous representative employment actions, settlements in which have
10 been approved by multiple California and federal courts, and are currently prosecuting dozens more.

11 68. Mayall Hurley, P.C. has also obtained class and/or conditional certification in a number
12 of cases, including *Bice v. Vensure HR, Inc., et al.*, San Joaquin County Superior Court, Case No. STK-
13 CU-UOE-2016-1264; *Perez et al. v. Abbate Family Farms Limited Partnership et al.*, San Joaquin
14 County Superior Court, Case No. 39-2012-00288653-CU-OE-STK, *Wilk v. Skechers U.S.A., Inc.*,
15 United States District court, Central District of California, Case No. 5-18-CV-01921-JGB-SP; *Titus v.*
16 *Paramount Equity Mortgage, LLC*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case
17 No. 2:17-cv-00349-MCE-KJN; and *Solati v. RPM Mortgage, LLC*, Solano County Superior Court Case
18 No. FCS048401.

19 69. I am also currently lead counsel or co-lead counsel in approximately 14 employment
20 class action cases and a handful of PAGA representative cases.

21 **The LWDA Has Not Objected To The Settlement**

22 70. In addition to the claims for damages and statutory penalties asserted on behalf of the
23 Class, the Settlement also resolves associated claims for recovery of civil penalties pursuant to the
24 California Labor Code Private Attorneys' General Act of 2004 (the "PAGA"). The Settlement
25 allocates a total amount of \$10,000 to resolve PAGA claims arising out of the Labor Code violations
26 asserted by the Class, which 0.15% of the maximum PAGA penalty exposure.

27 71. In total, I calculated the maximum PAGA penalty exposure facing Defendant to be
28 \$6,572,700. While the Settlement recovers only a fraction of the maximum penalty exposure, such is

1 reasonable in light of (1) the broad discretion afforded Courts in reducing the amount of penalties
2 imposed (Cal. Labor Code § 2699(e)(2)); (2) the highly technical nature of alleged PAGA violation
3 based on allegedly inaccurate wage statements (which represents the vast majority of the PAGA civil
4 penalty exposure); (3) the complete overlap between the claims for which damages are sought and
5 recovered on behalf of the Class and those upon which PAGA civil penalties are predicated; and (4)
6 the fact that the deterrent and punitive purposes of the PAGA are being served by the Defendant's
7 payment of a substantial amount of damages and statutory penalties to Class Members. *Jennings v.*
8 *Open Door Marketing, LLC* (N.D. Cal. 2018) 2018 WL 4773057 *8-9 (approving PAGA recovery of
9 0.6% of maximum); *Ahmed v. Beverly Health & Rehabilitation Svcs., Inc.* (ED. Cal. 2018) 2018 WL
10 746393 *10. The fact the deterrent purposes of the PAGA are being adequately served is further
11 underscored by Defendant's revision of its policies/practices regarding the calculation and payment
12 of doubletime and sick pay, and revision of the format of its wage statements. As long as the
13 deterrent purposes of the PAGA are being served by the settlement, it is properly approved.

14 72. The LWDA was apprised of the Settlement as required by law on January 31, 2020. To
15 date, despite the passage of more than eight (8) months, the LWDA has not raised any objection. See
16 **Exhibit E.**

17 I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California and the United States
18 of America that the foregoing is true and correct. Executed October 20, 2020, in Stockton, California.

19 /s/ Jenny D. Baysinger

20 JENNY D. BAYSINGER
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT A

1 **MAYALL HURLEY P.C.**
2 **ROBERT J. WASSERMAN (SBN: 258538)**
3 rvasserman@mavallaw.com
4 **JENNY D. BAYSINGER (SBN: 251014)**
5 jbaysinger@mavallaw.com
6 **2453 Grand Canal Boulevard**
Stockton, California 95207-8253
Telephone: (209) 477-3833
Facsimile: (209) 473-4818

7 **Attorneys for Plaintiff Jennifer Modica and the Putative Class**

8 **SEYFARTH SHAW LLP**
9 **JON D. MEER (SBN: 144389)**
10 jmeer@seyfarth.com
11 **JONATHAN L. BROPHY (SBN: 245223)**
12 jbrophy@seyfarth.com
13 **2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500**
Los Angeles, CA 90067-3021
Telephone: (310) 277-7200
Facsimile: (310) 201-5219

14 **Attorneys for Defendant Iron Mountain Information Management Service, Inc.**

15 **UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**

16 **EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

17 **JENNIFER MODICA**, individually and
18 on behalf of other similarly situated
19 current and former employees and as
20 proxy for the LWDA,

21 **Plaintiff,**

22 **v.**

23 **IRON MOUNTAIN INFORMATION**
MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a
24 Delaware corporation; and **DOES 1-100,**
25 inclusive,

26 **Defendant.**

Hon. Troy L. Nunley

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF

**JOINT STIPULATION OF CLASS AND
REPRESENTATIVE ACTION SETTLEMENT
AGREEMENT AND RELEASE OF CLAIMS**

Complaint Filed: January 25, 2019

Trial Date: None Set

1 This Joint Stipulation of Class And Representative Action Settlement Agreement and
2 Release of Claims (“Settlement Agreement” or “Settlement”), is made and entered into between
3 Plaintiff Jennifer Modica (“Plaintiff”), individually and on behalf of herself and on behalf of the
4 Class and Class Members (as defined below), and Defendant Iron Mountain Information Services,
5 Inc. (“Defendant”).

6 This Agreement is intended by the Parties to fully, finally, and forever resolve, discharge and
7 settle the Action (as defined below) and the Released Claims (as defined below), upon and subject to
8 the terms and conditions hereof, as follows:

9 **I. RECITALS.**

10 a. Procedural Posture

11 1. On October 27, 2018, Plaintiff provided written notice to the Labor and Workforce
12 Development Agency (“LWDA”) and Defendant claiming Defendant violated specific provisions of the
13 Labor Code alleged to have been violated, including the facts and theories to support those alleged
14 violations.

15 2. On January 25, 2019, Plaintiff Jennifer Modica filed her original class action Complaint
16 in the Superior Court of California for the County of San Joaquin, titled “*Jennifer Modica, individually*
17 *and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees and as proxy for the LWDA v.*
18 *IRON MOUNTAIN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC.*, a Delaware corporation; and
19 DOES 1-100, inclusive,” Case No. STK-CV-UOE-2019-1140 (“Complaint”).

20 3. On February 28, 2019, Defendant timely filed its Answer to Plaintiff’s Complaint in San
21 Joaquin Superior Court, wherein it generally denied the allegations in the Complaint

22 4. On March 1, 2019, Defendant timely removed this case to the United States District Court
23 for the Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF based on the Class Action
24 Fairness Act of 2005 (“CAFA”) diversity jurisdiction (Dkt. No. 1).

25 5. Prior to mediation on November 14, 2019, Defendant stipulated to the filing of Plaintiff’s
26 First Amended Complaint. The First Amended Complaint states class and representative causes of action
27 for (1) Failure to Properly Calculate and Pay Doubletime; (2) Failure to Properly Calculate and Pay Sick
28

1 Pay; (3) Failure to Furnish Accurate Itemized Wage Statements; (4) Failure to Pay All Wages Due Upon
2 Cessation of Employment; (5) Violation of Business and Professions Code Section 17200; and (6)
3 violation of the California Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”). Plaintiff also states individual
4 causes of action for failure to provide personnel and payroll records. (Dkt. No. 13). The Parties agree
5 that Defendant does not need to file an Answer to the First Amended Complaint, as set forth in the
6 separately filed Stipulation (Dkt. No. 11).

7 b. The Parties Have Engaged In Extensive Discovery

8 6. Between June, 2019 and November, 2019, as part of the Parties’ formal and informal
9 discovery and settlement negotiations, Defendant provided Plaintiff’s counsel with thousands of pages of
10 documents. Specifically, Defendant produced all of Plaintiff’s time records and payroll records, and her
11 personnel file. Defendant also produced extensive pay period data for non-exempt employees in
12 California who worked overtime and/or shift differentials, and written policies applicable to the claims in
13 this action. Additionally, Defendant produced a sampling of time and payroll data for current and former
14 potential Class Members amounting to tens of thousands of lines of data. Counsel for the Parties have
15 further investigated the applicable law as applied to the facts discovered regarding Plaintiff’s claims, the
16 defenses thereto, and the damages and penalties potentially available to Plaintiff in the Class Action. In
17 conjunction with those same negotiations, the Parties have spoken at length about the strengths and
18 weaknesses of each sides’ claims and defenses, the certifiability of the class, and the scope of
19 Defendant’s potential liability. Both Plaintiff and Defendant retained experts to examine the data and
20 determine the extent of the Class’s potential damages.

21 c. The Parties Successful Settlement Efforts

22 7. On November 14, 2019, the Parties participated in good faith in arms’ length settlement
23 discussions at a mediation with renowned employment class action mediator David Rotman, Esq. in San
24 Francisco. Although the mediation did not end in a settlement, the Parties agreed to a Memorandum Of
25 Understanding from which Defendant had one week to withdraw.

26 8. On November 20, 2019, Defendant confirmed its agreement to the Memorandum Of
27 Understanding.
28

1 9. After several weeks of further negotiations, the Parties executed this Settlement
2 Agreement. The Parties believe and agree that this Settlement is a fair, adequate, and reasonable
3 resolution of the Class Action and have arrived at this Settlement in arms-length negotiations, taking into
4 account all relevant factors, present and potential, each side recognizing the risks of an adverse result.

5 10. As a result of the Class Action and efforts of Plaintiff and Class Counsel, Defendant

- 6 a. Revised its wage statements as of its November 29, 2019 payroll distribution;
7 b. Revised its policies, practices, and procedures associated with the calculation and
8 payment of its employees' doubletime; and
9 c. Revised its policies, practices, and procedures associated with the calculation and
10 payment of its employees' sick pay.

11 d. The Parties' Agreed To Terms

12 11. For purposes of this Settlement only, Plaintiff contends and Defendant does not dispute
13 that there is sufficient evidence to support the requisites for certification of the Class for settlement
14 purposes, specifically:

- 15 a. There are approximately 1,119 Class Members (277 Doubletime Subclass
16 Members, 330 Sick Pay, 51 Former Employee Subclass Members, and 1,119 Wage
17 Statement Subclass members), which is so numerous as to make it impractical to join all
18 Class Members;
19 b. The Class is ascertainable from Defendant's records;
20 c. Common questions of law and fact exist;
21 d. The claims of the Plaintiff are typical of the claims of the Class Members and
22 Plaintiff is an adequate representative and should be appointed as such;
23 e. Mayall Hurley, P.C. is adequate to represent the Class and should be appointed as
24 Class Counsel;
25 f. The prosecution of separate actions by individual members of the Class would
26 create the risk of inconsistent or varying adjudications, which could establish
27 incompatible standards of conduct; and
28

1 g. Questions of law and fact common to the members of the Class predominate over
2 questions affecting individual members of the Class and a class action is superior to other
3 available means for the fair and efficient adjudication of the controversy.

4 e. Defendant's Denials

5 By entering into this Settlement, Defendant denies and continues to deny: (a) all of the allegations
6 made by Plaintiff or the Class in the Action; (b) that it violated any applicable laws; (c) that it is liable or
7 owes damages, penalties, or other compensation or remedies to anyone with respect to the alleged facts
8 or laws asserted in the Act; and (d) that class certification or representative treatment of the Action or
9 any alleged claim is proper. Defendant emphasizes that the Court has not made any findings of liability
10 as to Defendant and the Court has not determined that Class certification is warranted in this Action.
11 Nonetheless, without admitting or conceding any liability or wrongdoing whatsoever and without
12 admitting or conceding that Class certification or representative treatment is appropriate for any purpose
13 other than settlement purposes alone, Defendant has agreed to settle the Action on the terms and
14 conditions set forth in this Agreement, to avoid the burden, expense, and uncertainty of continuing the
15 Action. Any stipulations or statements by Defendant contained in this Agreement are made for
16 settlement purposes only.

17 **II. DEFINITIONS.**

18 12. "Action" or "Class Action" refers to the civil action entitled: *Modica v. Iron Mountain*
19 *Information Management Services, Inc.*, pending in the United States District Court for the Eastern
20 District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EFB.

21 13. "Agreement," "Settlement Agreement," or "Settlement" refers to this Joint Stipulation of
22 Class Action Settlement Agreement and Release of Claims, which includes all of the Recitals and the
23 Exhibits attached hereto.

24 14. "Class" and "Class Members" refers to all current and former California non-exempt
25 employees of Defendant who (i) worked double time or used paid sick leave during a workweek when
26 he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one
27 occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020; and/or (ii)

1 received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii)
2 worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

3 To allow for orderly distribution of the Net Settlement Amount and releases that properly track the
4 claims advanced, the Parties have agreed to the following subclasses:

- 5 a. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked
6 more than twelve (12) hours in a workday and/or more than eight (8) hours on the 7th
7 consecutive day worked in the workweek during a workweek when he/she also earned
8 shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one
9 occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March
10 13, 2020 (the “DoubleTime Subclass”);
- 11 b. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who were
12 eligible for and used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she also earned shift
13 differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one
14 occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March
15 13, 2020 (the “Sick Pay Subclass”);
- 16 c. All individuals who are members of the Double Time Class and/or the Sick Pay Class
17 and separated from employment at any time between October 1, 2017 and the earlier
18 of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 (the “Former Employee Subclass”); and
- 19 d. All current and former California employees of Defendant who received a wage
20 statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or
21 (ii) worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and the earlier of preliminary approval
22 or March 13, 2020 (the “Wage Statement Subclass”).

23 15. “Class Counsel” refers Mayall Hurley P.C., by and through Lead Counsel Robert J.
24 Wasserman and Jenny D. Baysinger. For purposes of providing any notices required under this
25 Agreement, Class Counsel shall refer to Robert J. Wasserman (rwasserman@mayallaw.com) and Jenny
26 D. Baysinger (jbasinger@mayallaw.com), Mayall Hurley P.C. 2453 Grand Canal Boulevard, Stockton,
27 California 95207

1 16. "Class List" refers to the list of Class Member information to be provided to the
2 Settlement Administrator by Defendant.

3 17. "Class Notice" refers to the Notice of Pendency of Class Action, Preliminary Approval of
4 Settlement, and Hearing for Final Approval, substantially in the form attached here to as Exhibit 1, as it
5 may be modified by agreement of the Parties or upon order of the Court, which will be sent to the Class
6 Members.

7 18. "Class Representative" refers to the named Plaintiff in the Action, Jennifer Modica.

8 19. "Cy Pres" refers to the Court Appointed Special Advocates for Children of San Joaquin
9 (serving San Joaquin County), 127 N Sutter St, Stockton, CA 95202.

10 20. "Defendant" refers to the named Defendant in the Action, Iron Mountain Information
11 Management Services, Inc.

12 21. "Defense Counsel" refers to Seyfarth Shaw LLP. For purposes of providing any notices
13 required under this Agreement, Defense Counsel shall refer to Jon D. Meer
14 (jmeer@seyfarth.com), Jonathan L. Brophy (jbrophy@seyfarth.com) and Catherine Feldman
15 (cfeldman@seyfarth.com); Seyfarth Shaw LLP, 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500, Los Angeles,
16 California 90067.

17 22. "Doubletime Subclass Members" refers to all current and former California non-exempt
18 employees of Defendant who worked more than twelve (12) hours in a workday and/or more than eight
19 (8) hours on the 7th consecutive day worked in the workweek during a workweek when he/she also
20 earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion
21 between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

22 23. "Doubletime Subclass Period" means October 1, 2017 through the earlier of October 1,
23 2017 through the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020

24 24. "Effective Date" as defined below is a condition of performance of the obligations under
25 this Settlement. The Effective Date is determined as follows: If no Class Member or any person
26 claiming to have standing submits an objection or otherwise purports to object to the Settlement
27 Agreement, then the Effective Date is the date of the Court's entry of a final approval order and
28

1 judgment finally approving the Settlement Agreement (“Order of Final Approval”). If any Class
2 Member or any person claiming to have standing submits an objection or otherwise purports to object to
3 the Settlement Agreement, then the Effective Date is the date after (a) the Court’s entry of a final
4 approval order and judgment finally approving the Settlement Agreement (“Order of Final Approval”),
5 and (b) the first to occur of the following: (1) the date for seeking appellate review of the Court’s Order
6 of Final Approval has passed without a timely appeal or request for review having been made (i.e., 31
7 days after entry of the trial court’s Order of Final Approval and Judgment); or (2) if an appeal, review, or
8 writ is sought from the Order of Final Approval, the day after the Order of Final Approval is affirmed or
9 the appeal, review or writ is dismissed or denied, and the Order of Final Approval is no longer subject to
10 further judicial review.

11 25. “Employee Taxes and Withholdings” shall mean the employee’s share of any and all
12 applicable federal, state or local payroll taxes on the portion of any Participating Class Member’s
13 Settlement Share that constitutes wages. The Employee Taxes and Withholdings will be withheld from
14 and paid out of the Net Settlement Amount, as appropriate.

15 26. “Employer Taxes” shall mean and refer to Defendant’s share of federal, state and/or local
16 payroll taxes that is owed on the portion of any Participating Class Member’s Settlement Share that
17 constitutes wages. The Employer-side Taxes shall be separately paid by Defendant and shall not be paid
18 from the Maximum Settlement Amount or Net Settlement Amount.

19 27. “Final Approval Hearing” means the hearing set by the Court for the purpose of
20 determining, inter alia, (1) the fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Settlement, (2) the Service
21 Payment to Plaintiff, and (3) the fees and costs of Class Counsel.

22 28. “Final Approval Order” or “Order of Final Approval” refer to the order of the Court
23 granting final approval of this Settlement and entering a judgment approving this Settlement on
24 substantially the same terms provided herein or as may be modified by subsequent agreement of the
25 Parties.

26 ///

27 ///

1 29. “Former Employee Subclass Members” refers to all individuals who are members of the
2 DoubleTime Subclass and/or the Sick Pay Subclass and separated from employment at any time between
3 October 1, 2017 the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

4 30. “Former Employee Subclass Period” means October 1, 2017 through the earlier of
5 preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

6 31. “Maximum Settlement Amount” refers to the maximum amount which Defendant is
7 obliged to pay under this Agreement, i.e., One Million Five Hundred Thousand Dollars (\$1,500,000).
8 The Maximum Settlement Amount includes Employee-side Taxes and Withholdings, but does not
9 include Employer-side Taxes on the wage portion of the Settlement.

10 32. “Net Settlement Amount” refers to the Maximum Settlement Amount, less the Court-
11 approved (a) costs of the Settlement Administrator, (b) payment to the California Labor and Workforce
12 Development Agency (“LWDA”), (c) Service Payment of Plaintiff, and (d) Class Counsel’s Fees and
13 Costs.

14 33. “Order of Preliminary Approval” or “Preliminary Approval Order” refers to the order of
15 the Court granting preliminary approval of this Settlement and authorizing dissemination of the Class
16 Notice.

17 34. “Participating Class Members” refers to all Class Members who choose to participate in
18 this Settlement and who do not timely and validly exclude themselves from the Settlement in accordance
19 with the procedures set forth herein.

20 35. “Parties” refers collectively to the named Plaintiff and the named Defendant in the Action.

21 36. “Plaintiff” refers to the named Plaintiff in the Action, Jennifer Modica.

22 37. “Qualified Settlement Fund” or “QSF” shall mean the Qualified Settlement Fund
23 established by the Settlement Administrator for the benefit of the Settlement Class members and from
24 which the Settlement Shares and all other payments under this Agreement shall be paid with the
25 exception of the employer’s share of payroll taxes, The an account that will qualify and be characterized
26 as a Qualified Settlement Fund under the provisions of the U.S. Treasury Regulations 1.486B-1 and
27 1.468B-5, to be set up as provided below, and into which the Maximum Settlement Amount is to be
28

1 deposited as agreed herein, to be administered in a manner consistent with law and the terms of this
2 Settlement.

3 38. “Released Claims” refers collectively to the claims released by Participating Class
4 Members and as defined in Paragraph 71.

5 39. “Released PAGA Claims” means the PAGA claims released by Plaintiff on behalf of
6 herself and the other allegedly aggrieved employees and the LWDA, described in detail in Paragraph 72,
7 as against Defendant.

8 40. “Released Parties” means (i) Defendant Iron Mountain Information Management
9 Services, Inc.; (ii) each of Defendant’s respective past, present and future parents, subsidiaries, and
10 affiliates including, without limitation, any corporation, limited liability company, partnership, trust,
11 foundation, and non-profit entity which controls, is controlled by, or is under common control with
12 Defendant; (iii) the past, present and future shareholders, directors, officers, agents, employees,
13 attorneys, insurers, members, partners, managers, contractors, agents, consultants, representatives,
14 administrators, fiduciaries, benefit plans, transferees, predecessors, successors, and assigns of any of the
15 foregoing; and (iv) any individual or entity which could be jointly liable with any of the foregoing.

16 41. “Response Period” or “Response Deadline” means the final date, forty-five (45) days after
17 the Settlement Administrator mails the Class Notice to the Class Members, upon which Class Members
18 may submit a request for exclusion or objection to the Settlement.

19 42. “Settlement Administrator” refers to Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions, the
20 third-party administrator whom the Parties have mutually selected to administer the Settlement in
21 accordance with the terms set forth herein and as approved by the Court.

22 43. “Settlement Share” refers to the payment to which a Participating Class Member is
23 entitled to receive pursuant to the Settlement.

24 44. “Sick Pay Subclass Members” refers to all current and former California non-exempt
25 employees of Defendant who were eligible for and used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she
26 also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration between October 1, 2017
27 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

1 45. "Sick Pay Subclass Period" means October 1, 2017 through the earlier of October 1, 2017
2 through the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

3 46. "Wage Statement Subclass Members" refers to all current and former non-exempt
4 California Employees of Defendant who received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she
5 either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii) worked overtime. from January 22, 2018 through the earlier
6 of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

7 47. "Wage Statement Subclass Period" means January 22, 2018 through the earlier of
8 October 1, 2017 through the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020

9 **III. APPLICATION FOR APPROVAL OF THE SETTLEMENT, CLASS CERTIFICATION,**
10 **DISSEMINATION OF NOTICE, AND SETTING OF FINAL APPROVAL HEARING.**

11 48. Promptly upon the full execution of this Agreement, Plaintiff shall apply to the Court for
12 approval of the Settlement, including an Order of Preliminary Approval that, amongst other things, (a)
13 preliminarily approves the Settlement under the legal standards relating to the approval of class action
14 settlements; (b) preliminarily certifies the Class for settlement purposes only; (c) approves the Class
15 Notice and authorizes dissemination of the same; (d) preliminarily approves Plaintiff as Class
16 Representative; (e) preliminarily approves Mayall Hurley as Class Counsel; (f) preliminarily approves
17 Simpluris, Inc. to serve as Settlement Administrator; and (g) sets a Final Approval Hearing and briefing
18 schedule. Should this Settlement not become effective for any reason, the fact that the Parties stipulated
19 to certification of a Class shall have no bearing on and shall not be admissible on the question of whether
20 a class action should be certified in a non-settlement context. Defendant does not waive, and instead
21 expressly reserves, its rights to challenge the propriety of class certification for any purpose should the
22 Court not approve the Settlement Agreement.

23 **IV. CONSIDERATION FOR THE SETTLEMENT.**

24 49. Maximum Settlement Amount; Non-Reversionary.

25 The Parties agree to settle this Class Action for the Maximum Settlement Amount of \$1,500,000.
26 The settlement is being made on an all-in, non-reversionary basis, such that Defendant will pay the
27 entirety of the agreed-upon Maximum Settlement Amount. Subject to the terms and conditions of this
28 Agreement, the Maximum Settlement Amount is paid by Defendant in full and final settlement of (a) the

1 Released Claims, (b) the costs of the Settlement Administrator, (b) the payment to the LWDA, (c) the
2 Service Payment to Plaintiff, (d) Employee-side Taxes and Withholdings, and (e) Class Counsel's Fees
3 and Costs. The Maximum Settlement Amount shall be deposited into the QSF within seven (7) calendar
4 days of the Effective Date. The Maximum Settlement Amount does not include the Employer Taxes,
5 which also must be paid by Defendant.

6 50. Allocation of the Maximum Settlement Amount.

7 Subject to Court approval, the Maximum Settlement Amount of \$1,500,000 will be allocated as
8 follows:

- 9 (i) \$14,000.00 for the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator;
10 (ii) \$7,500 for payment to LWDA under the PAGA;
11 (iii) \$15,000.00 for Service Payment to Plaintiff (and for CC 1542 release);
12 (iv) \$25,000.00 for payment to Class Counsel for reasonable costs; and
13 (v) \$500,000 for payment to Class Counsel for attorneys' fees (1/3 of the MSA).

14 The remainder constitutes the Net Settlement Amount: \$938,500.00.

15 51. Escalator Clause.

16 The Parties agree that there are approximately 1,119 Class Members. If this number increases by
17 15% or more, excluding acquisitions of other entities by Defendant, there shall be an equal increase in
18 the Maximum Settlement Amount (i.e., if the number of class members increases by 16%, the Maximum
19 Settlement Amount Shall increase by 1%, etc.).

20 52. Reasonable Fees and Costs of the Settlement Administrator.

21 All of the Settlement Administrator's costs, which are not to exceed \$14,000.00 unless otherwise
22 approved by the Court, will be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount from the QSF. If there are
23 any unused amounts of the allocated Settlement Administrator costs after the settlement distribution,
24 such amount shall be distributed to the *cy pres* beneficiary.

25 53. Payment to the LWDA under the PAGA.

26 The Settlement allocates \$10,000 to the PAGA claims. Defendant does not oppose the allocation.
27 Of that amount, 75% – or \$7,500 – will be paid to the LWDA, and 25% – or \$2,500 – will be returned to
28

1 the portion of the Net Settlement Amount allocated to the Participating Class Members. The Settlement
2 Administrator shall make the payment to the LWDA within ten (10) calendar days after Defendant funds
3 the QSF.

4 54. Service Payment to Plaintiff.

5 Subject to Court approval, Plaintiff will apply for a Service Payment not to exceed \$15,000, or
6 1% of the Maximum Settlement Amount, in consideration for her efforts on behalf of the Class
7 including, but not limited to, assisting in the investigation of her claims and consulting with Class
8 Counsel, providing critical information and documents to Class Counsel, participating in discovery,
9 attending the mediation in San Francisco, and participating in post-mediation settlement negotiations.
10 Any Service Payment approved by the Court will be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount and
11 shall be in addition to Plaintiff's Settlement Share under the terms of the Settlement. In addition to the
12 claims released under the Settlement, and as set forth in greater detail below, Plaintiff will also provide a
13 general release which includes California Civil Code section 1542 waiver. The Settlement Administrator
14 will issue an IRS Form 1099 for any Service Payment approved by the Court. The Settlement
15 Administrator shall mail the Service Payment to Plaintiff within ten (10) calendar days after Defendant
16 funds the QSF. Defendant does not oppose the proposed Service Payment.

17 55. Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs to Class Counsel.

18 Subject to Court approval, Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees
19 and costs incurred in connection with the prosecution of this matter; all of the work remaining to be
20 performed including, but not limited to, preparing all of the motions and documents necessary to secure
21 Court approval of the Settlement Agreement (including all related appellate work); carrying out their
22 duties to see that the Settlement Agreement is fairly administered and implemented; responding to
23 questions from Class Members; and obtaining dismissal of the Class Action.

24 Class Counsel will apply to the Court for an award of attorneys' fees in an amount not to exceed
25 \$500,000, or one-third, of the Maximum Settlement Amount, and declared costs of up to \$25,000.00.
26 Defendant does not oppose Class Counsel's request. The fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel by
27 the Court shall be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount from the QSF. The Settlement
28

1 Administrator shall issue an IRS Form 1099 to Class Counsel in connection with this payment. The
2 Settlement Administrator shall distribute the attorneys' fees and costs awarded to Class Counsel within
3 10 days after Defendant funds the QSF.

4 Not less than 35 days following the mailing of the Class Notice, or such other time as the Court
5 may require, Class Counsel will file a motion for attorneys' fees, costs, and Service Payments with the
6 Court.

7 In the event that a lesser sum is awarded for the attorneys' fees and costs referenced above, or for
8 the Service Payment referenced in Paragraph 54, the approval by the Court of any such lesser sum(s)
9 shall not be grounds for Plaintiff and/or Class Counsel to terminate the Settlement, but such an order
10 shall be appealable by them. In the event that such an appeal is filed, administration of the portion of the
11 attorneys' fees and/or costs award and/or service payment in dispute will be segregated and stayed
12 pending the exhaustion of appellate review. If, after the exhaustion of any such appellate review,
13 additional amounts are distributable to the Participating Class Members, the cost of administration of the
14 payments to them will be paid out of such additional amounts and not by Defendant. In the event that
15 appellate review is not sought regarding Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and costs, any amount not
16 awarded in attorneys' fees, costs and Service Payment shall be added to the Maximum Settlement
17 Amount and distributed to the Participating Class Members in accordance with the terms of the
18 Settlement.

19 56. Tax Treatment of Settlement Shares.

20 For the purpose of taxes and required withholdings, the Parties agree to the following: (1) 100%
21 of the amount distributed to each Participating Wage Statement Subclass Member who is not a member
22 of any other subclass shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (2) 100%
23 of the \$500 paid to each and Former Employee Subclass Member shall constitute penalties (for which an
24 IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (3) 1% of each Participating Doubletime and Sick Pay Subclass
25 Member's Settlement Share shall constitute wages (for which an IRS Form W-2 shall be issued),
26 49.5% shall constitute interest, and 49.5% shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be
27 issued), and (2).

1 Prior to the distribution of Settlement Shares, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate the
2 total taxes and withholdings required as a result of the wage portion of the Settlement Share and such
3 actual amount will be deducted therefrom. Additionally, within ten (10) calendar days of the Effective
4 Date, or earlier upon Defendant's request, the Settlement Administrator shall calculate the Employer
5 Taxes due on the wage portion of the Settlement Shares and issue instructions to Defendant to separately
6 fund these obligations. Defendant, Defendant's counsel, the Settlement Administrator, and Plaintiff's
7 counsel make no representation as to the tax treatment or legal effect of the payments called for
8 hereunder, and Plaintiff and Participating Class Members are not relying on any statement,
9 representation, or calculation by Defendant, Defendant's counsel, Plaintiff's counsel, or the Settlement
10 Administrator in this regard. Plaintiff and Participating Class Members understand and agree that they
11 will be solely responsible for the payment of any taxes and penalties assessed on their respective
12 payments described herein and will defend, indemnify, and hold Defendant, Defendant's counsel,
13 Plaintiff's counsel and the Settlement Administrator free and harmless from and against any claims
14 resulting from treatment of such payments as non-taxable damages.

15 57. No Effect on Employee Benefit Plans.

16 It is expressly understood and agreed that the receipt of Settlement Shares will not entitle any
17 Participating Class Member to additional or derivative compensation or benefits under any Defendant
18 bonus, contest or other compensation or benefit plan or agreement in place during the period covered by
19 the Settlement, nor will it entitle any Participating Class Member to any increased retirement, 401k
20 benefits or matching benefits, or deferred compensation benefits. It is the intent of this Settlement that
21 the settlement awards provided for in this Agreement are the sole payments to be made by Defendant to
22 the Participating Class Members, and that the Participating Class Members are not entitled to any
23 additional or derivative compensation or benefits as a result of having received the Settlement Shares
24 (notwithstanding any contrary language or agreement in any benefit or compensation plan document that
25 might have been in effect during the period covered by this Settlement).

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 58. Undistributed Funds.

2 In the event that any checks mailed to Participating Class Members remain uncashed after the
3 expiration of 180 days from mailing, or an envelope mailed to a Participating Class Member is returned
4 and no forwarding address can be located for the Participating Class Member after reasonable efforts
5 have been made (including but not limited to skip tracing), then any such funds shall be transmitted to
6 the Settlement Administrator and distributed as follows in accordance with California Code of Civil
7 Procedure section 384:

8 100% to Court Appointed Special Advocates for Children of San Joaquin (serving San
9 Joaquin County), 127 N Sutter St, Stockton, CA 95202.

10 The Settlement Administrator shall apply for and return to Defendant any Employer Taxes paid on the
11 funds from the returned checks.

12 **V. ADMINISTRATION OF THE SETTLEMENT.**

13 59. Duties of the Settlement Administrator.

14 The Settlement Administrator shall perform the duties required by this Settlement by, among
15 other things, and without limitation, (i) receiving and updating through normal and customary procedures
16 the Class List to be produced by Defendant, so that it is updated prior to the mailing of the Class Notice,
17 (ii) populating, printing, and mailing the Court-approved Class Notice, (iii) creating and maintaining an
18 informational website, (iv) responding to Class Member inquiries as appropriate, (v) performing
19 necessary additional skip traces on any notices and/or checks returned as undeliverable, (vi) tracking
20 exclusions and calculating the Settlement Shares of the Participating Class Members, (vii) resolving
21 disputes during the administration process in the manner described below, (viii) reporting to Class
22 Counsel and Defense Counsel regarding administration of the Settlement, (ix) establishing the QSF in
23 the manner described below, (x) preparing and mailing settlement checks to the Participating Class
24 Members, (xi) preparing and distributing the necessary CAFA notice documents required by 28 U.S.C. §
25 1715, (xii) preparing and distributing the Court-approved payments to the itself, the LWDA, the Class
26 Representatives, and Class Counsel, (xiii) preparing all appropriate tax forms required in connection with
27 the payments called for by this Settlement and remitting those forms and all required payments to the
28

1 appropriate governmental agencies, providing any leftover funds to the designated *Cy Pres*; preparing
2 and filing with appropriate agencies all appropriate tax forms required and returning payment to
3 Defendant in connection with a return of Employer taxes owed on returned checks(xiv) preparing a final
4 report summarizing the administration of the Settlement, and (xv) generally performing all normal and
5 customary duties associated with the administration of such settlements.

6 60. Dispute Resolution.

7 The Settlement Administrator shall have the initial responsibility for resolving any disputes that
8 arise during the administration of the Settlement including, without limitation, disputes regarding
9 whether a Class Member is entitled to a Settlement Share and, if so, the amount thereof. In resolving
10 such disputes, Defendant's employment records shall be presumed accurate and correct, and shall be
11 final and binding unless the information submitted by the individual (e.g., time records, wage statements,
12 employment records, etc.) proves otherwise. In the event that the Settlement Administrator cannot
13 resolve a dispute based upon a review of Defendant's records, the Settlement Administrator will
14 schedule a call with Class Counsel and Defense Counsel to discuss and resolve the dispute. After such
15 call, the Settlement Administrator will resolve the dispute and such resolution will be final and binding
16 on the Class Member.

17 61. Establishing the Qualified Settlement Fund.

18 The Settlement Administrator shall establish for the benefit of the Settlement Class members and
19 from which the Settlement Shares and all other payments under this Agreement shall be paid with the
20 exception of the employer's share of payroll taxes. The Settlement Administrator shall have its own
21 Employer Identification Number under Internal Revenue Service Form W-9 and shall use its own
22 Employer Identification Number and shall transmit the required employers' and employees' share of the
23 withholdings, if any, to the appropriate state and federal tax authorities. The Settlement Administrator
24 shall establish a settlement fund that meets the requirements of a QSF under U.S. Treasury Regulation
25 section 468B-1 and section 468B of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended (the "Code"). The
26 QSF shall be an interest-bearing account at a federally insured bank that is mutually acceptable to the
27 parties and the Settlement Administrator. The parties agree that the QSF is intended to be a "Qualified
28

1 Settlement Fund” under Section 468B of the Code and Treas. Reg. Section 1.468B-1, 26 CFR Sections
2 1.468B-1, et seq., and will be administered by the Settlement Administrator With respect to the QSF, the
3 Settlement Administrator shall: (1) open and administer in such a manner as to qualify and maintain the
4 qualification of the QSF as a “Qualified Settlement Fund” under Section 468B of the Code and Treas.
5 Reg. Section 1.468B-1; (2) satisfy all federal, state, and local income and other tax reporting, return, and
6 filing requirements with respect to Defendant and the QSF and any interest or other income earned by
7 the QSF; and (3) satisfy out of the QSF all (i) taxes (including any estimated taxes, interest, or penalties)
8 with respect to the interest or other income earned by the QSF, and (ii) fees, expenses, and costs incurred
9 in connection with the opening and administration of the QSF and the performance of its duties and
10 functions as described in this Settlement Agreement. The aforementioned taxes, fees, costs, and expenses
11 shall be treated as, and included in, the costs of administering the QSF and as Settlement Administration
12 costs. The Settlement Administrator shall provide copies to Defendant of any federal, state, and local
13 income or other tax reporting, return, and filing prepared on Defendant’ behalf. The Parties agree to
14 cooperate with the Settlement Administrator and one another to the extent reasonably necessary to carry
15 out the provisions of this section.

16 The Settlement Administrator shall be treated as an “administrator” as defined at Treasury
17 Regulation section 1.468B-2(k) for purposes of federal and state income tax reporting with respect to the
18 distributions and payments made under this Settlement Agreement. Accordingly, the Settlement
19 Administrator will be responsible for issuing to participating Settlement Class members IRS Forms W-2
20 for amounts deemed “wages” and IRS Forms 1099 for the amounts allocated as penalties and interest at
21 times and in the manner required by the Internal Revenue Code and consistent with this Settlement
22 Agreement. If the Internal Revenue Code, the regulations promulgated thereunder, or other applicable
23 tax law, is changed after the date of this Settlement Agreement, the processes set forth in this section
24 may be modified in a manner to comply with any such changes. Notwithstanding the treatment of the
25 payments to each Settlement Class member above, none of the payments called for by this Settlement
26 Agreement, including the wage portion, are to be treated as earnings, wages, pay or compensation for
27 any purpose of any applicable benefit or retirement plan, unless required by such plans. Any interest
28

1 accrued shall inure to the benefit of the Class. The Maximum Settlement Amount shall be deposited into
2 the QSF within ten (10) business days of the Effective Date. Defendant may, at its discretion, deposit the
3 Maximum Settlement Amount at an earlier date.

4 **VI. CLASS LIST, NOTICE TO CLASS MEMBERS, PARTICIPATION IN THE**
5 **SETTLEMENT, AND SETTLEMENT SHARES.**

6 62. Provision of the Class List.

7 Within fourteen (14) calendar days of the Court's entry of the Order of Preliminary Approval,
8 Defendant shall provide the Settlement Administrator with the Class List containing the following for
9 each Class Member: (i) name, (ii) last known address and telephone number, (iii) social security number,
10 (iv) dates of employment, and (v) pay period data.

11 63. Notice to Class Members.

12 Promptly upon receipt of the Class List, the Settlement Administrator shall access the National
13 Change of Address Database ("NCOA") and update the addresses provided by Defendant. The
14 Settlement Administrator shall also populate the Class Notice as appropriate. Within fourteen (14)
15 calendar days after receipt of the Class List, the Settlement Administrator shall mail the Class Notice to
16 each Class Member via first-class mail. For each notice returned as undeliverable before the Response
17 Deadline, the Settlement Administrator shall promptly attempt to determine a correct address using its
18 best efforts and shall resend the notice to any new address determined thereby. Any costs incurred by
19 having the Settlement Administrator handle these administrative tasks shall be included in the Settlement
20 Administrator costs approved by the Court. Any re-mailed Class Notice shall be identical to the original
21 Class Notice.

22 Within ten (10) calendar days after receipt of the Class List, or as otherwise directed by the
23 Court, the Settlement Administrator shall also launch its informational website. In addition to the
24 information contained in the Class Notice, the Settlement Administrator shall also post the Order of
25 Preliminary Approval, the motion for final approval, the motion for attorneys' fees, costs and Service
26 Payment, and Order of Final Approval when each is filed with the Court. The informational website
27 shall remain active until thirty (30) calendar days after the Effective Date.
28

1 64. Proof Of Mailing.

2 At least five (5) calendar days prior to the Final Approval Hearing, the Settlement Administrator
3 shall provide a declaration of due diligence and proof of mailing with regard to mailing of the Class
4 Notice to Class Counsel and Defendant's Counsel, which they shall in turn provide to the Class Counsel.

5 65. No Claim Form Required.

6 Each Participating Class Member shall be entitled to a share of the Net Settlement Amount.
7 Participating Class Members shall not be required to complete a claim form. Class Members shall
8 receive a Class Notice informing them of the terms of the Settlement Agreement, their right to opt-out
9 and/or object, and an estimate of their share of the Net Settlement Amount. Unless a Class Member
10 elects to opt-out, he or she will be a Participating Class Member. Settlement Shares will be mailed to all
11 Participating Class Members.

12 66. Calculation of Settlement Shares.

13 Settlement Shares shall generally be based upon the number of workweeks worked by
14 Participating Class Members during the Class Period. The amount of each Participating Class Member's
15 Settlement Share shall be determined by the Settlement Administrator as follows:

16 The Settlement Shares will be proportionally based upon the number of workweeks worked by
17 Participating Class Members during the relevant period. The workweeks worked by each
18 Participating Class Member shall be divided by the total workweeks worked by all Participating
19 Class Members resulting in a payment ratio for each Participating Class Member. Each
20 Participating Class Member's Payment Ratio is then multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount,
21 less the amount allocated to Participating Former Employee Subclass Members, to determine his
22 or her individual settlement share. Former Employee Subclass Members shall each receive \$500
23 in addition to his or her individual Settlement Share. Workweeks worked by Participating
24 Dobuletime and Sick Pay Subclass Members shall receive a multiplier of 1.025 to account for the
25 additional value of their claims.

1 The Settlement Administrator shall mail the Settlement Shares to Participating Class Members
2 within twenty (20) calendar days of the Effective Date or within twenty (20) calendar days of the funding
3 of the QSF, whichever is later.

4 67. Procedures for Challenges.

5 A Class Member may, before the Response Deadline, dispute the amount of his or her Settlement
6 Share, and the data used to calculate it, by timely sending a written notice to the Settlement
7 Administrator informing the Settlement Administrator of the nature of the dispute and providing any
8 records or documentation supporting their position. In response to such a challenge, Defendant will first
9 verify the accuracy of the information contained in its records. Next, Class Counsel and Defense
10 Counsel will make a good faith effort to resolve the dispute informally. If Class Counsel and Defense
11 Counsel are unable to agree, the dispute shall be resolved by the Settlement Administrator after
12 examination of the records provided by the Class Member and Defendant. The Settlement
13 Administrator's determination will be final and binding.

14 If, before the Response Deadline, an individual not previously identified in the Class List asserts
15 his or her membership in the Class and seeks recovery under the Settlement, the Settlement
16 Administrator shall provide Class Counsel and Defense Counsel with the evidence submitted by the
17 individual. To be eligible for recovery under this Settlement, individuals must provide sufficient proof to
18 the Settlement Administrator supporting his or her request for inclusion, including specific evidence
19 establishing that he or she qualifies as a Class Member as defined herein. If Class Counsel and Defense
20 Counsel agree an individual is not a Class Member, the Settlement Administrator will inform the
21 individual that their request for inclusion has been rejected. If Class Counsel and Defense Counsel are
22 unable to agree, the dispute shall be resolved by the Settlement Administrator after examination of the
23 records provided by the Class Member and Defendant. The Settlement Administrator's determination
24 will be final and binding.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 **VII. EXCLUSIONS, OBJECTIONS AND BINDING EFFECT OF SETTLEMENT.**

2 68. Right to Opt-Out of Settlement.

3 The Class Notice will advise each Class Member of their right to exclude themselves from or opt-
4 out of the Settlement. To be effective, requests for exclusion must (a) be submitted in writing to the
5 Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before the Response Deadline (i.e., no later than 45 calendar
6 days from the date of mailing of the Class Notice); (b) contain the individual's full name, current home
7 (or mailing address), and the last four digits of his or her social security number; (c) be signed by the
8 individual; and (d) include written affirmation of his or her desire to exclude themselves containing the
9 following or substantially similar language:

10
11 "I elect to opt-out of the *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al*
12 class action settlement. I understand that by doing so, I will not be able to participate in the
13 settlement, and will not receive a share of the settlement."
14

15 The Settlement Administrator shall notify Class Counsel and Defense Counsel within 48 hours of
16 its receipt of requests for exclusion. The Class Notice shall include the specific address to which
17 requests for exclusion must be mailed as well as a summary of this paragraph. Any Class Member who
18 timely requests exclusion from this Settlement shall not have any rights under the Settlement, shall not
19 be entitled to receive a Settlement Share, shall not be bound by the Settlement or the Order of Final
20 Approval, and shall not have the right to file an objection to the Settlement. No Class Member responses
21 of any kind that are postmarked more than 45 calendar days from the date of mailing of the Class Notice
22 shall be considered. Responses from Class Members must be postmarked for mail with the U.S. Postal
23 Service. Responses sent by facsimile, email, or other forms of electronic transmission will not be
24 considered.

25 69. Right of Class Members to Object to Settlement.

26 The Class Notice will advise each Class Member of their right object to the Settlement. To be
27 effective, the Class Member cannot opt-out and his or her objection must (a) be mailed to the Court,
28

1 postmarked on or before the Response Deadline (i.e., no later than 45 calendar days from the date of
2 mailing of the Class Notice); (b) clearly identify the case name and number; (c) contain the objector's
3 full name, current home (or mailing address), and the last four digits of his or her social security number;
4 (d) clearly and concisely state all grounds for the objection; (e) indicate whether the objector is
5 represented by counsel and, if so, identify such counsel; (f) indicate whether the objector or his or her
6 counsel intend to appear at the Final Approval Hearing; and (g) be signed by the objector or his or her
7 counsel.

8 The Class Notice shall include the specific address to which objections must be mailed as well as
9 a summary of this paragraph. No Class Member may be heard at the Final Approval Hearing unless he
10 or she has complied with these requirements, or any requirements mandated by the Court, and any Class
11 Member who fails to comply with said requirements shall be deemed to have waived his or her right to
12 object to the Settlement unless the Court orders otherwise. The Parties will not be responsible for any
13 fees, costs, or expenses incurred by any Class Member and/or his or her counsel related to any objections
14 to the Settlement and/or appeals arising therefrom. Any Class Member whose objection is overruled will
15 be deemed to be a Participating Class Member and subject to the terms of this Settlement and the Court's
16 Order of Final Approval.

17 70. Acknowledgment of Binding Terms of the Settlement.

18 Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Participating Class Members acknowledge that they may
19 hereafter discover facts or law different from, or in addition to, the facts or law they know or believe to
20 exist with respect to the Released Claims. Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Participating Class Members
21 nonetheless agree that this Agreement and the Released Claims contained herein shall be and remain
22 effective in all respects notwithstanding such different or additional facts or law regarding such Released
23 Claims. Class Counsel, Plaintiff, and the Participating Class Members will bound by the terms and
24 conditions of this Settlement, the Court's Order of Final Approval, and the releases set forth herein.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 **VIII. RELEASES**

2 71. Released Claims.

3 As of the Effective Date, and in exchange for the consideration provided herein, the Participating
4 Class Members, i.e., those that do not opt-out, and their respective heirs, beneficiaries, devisees,
5 executors, administrators, trustees, conservators, guardians, personal representatives, successors-in-
6 interest, and assigns, shall forever and completely release and discharge Defendant and Released Parties
7 from the following claims, collectively the Released Claims:

8 (i) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties
9 of any and all claims for failure to pay doubletime wages and unfair business practices in
10 violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as
11 any and all claims under California law that were or could have been pled based upon the
12 factual allegations contained in the FAC. Participating Doubletime Subclass Members
13 whose employment with Defendant ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of
14 preliminary approval or March 13, 2020, and thus are also Former Employee Subclass
15 Members, shall also release any and all claims for failure to pay all wages due and owing
16 at the end of employment in violation of California Labor Code section 201-203;

17 (ii) Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of
18 any and all claims for failure to pay sick pay and unfair business practices in violation of
19 California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as any and all
20 claims under California law that were or could have been pled based upon the factual
21 allegations contained in the FAC. Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members whose
22 employment with Defendant ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier preliminary
23 approval or March 13, 2020, and thus are also Former Employee Subclass members, shall
24 also release any and all claims for failure to pay all wages due and owing at the end of
25 employment in violation of California Labor Code section 201-203;

26 (iii) Participating Wage Statement Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released
27 Parties of any and all claims for failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements in
28

1 violation of Labor Code section 226 between January 22, 2018 through the earlier of
2 March 13, 2020 or preliminary approval;

3 (iv) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members and Participating Sick Pay Subclass
4 Members who are currently employed by Defendant and who participate in the Settlement
5 and receive a payment thereunder shall, by virtue of the same, release Defendant and the
6 Released Parties of any Labor Code section 201-203 claims for failure to pay doubletime
7 and/or sick pay, as appropriate, due and owing at the end of employment based upon the
8 claims set forth above in subsections (i) and (ii), above; and

9 (v) Doubletime Subclass Members who cash their Settlement Share checks also release
10 Defendant and Released Parties from any and all claims for failure to pay doubletime in
11 violation of 29 USC 207 based upon the factual allegations contained in the Class Action

12 In addition, all Participating Class Members shall be enjoined from seeking any monetary relief/award
13 by filing any claims with the Division of Labor Standards and Enforcement or Department of Labor, or
14 from initiating other proceeding regarding claims released under this Settlement.

15 72. Released PAGA Claims.

16 As of the Effective Date, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself the Labor and Workforce
17 Development Agency, and the other aggrieved employees in the State of California, releases
18 Defendant and Released Parties from any and all PAGA Claims based upon Defendant's alleged
19 violation of Labor Code sections 201 – 203, 221, 224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 245.5-249, 510, 558, 1174,
20 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1198, between December 24, 2017 and the earlier of March 13, 2020 or the
21 date of the Order of Preliminary Approval. The claims described above are referred to herein as the
22 "Released PAGA Claims."

23 73. Release by Plaintiff.

24 As of the Effective Date, in addition to the Released Claims, and in consideration for receipt of a
25 Service Payment, Plaintiff expressly additionally release all claims relating to her employment with
26 Defendant, conditions of employment, or the termination of her employment, including but not limited
27 to, claims for, breach of contract, breach of the covenant of good faith and fair dealing, negligent or
28

1 intentional infliction of emotional distress; defamation; wrongful discharge; retaliation; harassment;
2 violation of public policy; constructive discharge; whistleblowing; interference with prospective
3 economic advantage or contractual relations; unfair business practices; unfair competition; or other tort
4 or personal injury; violation of any federal, state, or other governmental statute, regulation, or ordinance,
5 including, without limitation violation of Title VII of the Civil Rights Act of 1964, the Americans with
6 Disabilities Act, the Family and Medical Leave Act, the California Fair Employment and Housing Act,
7 the California Family Rights Act, the California Labor Code or any Industrial Welfare Commission
8 Wage Order, and vested benefits under the Employee Retirement Income Security Act. Plaintiffs
9 expressly waive and relinquish all rights and benefits afforded by Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the
10 State of California and does so understanding and acknowledging the significance of the waiver of
11 Section 1542. Section 1542 of the Civil Code of the State of California states: A GENERAL RELEASE
12 DOES NOT EXTEND TO CLAIMS WHICH THE CREDITOR OR RELEASING PARTY DOES NOT
13 KNOW OR SUSPECT TO EXIST IN HIS OR HER FAVOR AT THE TIME OF EXECUTING THE
14 RELEASE AND THAT IF KNOWN BY HIM OR HER, WOULD HAVE MATERIALLY AFFECTED
15 HIS OR HER SETTLEMENT WITH THE DEBTOR OR RELEASED PARTY.

16 Notwithstanding the provisions of Section 1542, and for the purpose of implementing a full and
17 complete release and discharge of Defendant and Released Parties, Plaintiff expressly acknowledges that
18 this Settlement is intended to include in its effect all claims that Plaintiff knows of, as well as all claims
19 that she does not know or suspect to exist in her favor against Defendant and Released Parties, and that
20 this Settlement contemplates the extinguishment of any such claims.

21 **IX. FINAL SETTLEMENT APPROVAL.**

22 74. Final Approval Hearing.

23 Class Counsel will take all necessary steps to secure the Court's final approval of this Settlement.
24 Defense Counsel agrees not to oppose Class Counsel's request for final approval of this Settlement as
25 long as the Class Counsel's request for final approval is consistent with the terms of this Settlement. A
26 Final Approval Hearing shall be held for the purpose of purpose of considering, *inter alia*, (a) the
27 fairness, adequacy, and reasonableness of the Settlement, (b) the Service Payment to Plaintiff, (c) the
28

1 fees and reasonable costs of Class Counsel, and (d) the propriety of any timely objections as well as
2 Class Counsel's and Defense Counsel's response thereto. The date of the Final Approval Hearing shall
3 be set by the Court, and notice of such shall be provided to Class Members in the Class Notice.
4 Although the Court may continue the Final Approval Hearing without further notice to the Class
5 Members.

6 Plaintiffs will respectfully request the Court enter an Order of Final Approval, and Defendant
7 agree not to oppose as long as the Order of Final Approval remains consistent with the terms of this
8 Settlement:

- 9 (i) Approving the Settlement, adjudging the terms thereof to be fair, reasonable, and
10 adequate, and directing consummation of its terms and provisions;
- 11 (ii) Approving payment to the Settlement Administrator;
- 12 (iii) Approving the Service Payment to the Plaintiff for her service as Class Representative;
- 13 (iv) Approving Class Counsel's application for fees and reasonable costs;
- 14 (v) Permanently enjoining and restraining Participating Class Members from and against
15 initiating or pursuing against Defendant any individual, representative, or class claims
16 released by this Settlement;
- 17 (vi) Entering judgment in this Class Action consistent with the terms of this Settlement; and
- 18 (vii) Retaining jurisdiction to the extent necessary over the subject matter of the Class Action
19 and over the Parties and Class Members to enforce the terms of the Settlement.

20 **X. TERMINATION OF THE SETTLEMENT.**

21 75. Defendant's Right to Terminate the Settlement.

22 Notwithstanding any other provision in this Settlement, if 7% or more of the Class Members opt
23 out of the Settlement, Defendant shall have the right, in its sole discretion, to rescind, nullify, and
24 terminate the Settlement within ten (10) calendar days after the expiration of the Response Period. The
25 Settlement Administrator shall notify Defense Counsel and Class Counsel via email immediately if the
26 7% threshold for opt-outs is exceeded. If Defendant exercises this right, however, it shall be responsible
27 for the reasonable fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator to that point.

1 76. Effect of Termination.

2 If the Settlement is terminated in accordance with the terms set forth herein (a) the Settlement
3 shall have no force or effect, and no party shall be bound by any of its terms; except as otherwise
4 provided herein, (b) the Order of Preliminary Approval and/or Order of Final Approval shall be vacated,
5 (c) the Settlement and all negotiations, statements, and proceedings related thereto shall be without
6 prejudice to the rights of any of the Parties, all of whom shall be restored to their respective positions in
7 the Class Action prior to the settlement, and (d) neither this Settlement Agreement, nor the filings in
8 connection with the sought approval thereof shall be admissible or offered into evidence in the Class
9 Action or in any other action for any reason whatsoever.

10 77. Nullification of Settlement Agreement.

11 In the event: (i) the Court does not grant approval of the Settlement as provided herein; (ii) the
12 Court does not grant final approval of the Settlement as provided herein; (iii) the Court does not enter a
13 Final Judgment as provided herein; or (iv) the Settlement does not become final for any other reason, this
14 Settlement Agreement shall be deemed null and void, including Defendant' obligation to fund the
15 Maximum Settlement Amount; provided, however, that reduction by the Court of Class Counsel fees and
16 costs or a reduction by the Court of Plaintiff's Service Payment shall not render this Settlement null and
17 void. In the event this Settlement Agreement is rendered null and void as set forth herein, any order or
18 judgment entered by the Court in furtherance of this Settlement shall be treated as void from the
19 beginning, and the stipulations and recitals contained herein shall be of no force or effect, and shall not
20 be treated as an admission by the Parties or their Counsel. In such a case, the Parties and any funds to be
21 awarded under this Settlement shall be returned to their respective statuses as of the date and time
22 immediately prior to the execution of this Settlement Agreement; the Parties shall stand in the same
23 position, without prejudice, as if the Settlement had been neither entered into nor filed with the Court;
24 and the Parties shall proceed in all respects as if this Settlement Agreement had not been executed and no
25 individual or entity shall be entitled to the payment amounts contemplated herein, except that any costs
26 already incurred by the Settlement Administrator shall be paid in equal parts by Plaintiff and Defendant.

27 ///

1 **XI. MISCELLANEOUS TERMS.**

2 78. Mutual Cooperation.

3 The Parties agree to fully cooperate with each other to accomplish the terms of this Settlement
4 Agreement, including but not limited to, executing and amending such documents and taking such other
5 actions as may reasonably be necessary to implement the terms of this Settlement Agreement. The
6 Parties shall use their best efforts, including all efforts contemplated by this Settlement Agreement and
7 any other efforts that may become necessary by order of the Court or otherwise, to effectuate this
8 Settlement Agreement and the terms set forth herein. Neither party, nor their counsel, employees, or
9 agents, shall solicit or encourage any Class Member to exclude themselves from the Settlement or object
10 to the Settlement.

11 79. Non-Evidentiary Use.

12 Pursuant to California Evidence Code Section 1152, this Settlement Agreement is inadmissible in
13 any proceeding, except a proceeding to approve, interpret, or enforce this Settlement Agreement;
14 provided, however, that this Settlement Agreement may be used by Defendant and/or the Released
15 Parties to prove or defend against any claim released herein by Plaintiff or any Settlement Class member
16 in any claim or threatened claim, including but not limited to any judicial, quasi-judicial, administrative,
17 or governmental proceeding. Neither this Settlement Agreement or its terms, nor any statements or
18 conduct in the negotiation or drafting of it, shall be admissible, offered, or used as evidence by the
19 Parties, any Settlement Class member, or their respective counsel in the Action or in any other
20 proceeding as evidence of liability or wrongdoing by Defendant and/or the Released Parties, or for any
21 purpose whatsoever. If Final Approval does not occur, the Parties agree that this Settlement Agreement
22 is void, but remains protected by California Evidence Code Section 1152. In the event of non-approval
23 by the Court, the Parties may not use the fact that the Parties agreed to settle the case (nor any
24 communications regarding the Settlement or any confidential data provided for settlement purposes
25 only), as evidence of Defendant's liability.

26 ///

27 ///

1 80. Modification.

2 This Settlement Agreement may not be changed, altered, or modified, except in writing and
3 signed by counsel for the Parties, and approved by the Court, provided however that changes solely to
4 the timing and deadlines herein may be made for administrative convenience by written agreement of
5 counsel for all Parties without Court approval. This Settlement Agreement may not be discharged except
6 by performance in accordance with its terms or by a writing signed by the Parties hereto and approved by
7 the Court.

8 81. Integration Clause – Entire Agreement.

9 This Settlement Agreement and the attached exhibits contains the entire agreement between the
10 Parties relating to the settlement of the Class Action and the transaction contemplated hereby, and all
11 prior or contemporaneous agreements, understandings, representations, and statements, whether oral or
12 written and whether by a party or such party’s legal counsel, are merged herein. In entering into this
13 Settlement Agreement, none of the Parties has relied on any representation or promise not expressly set
14 forth in this Settlement Agreement. No rights hereunder may be waived except in writing.

15 82. Class Counsel Signatories.

16 It is agreed that because of the anticipated large number of Participating Class Members, it will
17 be impossible or impractical to have each Participating Class Member execute this Settlement
18 Agreement. As such, Class Counsel is signing on behalf of the Participating Class Members. In
19 addition, the Notice will advise Class Members of the binding nature of the Settlement, and their right to
20 Opt-Out and/or object, and shall have the same force and effect as if it were executed individually by
21 each Participating Class Member.

22 83. Interim Stay of Proceedings.

23 Pending the completion of the approval process, the Parties agree to a stay of all proceedings in
24 the Class Action except those necessary to implement the Settlement itself.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28

1 84. Notices.

2 Any notices, requests, requests, demands, or other communications required or necessitated by
3 this Settlement Agreement shall be in writing and, except as provided elsewhere in this Settlement
4 Agreement, shall be delivered as follows:

5 If to Plaintiff or Class Counsel, then to:

If to Defendant or Defense Counsel, then to:

6
7 Robert J. Wasserman, Esq.

 Jon D. Meer, Esq.

8 Jenny D. Baysinger, Esq.

 Jonathan L. Brophy, Esq.

9 Mayall Hurley P.C.

 Seyfarth Shaw LLP

10 2453 Grand Canal Blvd.

 2029 Century Park East, Suite 3500

11 Stockton, CA 95207

 Los Angeles, CA 90067-3021

12 Email: rwasserman@mayallaw.com

 Email: jmeer@seyfarth.com

13 Email: jbaysinger@mayallaw.com

 Email: jbrophy@seyfarth.com

14
15 85. Resolution of Disputes Arising from Preparation of Settlement Agreement.

16 If the Parties have a dispute with regard to the preparation of this Settlement Agreement, they
17 agree to first attempt to resolve the dispute informally through good-faith negotiations, but if those
18 efforts are unsuccessful, they agree to seek the guidance of their mediator, David Rotman, Esq. The
19 Parties will split the cost of the mediator, and all Parties will bear their own fees and costs.

20 86. Retention of Jurisdiction by the Court.

21 Following approval of the Settlement and the Court's entry of the Order of Final Approval, the
22 Court shall retain jurisdiction for the purpose of addressing any issues which may arise with respect to
23 the administration of the Settlement or the enforcement of the Settlement's terms.

24 87. Choice of Law.

25 This Settlement shall be governed by and construed, enforced and administered in accordance
26 with the laws of the State of California.

27 ///

1 88. Construction.

2 This Agreement is entered into freely and voluntarily without duress or undue pressure or
3 influence of any kind or nature whatsoever and neither Party has relied on any promises,
4 representations or warranties regarding the subject matter hereof other than as set forth in this
5 Agreement. Each Party has been represented by counsel in the settlement negotiations leading up
6 to, and in connection with the preparation and execution of, this Settlement Agreement. The
7 Parties acknowledge and agree that all Parties had an equal hand in drafting this Agreement so
8 that it shall not be deemed to have been prepared or drafted by one Party or another. All Parties
9 waive the provisions of California Civil Code section 1654 (and any other equivalent state,
10 federal, or local provision), which provides, in part, that "the language of a contract should be
11 interpreted most strongly against the Party who caused the uncertainty to exist."


12 89. Execution in Counterparts.

13 This Agreement may be executed in counterparts, by facsimile and/or by electronic
14 signature/PDF, each of which shall be deemed an original, and all of which together shall constitute one
15 and the same instrument. Any signature to this Agreement transmitted by facsimile or electronically and
16 any copies of any signatures are valid and binding.


17 90. Authority.

18 The individuals signing this Agreement represent and warrant that they are authorized to do so.
19 Those individuals likewise represent and warrant that they have the authority to execute this Agreement
20 and to take all appropriate action required and permitted to be taken by this Agreement, except such
21 action that is the prerogative of the Court.

22 DATED: January 22, 2020

By 
Jennifer Modica
Plaintiff


25 DATED: January 22, 2020

By 
Deirdre Evens, EVP & GM of NA RIM
Authorized Representative for Defendant and
the Released Parties

1 **APPROVED AS TO FORM AND CONTENT:**

2 **DATED:** January 28, 2020

SEYFARTH SHAW LLP

3
4 By 
5 JON D. MEER
6 JONATHAN L. BROPHY
7 Attorneys for Defendant

8 **DATED:** January 22, 2020

MAYALL HURLEY P.C.


9 By 
10 ROBERT J. WASSERMAN
11 JENNY D. BAYSINGER
12 Attorneys for Plaintiff and the Putative Class
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

EXHIBIT 1

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

JENNIFER MODICA, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees and as proxy for the LWDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRON MOUNTAIN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL

IMPORTANT: THIS LEGAL NOTICE AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY. YOU ARE NOT BEING SUED. THE COURT IN CHARGE OF THIS CASE STILL HAS TO DECIDE WHETHER TO APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT.

TO: All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who (i) worked doubletime or used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020; and/or (ii) received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii) worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020.

RE: Notice of settlement of a class action lawsuit for alleged wage and hour violations and announcement of a Court hearing that you may choose to attend. You may be entitled to receive a payment under the terms of this class action settlement. Payments will be made only if the Court approves the settlement and after appeals, if any, are resolved.

YOUR OPTIONS UNDER THIS SETTLEMENT:

DO NOTHING	You are automatically entitled to participate in the Settlement. You will be paid as described in this Notice. You will also be releasing Defendant from any further liability under the settlement
OBJECT	You may submit a written objection about why you believe that the Settlement is unfair or unreasonable. Any objection must be received by _____, ___ 2020. You may also speak to the Court about why you believe the Settlement is unfair. If the Court approves the Settlement despite your objection, you will still be bound by the Settlement and entitled to receive a payment.
EXCLUDE	If you wish to be excluded from the Settlement, you must mail a written election

YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT	not to participate known as an “opt-out” by no later than _____, ____ 2020. If you submit a timely and valid request for opt-out, you will not be bound by the Settlement and will not receive a payment.
---	--

1. WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

Plaintiff Jennifer Modica (“Plaintiff”) filed this lawsuit (“Lawsuit”) on January 25, 2019, in the San Joaquin County Superior Court. On March 1, 2019, Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc. (“Defendant”) removed the Lawsuit to federal court. Plaintiff is represented by Mayall Hurley P.C. The Court has appointed Mayall Hurley, P.C. to act as attorneys for the Class (referred to as “Class Counsel”) and has approved this Notice.

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to properly compensate its non-exempt California employees; specifically, that Defendant 1) failed to properly calculate and pay doubletime; 2) failed to properly calculate and pay sick pay; 3) failed to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; and 4) failed to pay all wages due upon cessation of employment. Plaintiff also alleges that the foregoing constitute unfair business practices and violate the California Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”).

Defendant strongly denies liability for all of Plaintiff’s claims and asserts that it has no liability for any of Plaintiff’s or the Class Members’ claims under any statute, wage order, common law, or equitable theory. Defendant contends that it properly compensated its non-exempt California employees at all times relevant to this lawsuit and has not violated any laws. Defendant has agreed to the proposed Settlement without any admission of wrongdoing. Defendant reserves the right to object to and defend itself against any claim if the Settlement fails.

The Court has made no determination about the strengths and weaknesses of the claims or contentions of either Plaintiff or Defendant. However, in order to avoid the time, risks and expense of continued litigation, Plaintiff and Defendant (the “Parties”) agreed to enter into a settlement of this case (“Settlement”). This Notice is not to be understood as an expression of any opinion by the Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses asserted by either side. There have been ongoing investigations and substantial exchanges of information.

2. WHY DID I RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?

The Parties have settled this class action lawsuit. Your employment records indicate that you are a member of the Class. If the Court approves the proposed Settlement, your legal rights may be affected. This Notice, which has been approved by the Court, is only a summary. A more detailed document, called the “Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims”, containing the complete terms of the Settlement, is on file with the Court and on the Settlement Administrator’s website at www.ironmountainclassaction.com.

3. WHO IS COVERED BY THE CLASS ACTION AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

A. Who are the Class Members?

The proposed Settlement covers the claims of certain current and former employees of Defendants (referred to as the “Class Members” and collectively as the “Class”). The Class contains four subclasses defined as follows:

- i. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked more than twelve (12) hours in a workday and/or more than eight (8) hours on the 7th consecutive day worked in the workweek during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 (the “Doubletime Subclass”);
- ii. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who were eligible for and used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 (the “Sick Pay Subclass”);
- iii. All individuals who are members of the Doubletime Class and/or the Sick Pay Class and separated from employment at any time between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 (the “Former Employee Subclass”); and
- iv. All current and former California employees of Defendant who received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii) worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 (the “Wage Statement Subclass”).

There are approximately 1,119 individuals in the Class.

i. What is the Effect of Membership in the Class?

If you are a member of one or more of the subclasses defined above, you are automatically a Participating Class Member unless you opt-out (i.e., exclude yourself from the Settlement by following the procedures set forth in this Notice). If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you are entitled to payment under the Settlement and will be bound by its terms. Individuals who opt-out will not be bound by the Settlement and will not be eligible to receive a payment.

4. WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT?

The proposed Settlement was agreed upon between Defendant and Class Counsel after months of litigation, a mediation with renowned employment class action mediator David Rotman, Esq. and months of protracted negotiations. Class Counsel believes that this Settlement, the terms of which are summarized below, is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

A. Overall Summary of the Settlement Terms.

Defendant will pay \$1,500,000 to settle the claims of the Class (referred to as the “Maximum Settlement Amount”). The Maximum Settlement Amount includes (1) payments to Participating Class Members, (2) employee- taxes and withholdings, (3) the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, (4) a required payment to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency, (5) the Service Payment to Plaintiff for her service to the Class, (6) and Class Counsel’s attorneys’ fees and reasonable costs. The remainder, after deduction of these payments is known as the "Net Settlement Amount." No money from the Maximum Settlement Fund reverts back to Defendant.

1
2 **B. What Can I Expect to Receive?**

3 Settlement shares shall generally be based upon the number of workweeks worked by Participating
4 Class Members during the Class Period. The amount of each Participating Class Member's Settlement Share
shall be determined by the Settlement Administrator as follows:

5 The Settlement Shares will be proportionally based upon the number of workweeks worked by
6 Participating Class Members during the relevant period. The workweeks worked by each
7 Participating Class Member shall be divided by the total workweeks worked by all Participating
8 Class Members resulting in a payment ratio for each Participating Class Member. Each Participating
9 Class Member's payment ratio is then multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount, less the amount
10 allocated to Participating Former Employee Subclass Members, to determine his or her individual
settlement share. Former Employee Subclass Members shall each receive \$500 in addition to his or
her individual settlement share. Workweeks worked by Participating Doubletime and Sick Pay
Subclass Members shall receive a multiplier of 1.025 to account for the additional value of their
claims.

11 Defendant's records indicate that you are a member of the Doubletime Subclass / Sick Pay Subclass /
12 Former Employee Subclass / Wage Statement Subclass. Its records also indicate that you [worked ____
13 workweeks between [October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020 or January
22, 2018 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020]. It is estimated that your total payment
14 will be \$ _____. It will not be possible to know the exact amount of your payment until the Response
Deadline has passed and the Settlement Administrator knows the number of Participating Class Members.

15 For the purpose of taxes and required withholdings: (1) 100% of the amount distributed to each
16 Participating Wage Statement Subclass Member who is not a member of any other subclass shall constitute
penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (2) 100% of the \$500 paid to each and Former
17 Employee Subclass Member shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (3) 1%
18 of each Participating DoubleTime and Sick Pay Subclass Member's Settlement Share shall constitute wages
(for which an IRS Form W-2 shall be issued), 49.5% shall constitute interest, and 49.5% shall constitute
19 penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued). You will be issued appropriate tax forms for these
amounts. Any and all employer taxes that Defendant would normally be responsible for paying will be paid
20 by Defendant in addition to the Maximum Settlement Amount. You are responsible for any taxes owing on
the non-wage portion of your payment. Nothing in this Notice, or in any of the settlement documents is
21 intended to provide any tax advice and you understand that Defendant, Defendant's counsel, Plaintiff, and
Plaintiff's counsel are not giving any tax advice.
22

23 **C. Costs of Claims Administrator.**

24 The Parties have agreed to employ Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions to serve as
Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator sends out this Notice, calculates the amount of
25 each Settlement Share and taxes and sends out the checks to Participating Class Members. The Settlement
Administrator's fees and costs for administering the Settlement, estimated to be no more than \$14,000, if
26 approved by the Court, will be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount.
27
28

1 **D. Payment to the State of California.**

2 The Settlement allocates \$10,000 of the Maximum Settlement Amount to any civil penalties under
3 the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") that could be awarded as a result of the violations alleged. Of
4 that amount, \$7,500 (75% of \$10,000) will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce
5 Development Agency, and the remaining \$2,500 (25% of \$10,000) will be included in the amounts paid to
6 the Participating Class Members.

7 **E. Service Payment to Class Representative.**

8 Plaintiff has been approved by the Court to serve as Class Representative. As Class Representative,
9 Plaintiff is entitled to payment for her services to the Class. The Court has preliminarily approved a
10 payment of \$15,000, or 1% of the Maximum Settlement Amount, for Plaintiff's services to the Class. The
11 actual amount awarded will be determined by the Court upon final approval of the Settlement.

12 **F. Class Counsel's Fees and Costs.**

13 Class Counsel are entitled to attorney's fees and costs for representing the Class. Class Counsel will
14 request for attorneys' fees of one-third of the Maximum Settlement Amount, or \$500,000, and
15 reimbursement of declared litigation costs of up to \$25,000. The Court has preliminarily approved payment
16 to Class Counsel in the amount set forth above. The actual amount awarded will be determined by the Court
17 upon final approval of the Settlement.

18 **5. WHAT AM I GIVING UP IF I DO NOT OPT-OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT?**

19 If the Settlement is approved by the Court, in exchange for the consideration provided herein, the
20 Participating Class Members, i.e., those that do not opt-out, and their respective heirs, beneficiaries, devisees,
21 executors, administrators, trustees, conservators, guardians, personal representatives, successors-in-interest,
22 and assigns, shall forever and completely release and discharge Defendant and Released Parties from the
23 following claims, collectively the Released Claims:

- 24 (i) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of any
25 and all claims for failure to pay doubletime wages and unfair business practices in violation of
26 California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as any and all claims
27 under California law that were or could have been pled based upon the factual allegations
28 contained in the FAC. Participating Doubletime Subclass Members whose employment with
Defendant ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13,
2020, and thus are also members of the Former Employee Subclass, shall also release any and
all claims for failure to pay all wages due and owing at the end of employment in violation of
California Labor Code section 201-203;
- (ii) Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of any
and all claims for failure to pay sick pay and unfair business practices in violation of California
Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as any and all claims under
California law that were or could have been pled based upon the factual allegations contained
in the FAC. Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members whose employment with Defendant
ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier preliminary approval or March 13, 2020, and
thus are also members of the Former Employee Subclass shall also release any and all claims

1 for failure to pay all wages due and owing at the end of employment in violation of California
2 Labor Code section 201-203;

3 (iii) Participating Wage Statement Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of
4 any and all claims for failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements in violation of Labor
5 Code section 226 between January 22, 2018 through the earlier of March 13, 2020 or
6 preliminary approval;

7 (iv) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members and Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members
8 who are currently employed by Defendant and who participate in the Settlement and receive a
9 payment thereunder shall, by virtue of the same, release Defendant and the Released Parties of
10 any Labor Code section 201-203 claims for failure to pay doubletime and/or sick pay, as
11 appropriate, due and owing at the end of employment based upon the claims set forth above in
12 subsections (i) and (ii), above; and

13 (v) Doubletime Subclass Members who cash their Settlement Share checks also release Defendant
14 and Released Parties from any and all claims for failure to pay doubletime in violation of 29
15 USC 207 based upon the factual allegations contained in the Class Action.

16 If the Settlement is approved by the Court, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself the Labor and Workforce
17 Development Agency, and the other aggrieved employees in the State of California, releases Defendant and
18 Released Parties from any and all PAGA Claims based upon Defendant's alleged violation of Labor Code
19 sections 201 – 203, 221, 224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 245.5-249, 510, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1198,
20 between December 24, 2017 and the earlier of March 13, 2020 or the date of the Order of Preliminary
21 Approval.

22 **If you do NOT exclude yourself by following the procedures set forth in this Notice and the Court
23 approves the proposed Settlement, you will be deemed to have released the aforementioned claims, as
24 appropriate, and will receive a payment.**

25 6. **HOW DO I RECEIVE A PAYMENT?**

26 You do not need to submit a claim form. All Class Members will receive a payment under this
27 Settlement unless they submit a timely and valid request for opt-out. If you are a Class Member and you
28 move or change your address, and you want to receive your payment at your new address, you must send a
notice of your change of address to the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Class Action Administration
Solutions, P.O. Box 7208, Orange, CA 92863, Telephone: (800) 523-5773,
www.administrator@.com; www.ironmountainclassaction.com.

7. **WHAT ARE MY RIGHTS AND OPTIONS?**

If you are a Class Member as defined above, you have the following rights and options under the
proposed Settlement:

A. Participate in the Settlement, be represented by Class Counsel, and take no action.

1 If you take no further action, you will be a Participating Class Member, will be represented by Class
2 Counsel, and will have the right to a share of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement is approved by
3 the Court, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement and, as set forth above, will be deemed to have
4 released your claims against Defendant. As a Participating Class Member, you will not be charged for the
5 services of Class Counsel.

6
7
8 **B. Participate in the Settlement, but elect to hire your own attorney.**

9 If you do not wish to be represented by Class Counsel, you may hire your own attorney. Your
10 attorney must send a Notice of Appearance to the Settlement Administrator at the address listed below, so
11 that it is received no later than _____, ____ 2020. You will be responsible for any attorneys' fees and
12 costs charged by your attorney.

13
14
15 **C. Exclude yourself from the Settlement by opting out.**

16 If you are a Class Member but do not want to participate in the settlement, you may exclude yourself
17 by opting out. If you choose to opt-out, you will lose any right to participate in the settlement and you will
18 not be eligible to receive a share of the Net Settlement Amount. You will be free to pursue any claims you
19 may have against Defendant on your own behalf, but Class Counsel will not represent you.

20 In order to opt-out, you must notify the Settlement Administrator, in writing, at the address listed
21 below. In order to be effective, your opt-out must be postmarked or delivered to the Settlement
22 Administrator no later than [45 days from the mailing of the Class Notice], and must be signed, contain your
23 full name, current home (or mailing address), the last four digits of your Social Security number, and written
24 affirmation of your desire to opt out containing the following or substantially similar language:

25
26
27
28 “I elect to opt out of the *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.*
class action settlement. I understand that by doing so, I will not be able to participate in the
settlement, and will not receive a share of the settlement.”

If you do not comply with these procedures, you will lose any opportunity to exclude yourself from
the settlement, you will be a Participating Class Member, will be represented by Class Counsel, and will
receive a share of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will be bound
by the terms of the Settlement and, as set forth above, will be deemed to have released your claims against
Defendant.

D. Object to the terms of the Settlement.

If you are dissatisfied with the terms of the Settlement, you may, object to the Settlement. All
objections and supporting papers must (a) clearly identify the case name and number (i.e., *Modica vs. Iron
Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al*, United States District Court, Eastern District of
California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF), (b) include your full name, address, telephone number, and
the last four digits of your Social Security Number, (c) concisely state the grounds for your objection, (d)
indicate whether you are represented by counsel and, if so, identify such counsel, (e) indicate whether you
would like to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and (e) be signed by you. To be timely, your objection
must be mailed to the Court and the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before [45 days following
the mailing of the Notice].

If you have filed a timely and proper objection, you also may, but are not required, to appear and present argument at the Final Approval Hearing. Objections not timely postmarked or delivered by [45 days after the mailing of the Notice] will not be considered by the Court. If you file an objection that is not timely, or that does not include the information specified above, you will have no right to appear and present any argument at the Final Approval Hearing.

You may be represented by an attorney at the Final Approval Hearing. Any attorney who will represent an individual objecting to the settlement must file a notice of appearance with the Court and serve counsel for all parties on or before [45 days after the mailing of the Notice]. All objections or other correspondence must state the name and number of the case – *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF.

8. WHEN IS THE COURT HEARING AND WHAT IS IT FOR?

The Court will hold the Final Approval Hearing in the Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse, 501 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, on _____, ____ 2019, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 2, 15th Floor, to determine whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate. The Court will also be asked to approve the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, the payment to the State of California, the Service Payment to the Class Representative, and the fees and costs of Class Counsel. **It is not necessary for you to appear at this hearing to participate in the Settlement.** If you want to be heard orally in support of, or in opposition to, the Settlement (for instance if you have submitted an objection), either personally or through counsel, you must comply with the procedures set forth above.

9. HOW CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The above is a summary of the basic terms of the Settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the Settlement, you are referred to the Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims. The Class Action Settlement and Release, as well as the pleadings and other records in this litigation, including Motion for Preliminary Approval, Motion for Final Approval, and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment, are available by accessing the Court docket in this case through the Court’s Public Access to Electronic Records (Pacer) system at <https://ecf.caed.uscourts.gov>, or by visiting the Clerk Court at any time between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Court Holidays, in the Clerk’s Office located at the Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse, 501 “I” Street, Room 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814. You may also view the Court’s Order of Preliminary Approval and, once they are filed, the Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment, Motion for Final Approval, and Order Granting Final Approval, online at www.ironmountainclassaction.com. The Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment will appear online on or before [35 days after the mailing of the Class Notice]. The Motion for Final approval will appear online on or before [_____, ____ 2020].

If you have questions about the Settlement, you may contact Class Counsel or the Claims Administrator as follows:

<u>Class Counsel</u>	<u>Claims Administrator</u>
Robert J. Wasserman, Esq. Jenny D. Baysinger, Esq. Mayall Hurley P.C. 2453 Grand Canal Blvd.	Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc. c/o _____ ADDRESS

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

<p>Stockton, CA 95207 Telephone: (209) 477-3833 rwasserman@mayallaw.com jbaysinger@mayallaw.com</p>	<p>CITY, STATE ZIP Telephone: (209) 867-5309 www.administrator@_____.com</p>
---	---

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE OR TELEPHONE THE COURT FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OR THIS LAWSUIT.

THE COURT HAS APPROVED THIS NOTICE.

EXHIBIT B



Class Size:	<u>1264</u>
Class Period:	<u>10/1/2017 - 3/13/2020</u>
Claims Deadline:	<u>N/A</u>
Opt-Out Deadline:	<u>10/30/2020</u>
Final Approval Hearing:	<u>12/17/2020</u>

Report Date:
Friday, October 16, 2020

Weekly Report For

Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.

MAILINGS

Notice Mailing Date:	<u>9/15/2020</u>	Total Notices Mailed:	<u>1264</u>
Notices Returned:	<u>7</u>	Notices Requested:	<u>0</u>
Notices Traced:	<u>7</u>	Notices Rемаiled:	<u>7</u>
Notices Forwarded:	<u>0</u>	Notices Returned 2nd Time:	<u>0</u>
		Notices Undeliverable:	<u>0</u>

OPT-OUTS

Opt-Outs Received:	<u>0</u>	Dispute:	<u>0</u>
Deficient:	<u>0</u>	Invalid:	<u>0</u>
% of Class Submitted Opt-Out:	<u>0 %</u>	Late:	<u>0</u>
		Objections:	<u>0</u>

Thank you for choosing Phoenix Settlement Administrators (PSA) to work with you on this matter.
Please feel free to contact us at 800-523-5773 with any questions.

*Phoenix Settlement Administrators
P.O. Box 7208, Orange, CA 92863
Phone: 800-523-5773 Fax: 949-209-2503
www.phoenixclassaction.com*

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

JENNIFER MODICA, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees and as proxy for the LWDA,

Plaintiff,

v.

IRON MOUNTAIN INFORMATION MANAGEMENT SERVICES, INC., a Delaware corporation; and DOES 1-100, inclusive,

Defendant.

Case No.: 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF

NOTICE OF PENDENCY OF CLASS ACTION, PRELIMINARY APPROVAL OF SETTLEMENT AND HEARING FOR FINAL APPROVAL

IMPORTANT: THIS LEGAL NOTICE AFFECTS YOUR RIGHTS. PLEASE READ IT CAREFULLY. YOU ARE NOT BEING SUED. THE COURT IN CHARGE OF THIS CASE STILL HAS TO DECIDE WHETHER TO APPROVE THE SETTLEMENT.

TO: All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who (i) worked doubletime or used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and March 13, 2020; and/or (ii) received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii) worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and March 13, 2020.

RE: Notice of settlement of a class action lawsuit for alleged wage and hour violations and announcement of a Court hearing that you may choose to attend. You may be entitled to receive a payment under the terms of this class action settlement. Payments will be made only if the Court approves the settlement and after appeals, if any, are resolved.

YOUR OPTIONS UNDER THIS SETTLEMENT:	
DO NOTHING	You are automatically entitled to participate in the Settlement. You will be paid as described in this Notice. You will also be releasing Defendant from any further liability under the settlement
OBJECT	You may submit a written objection about why you believe that the Settlement is unfair or unreasonable. Any objection must be received by October 30, 2020. You may also speak to the Court about why you believe the Settlement is unfair. If the Court approves the Settlement despite your objection, you will still be bound by the Settlement and entitled to receive a payment.
EXCLUDE YOURSELF FROM THE SETTLEMENT	If you wish to be excluded from the Settlement, you must mail a written election not to participate known as an "opt-out" by no later than October 30, 2020. If you submit a timely and valid request for opt-out, you will not be bound by the Settlement and will not receive a payment.

1. WHAT IS THIS LAWSUIT ABOUT?

Plaintiff Jennifer Modica (“Plaintiff”) filed this lawsuit (“Lawsuit”) on January 25, 2019, in the San Joaquin County Superior Court. On March 1, 2019, Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc. (“Defendant”) removed the Lawsuit to federal court. Plaintiff is represented by Mayall Hurley P.C. The Court has appointed Mayall Hurley, P.C. to act as attorneys for the Class (referred to as “Class Counsel”) and has approved this Notice.

Plaintiff alleges that Defendant failed to properly compensate its non-exempt California employees; specifically, that Defendant 1) failed to properly calculate and pay doubletime; 2) failed to properly calculate and pay sick pay; 3) failed to furnish accurate itemized wage statements; and 4) failed to pay all wages due upon cessation of employment. Plaintiff also alleges that the foregoing constitute unfair business practices and violate the California Private Attorneys General Act (“PAGA”).

Defendant strongly denies liability for all of Plaintiff’s claims and asserts that it has no liability for any of Plaintiff’s or the Class Members’ claims under any statute, wage order, common law, or equitable theory. Defendant contends that it properly compensated its non-exempt California employees at all times relevant to this lawsuit and has not violated any laws. Defendant has agreed to the proposed Settlement without any admission of wrongdoing. Defendant reserves the right to object to and defend itself against any claim if the Settlement fails.

The Court has made no determination about the strengths and weaknesses of the claims or contentions of either Plaintiff or Defendant. However, in order to avoid the time, risks and expense of continued litigation, Plaintiff and Defendant (the “Parties”) agreed to enter into a settlement of this case (“Settlement”). This Notice is not to be understood as an expression of any opinion by the Court as to the merits of the claims or defenses asserted by either side. There have been ongoing investigations and substantial exchanges of information.

2. WHY DID I RECEIVE THIS NOTICE?

The Parties have settled this class action lawsuit. Your employment records indicate that you are a member of the Class. If the Court approves the proposed Settlement, your legal rights may be affected. This Notice, which has been approved by the Court, is only a summary. A more detailed document, called the “Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims”, containing the complete terms of the Settlement, is on file with the Court and on the Settlement Administrator’s website at www.ironmountainclassaction.com.

3. WHO IS COVERED BY THE CLASS ACTION AND PROPOSED SETTLEMENT?

A. Who are the Class Members?

The proposed Settlement covers the claims of certain current and former employees of Defendants (referred to as the “Class Members” and collectively as the “Class”). The Class contains four subclasses defined as follows:

- i. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who worked more than twelve (12) hours in a workday and/or more than eight (8) hours on the 7th consecutive day worked in the workweek during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and March 13, 2020 (the “Doubletime Subclass”);
- ii. All current and former California non-exempt employees of Defendant who were eligible for and used paid sick leave during a workweek when he/she also earned shift differentials, non-discretionary bonuses, or other remuneration on at least one occasion between October 1, 2017 and March 13, 2020 (the “Sick Pay Subclass”);
- iii. All individuals who are members of the Doubletime Class and/or the Sick Pay Class and separated from employment at any time between October 1, 2017 and March 13, 2020 (the “Former Employee Subclass”); and
- iv. All current and former California employees of Defendant who received a wage statement during a pay period when he/she either (i) earned shift differentials and/or (ii) worked overtime between January 22, 2018 and March 13, 2020 (the “Wage Statement Subclass”).

There are approximately 1,264 individuals in the Class.

i. What is the Effect of Membership in the Class?

If you are a member of one or more of the subclasses defined above, you are automatically a Participating Class Member unless you opt-out (i.e., exclude yourself from the Settlement by following the procedures set forth in this Notice). If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you are entitled to payment under the Settlement and will be bound by its terms. Individuals who opt-out will not be bound by the Settlement and will not be eligible to receive a payment.

4. WHAT ARE THE TERMS OF THE SETTLEMENT?

The proposed Settlement was agreed upon between Defendant and Class Counsel after months of litigation, a mediation with renowned employment class action mediator David Rotman, Esq, and months of protracted negotiations. Class Counsel believes that this Settlement, the terms of which are summarized below, is fair, reasonable, adequate, and in the best interests of the Class.

A. Overall Summary of the Settlement Terms.

Defendant will pay \$1,500,000 to settle the claims of the Class (referred to as the "Maximum Settlement Amount"). The Maximum Settlement Amount includes (1) payments to Participating Class Members, (2) employee- taxes and withholdings, (3) the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, (4) a required payment to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency, (5) the Service Payment to Plaintiff for her service to the Class, (6) and Class Counsel's attorneys' fees and reasonable costs. The remainder, after deduction of these payments is known as the "Net Settlement Amount." No money from the Maximum Settlement Fund reverts back to Defendant.

B. What Can I Expect to Receive?

Settlement shares shall generally be based upon the number of workweeks worked by Participating Class Members during the Class Period. The amount of each Participating Class Member's Settlement Share shall be determined by the Settlement Administrator as follows:

The Settlement Shares will be proportionally based upon the number of workweeks worked by Participating Class Members during the relevant period. The workweeks worked by each Participating Class Member shall be divided by the total workweeks worked by all Participating Class Members resulting in a payment ratio for each Participating Class Member. Each Participating Class Member's payment ratio is then multiplied by the Net Settlement Amount, less the amount allocated to Participating Former Employee Subclass Members, to determine his or her individual settlement share. Former Employee Subclass Members shall each receive \$500 in addition to his or her individual settlement share. Workweeks worked by Participating Doubletime and Sick Pay Subclass Members shall receive a multiplier of 1.025 to account for the additional value of their claims.

Defendant's records indicate that you are a member of the Doubletime Subclass / Sick Pay Subclass / Former Employee Subclass / Wage Statement Subclass. Its records also indicate that you worked ___ workweeks between [October 1, 2017 and March 13, 2020 or January 22, 2018 and March 13, 2020]. It is estimated that your total payment will be \$____. It will not be possible to know the exact amount of your payment until the Response Deadline has passed and the Settlement Administrator knows the number of Participating Class Members.

For the purpose of taxes and required withholdings: (1) 100% of the amount distributed to each Participating Wage Statement Subclass Member who is not a member of any other subclass shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (2) 100% of the \$500 paid to each and Former Employee Subclass Member shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued); (3) 1% of each Participating DoubleTime and Sick Pay Subclass Member's Settlement Share shall constitute wages (for which an IRS Form W-2 shall be issued), 49.5% shall constitute interest, and 49.5% shall constitute penalties (for which an IRS Form 1099 shall be issued). You will be issued appropriate tax forms for these amounts. Any and all employer taxes that Defendant would normally be responsible for paying will be paid by Defendant in addition to the Maximum Settlement Amount. You are responsible for any taxes owing on the non-wage portion of your payment. Nothing in this Notice, or in any of the settlement documents is intended to provide any tax advice and you understand that Defendant, Defendant's counsel, Plaintiff, and Plaintiff's counsel are not giving any tax advice.

C. Costs of Claims Administrator.

The Parties have agreed to employ Phoenix Class Action Administration Solutions to serve as Settlement Administrator. The Settlement Administrator sends out this Notice, calculates the amount of each Settlement Share and taxes and sends out the checks to Participating Class Members. The Settlement Administrator's fees and costs for administering the Settlement, estimated to be no more than \$14,000, if approved by the Court, will be paid out of the Maximum Settlement Amount.

D. Payment to the State of California.

The Settlement allocates \$10,000 of the Maximum Settlement Amount to any civil penalties under the Private Attorneys General Act ("PAGA") that could be awarded as a result of the violations alleged. Of that amount, \$7,500 (75% of \$10,000) will be paid to the State of California's Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the remaining \$2,500 (25% of \$10,000) will be included in the amounts paid to the Participating Class Members.

E. Service Payment to Class Representative.

Plaintiff has been approved by the Court to serve as Class Representative. As Class Representative, Plaintiff is entitled to payment for her services to the Class. The Court has preliminarily approved a payment of \$15,000, or 1% of the Maximum Settlement Amount, for Plaintiff's services to the Class. The actual amount awarded will be determined by the Court upon final approval of the Settlement.

F. Class Counsel's Fees and Costs.

Class Counsel are entitled to attorney's fees and costs for representing the Class. Class Counsel will request for attorneys' fees of one-third of the Maximum Settlement Amount, or \$500,000, and reimbursement of declared litigation costs of up to \$25,000. The Court has preliminarily approved payment to Class Counsel in the amount set forth above. The actual amount awarded will be determined by the Court upon final approval of the Settlement.

5. WHAT AM I GIVING UP IF I DO NOT OPT-OUT OF THE SETTLEMENT?

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, in exchange for the consideration provided herein, the Participating Class Members, i.e., those that do not opt-out, and their respective heirs, beneficiaries, devisees, executors, administrators, trustees, conservators, guardians, personal representatives, successors-in-interest, and assigns, shall forever and completely release and discharge Defendant and Released Parties from the following claims, collectively the Released Claims:

- (i) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of any and all claims for failure to pay doubletime wages and unfair business practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as any and all claims under California law that were or could have been pled based upon the factual allegations contained in the FAC. Participating Doubletime Subclass Members whose employment with Defendant ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier of preliminary approval or March 13, 2020, and thus are also members of the Former Employee Subclass, shall also release any and all claims for failure to pay all wages due and owing at the end of employment in violation of California Labor Code section 201-203;
- (ii) Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of any and all claims for failure to pay sick pay and unfair business practices in violation of California Business and Professions Code section 17200 et. seq., as well as any and all claims under California law that were or could have been pled based upon the factual allegations contained in the FAC. Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members whose employment with Defendant ended between October 1, 2017 and the earlier preliminary approval or March 13, 2020, and thus are also members of the Former Employee Subclass shall also release any and all claims for failure to pay all wages due and owing at the end of employment in violation of California Labor Code section 201-203;
- (iii) Participating Wage Statement Subclass Members release Defendant and the Released Parties of any and all claims for failure to furnish accurate itemized wage statements in violation of Labor Code section 226 between January 22, 2018 through the earlier of March 13, 2020 or preliminary approval;
- (iv) Participating Doubletime Subclass Members and Participating Sick Pay Subclass Members who are currently employed by Defendant and who participate in the Settlement and receive a payment thereunder shall, by virtue of the same, release Defendant and the Released Parties of any Labor Code section 201-203 claims for failure to pay doubletime and/or sick pay, as appropriate, due and owing at the end of employment based upon the claims set forth above in subsections (i) and (ii), above; and
- (v) Doubletime Subclass Members who cash their Settlement Share checks also release Defendant and Released Parties from any and all claims for failure to pay doubletime in violation of 29 USC 207 based upon the factual allegations contained in the Class Action.

If the Settlement is approved by the Court, Plaintiff, on behalf of herself the Labor and Workforce Development Agency, and the other aggrieved employees in the State of California, releases Defendant and Released Parties from any and all PAGA Claims based upon Defendant's alleged violation of Labor Code sections 201 – 203, 221, 224, 225.5, 226, 226.3, 245.5-249, 510, 558, 1174, 1174.5, 1194, 1194.2, 1198, between December 24, 2017 and he earlier of March 13, 2020 or the date of the Order of Preliminary Approval.

If you do NOT exclude yourself by following the procedures set forth in this Notice and the Court approves the proposed Settlement, you will be deemed to have released the aforementioned claims, as appropriate, and will receive a payment.

6. HOW DO I RECEIVE A PAYMENT?

You do not need to submit a claim form. All Class Members will receive a payment under this Settlement unless they submit a timely and valid request for opt-out. If you are a Class Member and you move or change your address, and you want to receive your payment at your new address, you must send a notice of your change of address to the Settlement Administrator, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, P.O. Box 7208, Orange, CA 92863, Telephone: (800) 523-5773, notice@phoenixclassaction.com; www.ironmountainclassaction.com.

7. WHAT ARE MY RIGHTS AND OPTIONS?

If you are a Class Member as defined above, you have the following rights and options under the proposed Settlement:

A. Participate in the Settlement, be represented by Class Counsel, and take no action.

If you take no further action, you will be a Participating Class Member, will be represented by Class Counsel, and will have the right to a share of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement and, as set forth above, will be deemed to have released your claims against Defendant. As a Participating Class Member, you will not be charged for the services of Class Counsel.

B. Participate in the Settlement, but elect to hire your own attorney.

If you do not wish to be represented by Class Counsel, you may hire your own attorney. Your attorney must send a Notice of Appearance to the Settlement Administrator at the address listed below, so that it is received no later than October 30, 2020. You will be responsible for any attorneys' fees and costs charged by your attorney.

C. Exclude yourself from the Settlement by opting out.

If you are a Class Member but do not want to participate in the settlement, you may exclude yourself by opting out. If you choose to opt-out, you will lose any right to participate in the settlement and you will not be eligible to receive a share of the Net Settlement Amount. You will be free to pursue any claims you may have against Defendant on your own behalf, but Class Counsel will not represent you.

In order to opt-out, you must notify the Settlement Administrator, in writing, at the address listed below. In order to be effective, your opt-out must be postmarked or delivered to the Settlement Administrator no later than October 30, 2020, and must be signed, contain your full name, current home (or mailing address), the last four digits of your Social Security number, and written affirmation of your desire to opt out containing the following or substantially similar language:

"I elect to opt out of the *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.* class action settlement. I understand that by doing so, I will not be able to participate in the settlement, and will not receive a share of the settlement."

If you do not comply with these procedures, you will lose any opportunity to exclude yourself from the settlement, you will be a Participating Class Member, will be represented by Class Counsel, and will receive a share of the Net Settlement Amount. If the Settlement is approved by the Court, you will be bound by the terms of the Settlement and, as set forth above, will be deemed to have released your claims against Defendant.

D. Object to the terms of the Settlement.

If you are dissatisfied with the terms of the Settlement, you may, object to the Settlement. All objections and supporting papers must (a) clearly identify the case name and number (i.e., *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF), (b) include your full name, address, telephone number, and the last four digits of your Social Security Number, (c) concisely state the grounds for your objection, (d) indicate whether you are represented by counsel and, if so, identify such counsel, (e) indicate whether you would like to appear at the Final Approval Hearing, and (e) be signed by you. To be timely, your objection must be mailed to the Court and the Settlement Administrator, postmarked on or before October 30, 2020.

If you have filed a timely and proper objection, you also may, but are not required, to appear and present argument at the Final Approval Hearing. Objections not timely postmarked or delivered by October 30, 2020 will not be considered by the Court. If you file an objection that is not timely, or that does not include the information specified above, you will have no right to appear and present any argument at the Final Approval Hearing.

You may be represented by an attorney at the Final Approval Hearing. Any attorney who will represent an individual objecting to the settlement must file a notice of appearance with the Court and serve counsel for all parties on or before October 30, 2020. All objections or other correspondence must state the name and number of the case – *Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc., et al.*, United States District Court, Eastern District of California, Case No. 2:19-cv-00370-TLN-EF.

8. WHEN IS THE COURT HEARING AND WHAT IS IT FOR?

The Court will hold the Final Approval Hearing in the Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse, 501 I Street, Sacramento, CA 95814, on December 17, 2020, at 2:00 p.m. in Courtroom 2, 15th Floor, to determine whether the Settlement should be finally approved as fair, reasonable and adequate. The Court will also be asked to approve the fees and costs of the Settlement Administrator, the payment to the State of California, the Service Payment to the Class Representative, and the fees and costs of Class Counsel. **It is not necessary for you to appear at this hearing to participate in the Settlement.** If you want to be heard orally in support of, or in opposition to, the Settlement (for instance if you have submitted an objection), either personally or through counsel, you must comply with the procedures set forth above.

9. HOW CAN I GET MORE INFORMATION?

The above is a summary of the basic terms of the Settlement. For the precise terms and conditions of the Settlement, you are referred to the Class Action Settlement and Release of Claims. The Class Action Settlement and Release, as well as the pleadings and other records in this litigation, including Motion for Preliminary Approval, Motion for Final Approval, and Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment, are available by accessing the Court docket in this case through the Court’s Public Access to Electronic Records (Pacer) system at <https://ecf.caed.uscourts.gov>, or by visiting the Clerk Court at any time between 8:00 a.m. and 4:00 p.m., Monday through Friday, excluding Court Holidays, in the Clerk’s Office located at the Robert T. Matsui United States Courthouse, 501 “I” Street, Room 4-200 Sacramento, CA 95814. You may also view the Court’s Order of Preliminary Approval and, once they are filed, the Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment, Motion for Final Approval, and Order Granting Final Approval, online at www.ironmountainclassaction.com. The Motion for Attorneys’ Fees, Costs and Service Payment will appear online on or before November 23, 2020. The Motion for Final approval will appear online on or before December 17, 2020.

If you have questions about the Settlement, you may contact Class Counsel or the Claims Administrator as follows:

<u>Class Counsel</u>	<u>Claims Administrator</u>
Robert J. Wasserman, Esq. Jenny D. Baysinger, Esq. Mayall Hurley P.C. 2453 Grand Canal Blvd. Stockton, CA 95207 Telephone: (209) 477-3833 rwasserman@mayallaw.com jbaysinger@mayallaw.com	Modica vs. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc. c/o Phoenix Settlement Administrators. P.O. Box 7208 Orange, CA 92863 Telephone: (800) 523-5773 notice@phoenixclassaction.com

PLEASE DO NOT WRITE OR TELEPHONE THE COURT FOR INFORMATION ABOUT THE PROPOSED SETTLEMENT OR THIS LAWSUIT.

THE COURT HAS APPROVED THIS NOTICE.

EXHIBIT C



jennifer modica and iron mountain



All News Images Maps Shopping More Settings Tools

About 58,800 results (0.67 seconds)

www.law360.com › cases

Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management ... - Law360

Parties, docket activity and news coverage of federal case **Modica v. Iron Mountain** Information Management Services, Inc et al, case number 2:19-at-00160, ...

unicourt.com › ... › State Courts › San Joaquin County Superior Courts

Jennifer Modica and on behalf of vs Iron Mountain Information ...

Court Record for Labor Case Filed by **Jennifer Modica** and on behalf of against **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services, Inc , a Delaware corporation in ...

www.pacermonitor.com › public › case › Modica_v_Iron_Mountain_...

Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management Services (2 ...

Mar 1, 2019 - **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services (2:19-cv-00370), California ... ORDER to file First Amended Complaint by **Jennifer Modica**.

www.leagle.com › decision

MODICA v. IRON MOUNTAIN I | Case No. 2:19 ... - Leagle.com

Jan 14, 2020 - **JENNIFER MODICA**, individually and on behalf of other similarly situated current and former employees and as proxy for the LWDA, Plaintiff, v.

dockets.justia.com › ... › Ninth Circuit › California › Eastern District

Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management Services ...

Mar 1, 2019 - Modica v. **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services, Inc et al ... Search for this case: Modica v. **Iron Mountain** ... Plaintiff: **Jennifer Modica**.

dockets.justia.com › Dockets & Filings

Cases matching "Iron Mountain Information Management Inc ...

Modica v. **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services, Inc et al. Filed: March 1, 2019 as 2:2019at00160. Plaintiff: **Jennifer Modica**. Defendant: Iron ...

www.docketbird.com › USA-v-Kelly › caed-2:2019-cv-00370-00009

Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management ... - DocketBird

Modica v. **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services ... COURT 9 EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA 10 11 **JENNIFER MODICA**, individually No.

Images for jennifer modica and iron mountain



→ More images for jennifer modica and iron mountain

Report images

trellis.law › cases

Case Search - Trellis: Legal Intelligence + Judicial Analytics

Results 1 - 25 of 10000 - **Jennifer Modica** and on behalf of vs **Iron Mountain** Information Management Services, Inc., a Delaware corporation. Filed. Jan 25, 2019.

www.linkedin.com › jennifer-modica-527a3850 ▼

Jennifer Modica - Account Executive - Securian Financial ...

View **Jennifer Modica's** profile on LinkedIn, the world's largest professional community. Jennifer has 5 jobs listed on their profile. See the complete profile on ...

Missing: **iron mountain**

1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Next

95207, Stockton, CA - From your Internet address - Use precise location - Learn more

[Help](#) [Send feedback](#) [Privacy](#) [Terms](#)



jennifer modica

[All](#) [News](#) [Images](#) [Maps](#) [Videos](#) [More](#) [Settings](#) [Tools](#)

Page 2 of about 387,000 results (0.29 seconds)

[poshmark.com](#) › [closet](#) › [jmodica05](#) ▼

Jennifer Modica's Closet (@jmodica05) | Poshmark

Shop **Jennifer's** closet and buy fashion from kate spade, The North Face, LifeProof and more.
Follow [jmodica05](#) on Poshmark.

[clustrmaps.com](#) › [US Persons](#) › [M](#) › [Jennifer Modica](#) ▼

Jennifer Modica, (916) 801-7410, Elk Grove – Public Records ...

Jennifer Modica is a resident of CA. Lookup the home address and phone 9168017410 and other contact details for this person.

[www.bedbathandbeyond.com](#) › [store](#) › [giftregistry](#) › [viewregistryguest](#) ▼

Jennifer Modica & Coleman O'Phelan's Wedding Registry ...

Choose the perfect gift for **Jennifer Modica & Coleman O'Phelan's** Wedding Registry. Take a look at their gift list on the Wedding registry at Bed Bath & Beyond.

[medium.com](#) › [@modica709](#) › [has-recommended](#) ▼

Stories Jennifer Modica clapped for – Medium

Discover all stories **Jennifer Modica** clapped for on Medium.

[www.thetvdb.com](#) › [series](#) › [come-dine-with-me-canada](#) › [episodes](#) ▼

Come Dine With Me Canada - Jennifer Modica: Block Four ...

We value your privacy. We and our partners use technologies, such as cookies, and process personal data, such as IP addresses and cookie identifiers, ...

[www.law360.com](#) › [cases](#)

Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management Services ...

Parties, docket activity and news coverage of federal case **Modica v. Iron Mountain Information Management Services, Inc et al**, case number 2:19-at-00160, ...

[www.truthfinder.com](#) › [People Search](#)

Jennifer Modica: Address + Phone Number for 22 People ...

Find **Jennifer Modica's** Social Media accounts, Addresses, Phone Numbers and Background Report (potential Criminal, Court and County Records).

[rocketreach.co](#) › [jennifer-modica-email_37982013](#) ▼

Jennifer Modica's email & phone | Marsh & McLennan Agency ...

Jennifer Modica's email address [j*****@mma-ne.com](#) | Show email & phone >>>

[infodog.com](#) › [show](#) › [judge](#) › [jdgprofile](#) ▼

Mrs. Jennifer Modica - InfoDog - The Dog Fancier's Complete ...

Mrs. **Jennifer Modica** 175 Stagecoach Rd. Cape May Court House, NJ 08210. [edit profile].
Breeds Approved by AKC to Judge: Breed, Assignments. No current ...

[www.youtube.com](#) › [channel](#) ▼

Jennifer Modica - YouTube

Jennifer Modica. [Subscribe](#)[Subscribed](#)[Unsubscribe](#) 0. Loading... Loading... Working... Language: English; Location: United States; Restricted Mode: Off. History

Ad · www.spokeo.com/Jennifer+Modica ▾

We Found Jennifer Modica | Cell #, Social Profile & More

Find Their Age, Phone Number & Address. See Their Online Pics and Profiles. Fresh Data. Blazing Fast Results. Billions of Records. Powerful Search. Easy to Use Design. Types: Name Lookup, Phone Number Lookup, Email/Username Lookup, Address Lookup.
Search By Name & Location · Search By Phone · Search By Email · Search By Address

Ad · www.advanced-people-search.com/People/Search ▾

We Found Jennifer Modica | Address, Phone Number & More

Advanced People Search - People Search Made Easy. Search Public Records For Free Today! Don't Waste Countless Hours Looking for **Jennifer modica**. Access Reliable Information Now! Get Background Checks Now. Divorce Records. Valid Information Only.
Birth & Death Records · Search Any Name · Criminal Record? · Background Check People

Ad · www.intelius.com/Jennifer+Modica ▾

We Found Jennifer Modica

Current Address, Phone & Age. Search **Jennifer Modica** Free! Immediate Results. Unlimited 24 Hour Pass. Industry Leader. Billions of Records. Regularly Updated Data. Types: Background Check, Reverse Phone Lookup, People Search, Public Records, Email Lookup.
People Search · Reverse Phone Lookup · Criminal Check

Previous 1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 Next

95207, Stockton, CA - From your Internet address - Use precise location - Learn more

Help Send feedback Privacy Terms

EXHIBIT D

LAW OFFICES

MAYALL HURLEY

A PROFESSIONAL CORPORATION

Voice (209) 477-3833
Facsimile (209) 473-48182453 GRAND CANAL BOULEVARD
SECOND FLOOR
STOCKTON, CALIFORNIA 95207-8253

Tax ID No. 94-2190545

October 20, 2020

Invoice Number 0

JENNIFER MODICA (CLASS ACTION)
1202 W. DOWNS STREET
STOCKTON, CA 95207**In the matter of:** MODICA V. IRON MOUNTAIN, ETAL - CONTINGENCY
Our file number: SCA 18028**For Professional Services Rendered**

JDE	Date	Hours	Rate	Amount	Total
JDE	10/23/2018	0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/23/2018	JDE	Initial intake call with potential client and compose follow-up email requesting documentation.		0.80	\$593.60
JDE	10/25/2018	1.00	1.00	742.00	742.00
10/25/2018	JDE	Review wage statements from client. Communicate with client regarding potential claims, draft LSA, and discuss representation and strategy with client.		1.00	\$742.00
VJK	10/26/2018	0.20	0.20	455.00	91.00
10/26/2018	VJK	Submit LWDA letter - Modica		0.20	\$91.00
JDE	10/26/2018	0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
10/26/2018	JDE	Multiple emails to client regarding potential claims and other questions regarding her treatment while employed. Evaluate additional facts to identify whether deduction of benefit payments may be actionable.		0.60	\$445.20
JDE	10/26/2018	1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
10/26/2018	JDE	Modica v. Iron Mountain. Prepare LWDA notification and records request. Research 224 issues and retractive deductions for health benefits.		1.20	\$890.40
JDE	10/27/2018	0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
10/27/2018	JDE	Review email from client regarding health benefit issues and evaluate.		0.30	\$222.60
JDE	11/02/2018	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
11/02/2018	JDE	Review email from iron Mountain senior counsel regarding records request and intention to provide records.		0.20	\$148.40
JDE	11/26/2018	0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
11/26/2018	JDE	Communicate with client regarding status.		0.30	\$222.60
JDE	01/21/2019	6.20	6.20	742.00	4,600.40
01/21/2019	JDE	Draft class action and PAGA complaint.		6.20	\$4,600.40
JDE	01/21/2019	0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
01/21/2019	JDE	Communicate with client regarding status and intention to file complaint due to lack of cooperation from Iron Mountain's		0.30	\$222.60

counsel.

JDE	01/23/2019	0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
01/23/2019	JDE	Review correspondence refusing to provide employment records without provision of further information signed by client. Research and compose correspondence to opposing counsel regarding request for additional information before releasing employment records.		0.50	\$371.00
JDE	01/24/2019	0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
01/24/2019	JDE	Review email from Monica Rodriguez refusing to provide employment documents even after provision of additional identifying information, advise of interpretation as outright refusal to provide documents, and intention to proceed with litigation accordingly.		0.30	\$222.60
JDE	02/11/2019	3.40	3.40	742.00	2,522.80
02/11/2019	JDE	Draft initial written discovery to Iron Mountain.		3.40	\$2,522.80
JDE	02/19/2019	1.80	1.80	742.00	1,335.60
02/19/2019	JDE	Continue working on initial written discovery requests in class action.		1.80	\$1,335.60
NJS	03/01/2019	0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
03/01/2019	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 5 (Civil New Case Documents)		0.10	\$74.20
JDE	03/04/2019	0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
03/04/2019	JDE	Review docket entry No. 5		0.10	\$74.20
JDE	03/05/2019	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
03/05/2019	JDE	Review answer filed by Iron Mountain.		0.20	\$148.40
NJS	03/07/2019	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
03/07/2019	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 6 ("Notice of Compliance) and No. 7 (Certificate of Service)		0.20	\$148.40
JDE	03/07/2019	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
03/07/2019	JDE	Review docket entry nos. 6 (compliance with section 1446(D)) and 7 and associated documents.		0.20	\$148.40
JDE	03/11/2019	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
03/11/2019	JDE	Upload complaint to LWDA website as required by law.		0.20	\$148.40
JDE	03/11/2019	1.50	1.50	742.00	1,113.00
03/11/2019	JDE	Finalize initial written discovery (class action).		1.50	\$1,113.00
JDE	03/11/2019	0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
03/11/2019	JDE	Review notice of removal, basis for CAFA jurisdiction, and strategize whether remand should be pursued.		0.50	\$371.00
JDE	03/11/2019	0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
03/11/2019	JDE	Communicate with client regarding status.		0.20	\$148.40
RJW	04/04/2019	2.10	2.10	742.00	1,558.20
04/04/2019	RJW	Reviewed Dkt. Nos. 1-3 - Notice of Removal and Declarations ISO Removal		2.10	\$1,558.20
NJS	04/04/2019	0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
04/04/2019	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 8 (Statement of Class Action)		0.10	\$74.20
JDE	04/04/2019	0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
04/04/2019	JDE	Review joint statement and make necessary edits.		0.60	\$445.20
RJW	04/05/2019	0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
04/05/2019	RJW	Reviewed Dkt. No. 8 - Joint Statement		0.15	\$111.30

RJW	04/05/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50
04/05/2019	RJW	Reviewed Dkt. No. 5 - Pretrial Sched. Order			0.25	\$185.50
RJW	04/08/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
04/08/2019	RJW	Reviewed Dkt. No. 9 - Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order			0.15	\$111.30
NJS	04/08/2019		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
04/08/2019	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 9 (Amended Pretrial Scheduling Order)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	04/08/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
04/08/2019	JDE	Review ECF email re: Doc. No. 9 and review document.			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	04/09/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
04/09/2019	JDE	Communicate with Rodriguez regarding written discovery propounded.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	04/16/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
04/16/2019	JDE	Confer with OPC to schedule Rule 26(f) conference.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	04/24/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
04/24/2019	JDE	Rule 26(f) conference with Brophy and Rodriguez.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	04/25/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50
04/25/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re discovery conference, Defendant's discovery, strategy.			0.25	\$185.50
RJW	04/25/2019		1.10	1.10	742.00	816.20
04/25/2019	RJW	Reviewed Iron Mountain's RFPs to Plaintiff			1.10	\$816.20
RJW	04/25/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
04/25/2019	RJW	Letter to Client re disc			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	04/25/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50
04/25/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW regarding Rule 26 conference, Defendant's positions, and strategy.			0.25	\$185.50
RJW	04/29/2019		1.30	1.30	742.00	964.60
04/29/2019	RJW	Reviewed RFP-1 and SI-1 to Iron Mountain			1.30	\$964.60
JDE	04/29/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
04/29/2019	JDE	Revise discovery and propound initial pre-certification discovery.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	04/30/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
04/30/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with client regarding deposition issues.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	05/02/2019		2.20	2.20	742.00	1,632.40
05/02/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with client for deposition prep, review documents and basis of claims with client, and discuss potential issues with Defendant withdrawing NOD.			2.20	\$1,632.40
JDE	05/03/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
05/03/2019	JDE	Communicate with opposing counsel regarding deposition of Plaintiff and their unwillingness to proceed despite availability.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	05/04/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
05/04/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with client to advise Defendant withdrew NOD, discuss strategy/consequences, and availability in May/June.			0.30	\$222.60
NJS	05/08/2019		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
05/08/2019	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 10 (Joint Status Report)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	05/08/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
05/08/2019	JDE	Review Rule 26 statement and make necessary edits.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	05/09/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50

05/09/2019	RJW	Reviewed Joint Status Report			0.25	\$185.50
JDE	05/09/2019		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
05/09/2019	JDE	Prepare initial disclosures, gather relevant documents and confer with client.			1.20	\$890.40
RJW	05/10/2019		1.10	1.10	742.00	816.20
05/10/2019	RJW	Reviewed Plaintiff's Initial Disclosures and docs to be produced therewith			1.10	\$816.20
JDE	05/10/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
05/10/2019	JDE	Communicate with client to obtain names/contact information of potential witnesses/class members (0.2). Contact putative class member and interview to determine if her experiences are consistent with Modica's (0.6).			0.80	\$593.60
RJW	05/24/2019		2.25	2.25	742.00	1,669.50
05/24/2019	RJW	Reviewed Plaintiff's Responses to Iron Mountain's RFPs			2.25	\$1,669.50
RJW	05/30/2019		0.75	0.75	742.00	556.50
05/30/2019	RJW	Reviewed docs produced with initial disclosures and emails from OPC re class data. Conference with Baysinger re violations, potential claims, liability, scheduling order, etc.			0.75	\$556.50
JDE	05/30/2019		0.90	0.90	742.00	667.80
05/30/2019	JDE	Review email from Jon Meer and prepare substantive response (0.4). Confer with RJW re: strategy.			0.90	\$667.80
JDE	05/30/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
05/30/2019	JDE	Review email from Meer with wage statement numbers, evaluate legal position, and prepare substantive response.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	05/31/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
05/31/2019	JDE	Perform calculations for maximum class damages on wage statement claim alone. Confer with RJW regarding strategy moving forward with claims.			0.60	\$445.20
JDE	06/04/2019		2.40	2.40	742.00	1,780.80
06/04/2019	JDE	Review Wal-Mart decision and evaluate impact on 226(a)(9) claims for "details not displayed" and split shift entries. Research injury issues with respect to 226(a)(2) claims and evaluate merits of claim as to Modica and class members.			2.40	\$1,780.80
RJW	06/10/2019		2.30	2.30	742.00	1,706.60
06/10/2019	RJW	Reviewed Iron Mountain's Responses to SI-1 and RFP-1			2.30	\$1,706.60
RJW	06/11/2019		1.70	1.70	742.00	1,261.40
06/11/2019	RJW	Reviewed LWDA Letter and Updated LWDA Letter			1.70	\$1,261.40
VJK	06/11/2019		0.30	0.30	455.00	136.50
06/11/2019	VJK	Analysis of possible OT violations by defendant			0.30	\$136.50
JDE	06/11/2019		3.10	3.10	742.00	2,300.20
06/11/2019	JDE	Review Defendant's discovery responses and evaluate electronic screen shot wage statements. Research overtime calculations when shift differential pay is involved to determine whether using base rate and then 1/2 regular rate is appropriate.			3.10	\$2,300.20
JDE	06/11/2019		1.30	1.30	742.00	964.60
06/11/2019	JDE	Prepare updated LWDA letter to add overtime claim based on improper "regular rate"			1.30	\$964.60
RJW	06/12/2019		2.50	2.50	742.00	1,855.00
06/12/2019	RJW	Reviewed Complaint, Answer. Conference with Baysinger calculating OT payments.			2.50	\$1,855.00

RJW	06/12/2019		2.60	2.60	742.00	1,929.20
06/12/2019	RJW	Preliminary research re calculating OT payments			2.60	\$1,929.20
JDE	06/12/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
06/12/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW re: OT calculations and potential violations.			0.50	\$371.00
RJW	06/13/2019		3.75	3.75	742.00	2,782.50
06/13/2019	RJW	Additional research re regular rate/shift differential/overtime issues. Conference with Baysinger re same.			3.75	\$2,782.50
JDE	06/14/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
06/14/2019	JDE	Review and evaluate email from Rodriguez providing explanation of OT/shift differential data provided.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	06/18/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
06/18/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re OT calculations			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	06/18/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
06/18/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW re: potential OT claims			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	06/18/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
06/18/2019	JDE	Revise amended LWDA letter in light of discussion with RJW and upload to LWDA.			0.50	\$371.00
RJW	06/26/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
06/26/2019	RJW	Reviewed meet and confer letter to OPC. Emails re settlement.			0.50	\$371.00
RJW	06/26/2019		0.35	0.35	742.00	259.70
06/26/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re settlement / strategy			0.35	\$259.70
JDE	06/26/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
06/26/2019	JDE	Prepare meet and confer letter regarding discovery.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	06/26/2019		1.50	1.50	742.00	1,113.00
06/26/2019	JDE	Prepare comprehensive substantive response to Meer email with initial demand.			1.50	\$1,113.00
RJW	07/03/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
07/03/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re meet and confer conference.			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	07/03/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
07/03/2019	JDE	Emails with OPC re: meet and confer conference scheduling.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	07/12/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
07/12/2019	RJW	Emails re meet and confer			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	07/12/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
07/12/2019	JDE	Email with Rodriguez, Brophy, and Meer meeting and conferring regarding discovery.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	07/15/2019		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
07/15/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re mediation. Research re mediator, availability, etc.			1.20	\$890.40
JDE	07/15/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
07/15/2019	JDE	Communicate with Rotman's office regarding availability for mediation.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	07/15/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
07/15/2019	JDE	Communicate with opposing counsel regarding mediators and mediation scheduling.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	07/16/2019		0.35	0.35	742.00	259.70
07/16/2019	RJW	Emails re mediation/scheduling			0.35	\$259.70
JDE	07/16/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40

07/16/2019	JDE	Communicate with opposing counsel to coordinate mediation.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	07/23/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
07/23/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re mediation and PMQ depo			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	07/24/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
07/24/2019	RJW	Emails re mediation			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	07/24/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
07/24/2019	JDE	Coordinate with OPC and mediator's office to secure 11/14 mediation date. Provide complaint and case caption with contact information to mediator.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	07/25/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
07/25/2019	RJW	Emails re mediation			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	07/25/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
07/25/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re data, sampling, health ins issue.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	07/25/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
07/25/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with client regarding status, mediation process, and settlement strategy/expectations.			0.60	\$445.20
RJW	07/31/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
07/31/2019	RJW	Letter from Mediator's Office re retention, confidentiality, etc.			0.60	\$445.20
JDE	08/02/2019		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
08/02/2019	JDE	Review mediation confirmation and invoice.			0.10	\$74.20
RJW	08/29/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/29/2019	RJW	Letter to Client re mediation			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	09/03/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
09/03/2019	JDE	Prepare email to Rodriguez with outstanding information needed pre-mediation and to address potential amendment.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	09/10/2019		5.20	5.20	742.00	3,858.40
09/10/2019	JDE	Review documents provided in discovery in detail. Evaluate Modica's time records and determine whether timekeeping system appropriately pays for shift differentials. Identify sick pay denials based on email correspondence and research available remedies for failur to provide available sick leave during first 1 1/2 years of employment.			5.20	\$3,858.40
JDE	09/15/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/15/2019	JDE	Exchange emails with Rodriguez regarding PMK deposition scheduling and pre-mediation document/data production.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/16/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/16/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re facts/discovery/status. Emails with OPC re same. Set conference call.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/16/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
09/16/2019	RJW	Reviewed 30(b)(6) NOD. Conference with Baysinger re same.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	09/16/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/16/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW re facts, discovery, and status.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	09/16/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
09/16/2019	JDE	Communicate with Monica Rodriguez regarding outstanding document production and other issues.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	09/16/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
09/16/2019	JDE	Communicate with client regarding circumstances of termination and other issues.			0.40	\$296.80

JDE	09/16/2019		1.30	1.30	742.00		964.60
09/16/2019	JDE	Prepare draft NOD of Iron Mountain PMQ.				1.30	\$964.60
JDE	09/16/2019		3.60	3.60	742.00		2,671.20
09/16/2019	JDE	Research sick pay requirements in California, suitability for class treatment, characterization of sick pay as "wages", and relationship between LC 248.5 and UCL.				3.60	\$2,671.20
RJW	09/17/2019		1.75	1.75	742.00		1,298.50
09/17/2019	RJW	Revised/edited proposed FAC. Reviewed initial disclosure docs. Conference with Baysinger re edits/strategy.				1.75	\$1,298.50
RJW	09/17/2019		1.50	1.50	742.00		1,113.00
09/17/2019	RJW	Revised/edited 30(b)(6) NOD. Conference with Baysinger re necessary topics/strategy.				1.50	\$1,113.00
JDE	09/17/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00		445.20
09/17/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW re FAC and 30(b)(6) deposition and strategy.				0.60	\$445.20
JDE	09/17/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
09/17/2019	JDE	Compose email to opposing counsel outlining issues to discuss during telephone conference 9/18.				0.20	\$148.40
JDE	09/17/2019		2.80	2.80	742.00		2,077.60
09/17/2019	JDE	Prepare initial draft of FAC adding sick pay claims on class-wide basis.				2.80	\$2,077.60
RJW	09/18/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00		371.00
09/18/2019	RJW	Prepared for/participated in telephone conference with OPC re FAC, wage statement sampling, payroll records, etc.				0.50	\$371.00
JDE	09/18/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00		445.20
09/18/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with Monica Rodriguez regarding discovery issues and first amended complaint.				0.60	\$445.20
RJW	10/02/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
10/02/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re mediation/status.				0.20	\$148.40
JDE	10/02/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
10/02/2019	JDE	Confer with RJW re: mediation/status.				0.20	\$148.40
RJW	10/04/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
10/04/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re FAC/Data production. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.				0.20	\$148.40
JDE	10/04/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
10/04/2019	JDE	Reach out to Monica Rodriguez regarding status of outstanding information in preparation for mediation.				0.20	\$148.40
RJW	10/07/2019		0.15	0.15	742.00		111.30
10/07/2019	RJW	Email from OPC re mediation data/doc production				0.15	\$111.30
RJW	10/11/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00		371.00
10/11/2019	RJW	Prepared for/participated in telephone conference with OPC re FAC, discovery, data production, mediation. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.				0.50	\$371.00
JDE	10/11/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00		371.00
10/11/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with RJW and Brophy regarding mediation production/sampling issues, sick pay claim, and FAC stipulation. Confer with RJW regarding mediation strategy.				0.50	\$371.00
RJW	10/12/2019		6.20	6.20	742.00		4,600.40
10/12/2019	RJW	Reviewing time and payroll data.				6.20	\$4,600.40
JDE	10/12/2019		4.20	4.20	742.00		3,116.40

10/12/2019	JDE	Begin reviewing payroll and timekeeping data in preparation for mediation.			4.20	\$3,116.40
RJW	10/15/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50
10/15/2019	RJW	Emails with Expert re assignment			0.25	\$185.50
RJW	10/15/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
10/15/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re wage statement exemplars.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	10/15/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/15/2019	JDE	Review random employee numbers and identify 10 for wage statement sampling.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	10/15/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
10/15/2019	JDE	Coordinate with expert regarding data analysis issues, scope of assignment, and format of information expected to be provided.			0.60	\$445.20
RJW	10/16/2019		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
10/16/2019	RJW	Emails with expert re conflict check.			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	10/16/2019		2.40	2.40	742.00	1,780.80
10/16/2019	JDE	Research appropriate scope of class action release in CA and 9th Circuit and evaluate enforceability and impact on current case and anticipated sick pay claim			2.40	\$1,780.80
JDE	10/16/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
10/16/2019	JDE	Communicate with Borphy regarding format of EE identification numbers provided by his office and apparent discrepancy. Provide copy of source documents for reference.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	10/17/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
10/17/2019	RJW	Emails re data			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	10/18/2019		5.35	5.35	742.00	3,969.70
10/18/2019	RJW	Reviewing time and payroll data.			5.35	\$3,969.70
RJW	10/19/2019		4.70	4.70	742.00	3,487.40
10/19/2019	RJW	Continued reviewing data			4.70	\$3,487.40
RJW	10/22/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
10/22/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re pre-mediation data and 30(b)(6) scheduling			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	10/22/2019		0.70	0.70	742.00	519.40
10/22/2019	RJW	Reviewed FAC. Conference with Baysinger re same.			0.70	\$519.40
RJW	10/23/2019		1.00	1.00	742.00	742.00
10/23/2019	RJW	Reviewed Cardenas Settlement, Conference with Baysinger re scope of release, enforceability, strategy.			1.00	\$742.00
RJW	10/23/2019		1.10	1.10	742.00	816.20
10/23/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re sick pay data. Began reviewing the same. Conducted calculations on sample EEs. Telephone conference with expert. Conference with Baysinger re strategy /amending complaint.			1.10	\$816.20
RJW	10/24/2019		3.75	3.75	742.00	2,782.50
10/24/2019	RJW	Prepared for/participated in telephone conference with expert re data / analysis. Continued spot checking data. Conference with Baysinger and Kozina re proper calculations.			3.75	\$2,782.50
JDE	10/24/2019		3.30	3.30	742.00	2,448.60
10/24/2019	JDE	Review excel information and begin conducting evaluations and calculations.			3.30	\$2,448.60
JDE	10/24/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
10/24/2019	JDE	Conference call with Melissa Daniel regarding data analysis.			0.40	\$296.80

RJW	10/25/2019		1.00	1.00	742.00	742.00
10/25/2019	RJW	Prepared for/participated in conference call with OPC re facts, claims, pre-mediation data, etc. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.			1.00	\$742.00
JDE	10/25/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
10/25/2019	JDE	Telephone conference with RJW and Brophy regarding mediation and amended complaint.			0.60	\$445.20
JDE	10/25/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/25/2019	JDE	Finalize further edits to proposed FAC and begin drafting stipulation and order to file FAC.			0.80	\$593.60
RJW	10/28/2019		1.10	1.10	742.00	816.20
10/28/2019	RJW	Revised/edited FAC. Conference with Baysinger re same.			1.10	\$816.20
RJW	10/28/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
10/28/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re calcs. Emails with expert re same			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	10/28/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/28/2019	RJW	Reviewed / approved / executed / transmitted Expert's retainer.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	10/29/2019		2.70	2.70	742.00	2,003.40
10/29/2019	JDE	Continue reviewing excel data in attempt to determine existence of double time issue and value of underpaid sick pay.			2.70	\$2,003.40
JDE	10/30/2019		5.20	5.20	742.00	3,858.40
10/30/2019	JDE	Continue damages calculations for sick pay class.			5.20	\$3,858.40
RJW	10/31/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
10/31/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re moving call, FAC, Stip and additional documents / data necessary. Reviewed/approved Stip. Conference with Baysinger re same.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	10/31/2019		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
10/31/2019	JDE	Prepare stipulation to file FAC and proposed order. Review scheduling order requirements and scope of "good cause".			1.20	\$890.40
RJW	11/04/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
11/04/2019	RJW	Prepared for/participated in telephone conference with OPC re data, mediation, FAC, etc.			0.50	\$371.00
RJW	11/05/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
11/05/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re data production.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	11/05/2019		2.75	2.75	742.00	2,040.50
11/05/2019	RJW	Reviewing wage statement sampling			2.75	\$2,040.50
JDE	11/05/2019		5.10	5.10	742.00	3,784.20
11/05/2019	JDE	Continue sick pay calculations			5.10	\$3,784.20
RJW	11/06/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
11/06/2019	RJW	Reviewed Expert's first damages analysis. Emails re same. Conference with Baysinger re strategy.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	11/06/2019		1.30	1.30	742.00	964.60
11/06/2019	JDE	Conduct calculations for waiting time penalty exposure - sick pay class.			1.30	\$964.60
JDE	11/07/2019		3.00	3.00	742.00	2,226.00
11/07/2019	JDE	Research potential arguments regarding sick pay claim and, in particular, 203 arising out of sick pay claim. Consider potential good faith defenses to defeat willfulness.			3.00	\$2,226.00
RJW	11/08/2019		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00

11/08/2019	RJW	Reviwed/revised/edited Mediation brief.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	11/08/2019		4.20	4.20	742.00	3,116.40
11/08/2019	JDE	Prepare mediation brief and exhibits.			4.20	\$3,116.40
JDE	11/11/2019		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
11/11/2019	JDE	Review and evaluate Iron Mountain's mediation brief and outline counter-arguments/areas of weakness.			1.20	\$890.40
RJW	11/12/2019		0.75	0.75	742.00	556.50
11/12/2019	RJW	Reviewed Defendant's Mediation Brief. Conference with Baysinger re same.			0.75	\$556.50
RJW	11/12/2019		3.80	3.80	742.00	2,819.60
11/12/2019	RJW	Research re Defendant's arguments / anaysis.			3.80	\$2,819.60
RJW	11/13/2019		6.10	6.10	742.00	4,526.20
11/13/2019	RJW	Prepared for Mediation. Reviewed additional data from OPC. Conferences with Expert re same. Reviewed expert's analysis. Began cross-checking results.			6.10	\$4,526.20
JDE	11/13/2019		6.20	6.20	742.00	4,600.40
11/13/2019	JDE	Review data provided for doubletime claim and perform calculations of unpaid/underpaid wages.			6.20	\$4,600.40
RJW	11/14/2019		16.50	16.50	742.00	12,243.00
11/14/2019	RJW	Prepared for, traveled to/from, attended mediation with Rotman in SF			16.50	\$12,243.00
JDE	11/14/2019		15.80	15.80	742.00	11,723.60
11/14/2019	JDE	Attend mediation in SF			15.80	\$11,723.60
RJW	11/20/2019		0.25	0.25	742.00	185.50
11/20/2019	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re settlement/status.			0.25	\$185.50
RJW	11/20/2019		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
11/20/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC and mediator re MOU /			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	11/20/2019		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
11/20/2019	JDE	Review correspondence from OPC advising they will not withdraw from MOU.			0.10	\$74.20
RJW	11/26/2019		3.50	3.50	742.00	2,597.00
11/26/2019	RJW	Began drafting long form settlement agreement. Reviewed pleadings and MOU.			3.50	\$2,597.00
RJW	11/27/2019		2.75	2.75	742.00	2,040.50
11/27/2019	RJW	Finished first draft of settlement agreement. Emails with Baysinger re same.			2.75	\$2,040.50
JDE	11/29/2019		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
11/29/2019	JDE	Review draft settlement agreement and make changes/suggestions.			1.20	\$890.40
RJW	12/02/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
12/02/2019	RJW	Emails with Expert re billings			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	12/02/2019		1.30	1.30	742.00	964.60
12/02/2019	RJW	Reviewed/approved Baysinger's revisions/edits. Conference re same. Emails with OPC re long form settlement agreement/status. Emails with Administrators re bids.			1.30	\$964.60
RJW	12/02/2019		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
12/02/2019	RJW	Emails with Administrators re bids, assignment, etc.			0.60	\$445.20
RJW	12/02/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40

12/02/2019	RJW	Prepared / submitted payment to Expert			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	12/02/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
12/02/2019	JDE	Review and revise draft class notice. Ensure consistency with SA and review administration bids.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	12/02/2019		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
12/02/2019	JDE	Review draft settlement agreement and make suggested edits.			0.80	\$593.60
RJW	12/03/2019		1.75	1.75	742.00	1,298.50
12/03/2019	RJW	Drafted Class Notice. Emails with administrators re bids. Emails with OPC re same.			1.75	\$1,298.50
JDE	12/03/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
12/03/2019	JDE	Review and evaluate Atticus bid.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	12/04/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
12/04/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re status			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	12/04/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
12/04/2019	JDE	Receipt and review of emails from Brophy regarding settlement docs.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	12/05/2019		0.35	0.35	742.00	259.70
12/05/2019	RJW	Emails with Administrators re bids.			0.35	\$259.70
JDE	12/05/2019		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
12/05/2019	JDE	Review bid from Simpluris and evaluate.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	12/16/2019		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
12/16/2019	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	12/19/2019		1.75	1.75	742.00	1,298.50
12/19/2019	RJW	Reviewed/revise/drafted OPC's changes to Settlement Agreement and Class Notice. conference with Baysinger re same.			1.75	\$1,298.50
JDE	12/19/2019		0.70	0.70	742.00	519.40
12/19/2019	JDE	Review defendant's edits to settlement documents, evaluate, and make minor changes as necessary.			0.70	\$519.40
RJW	01/02/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
01/02/2020	RJW	Emails with OPC re settlement/status.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	01/06/2020		1.25	1.25	742.00	927.50
01/06/2020	RJW	Reviewed/approved OPC's final changes to settlement agreement and class notice. Conference with Baysinger re same/stip to file FAC.			1.25	\$927.50
JDE	01/06/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
01/06/2020	JDE	Review administration bid from Phoenix and compare with other bids received. Confer with RJW and confirm Phoenix as administrator.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	01/06/2020		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
01/06/2020	JDE	Review final settlement agreement, compare with prior versions, incorporate settlement administrator information and finalize.			0.80	\$593.60
RJW	01/07/2020		3.50	3.50	742.00	2,597.00
01/07/2020	RJW	Began drafting Motion for Preliminary Approval. Research as necessary re standard for preliminary certification, fair, reasonable, and adequate, etc.			3.50	\$2,597.00
JDE	01/07/2020		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
01/07/2020	JDE	Revise stipulation and order to file FAC and provide to defense counsel for review.			0.60	\$445.20

RJW	01/09/2020		2.80	2.80	742.00		2,077.60
01/09/2020	RJW	Finished first draft of Motion for Preliminary Approval. Reviewed pleadings, etc. Updated citations in motion.				2.80	\$2,077.60
RJW	01/09/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00		74.20
01/09/2020	RJW	Emails with OPC re FAC and stip.				0.10	\$74.20
RJW	01/10/2020		4.20	4.20	742.00		3,116.40
01/10/2020	RJW	Finished first drafts of Declarations of RJW and JDB, Notice and Proposed Order. Reviewed Court Orders re civil motions. Began revising/editing MPA for page limit restrictions.				4.20	\$3,116.40
RJW	01/10/2020		0.50	0.50	742.00		371.00
01/10/2020	RJW	Reviewed/approved OPC's edits to Stip and Proposed Order re FAC. Conference with Baysinger re same.				0.50	\$371.00
JDE	01/10/2020		6.00	6.00	742.00		4,452.00
01/10/2020	JDE	Begin drafting declaration in support of MPA				6.00	\$4,452.00
JDE	01/10/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00		222.60
01/10/2020	JDE	Finalize stipulation and prepare for filing.				0.30	\$222.60
RJW	01/13/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
01/13/2020	RJW	Emails re FAC				0.20	\$148.40
JDE	01/13/2020		0.80	0.80	742.00		593.60
01/13/2020	JDE	Final revisions to FAC to address formatting issues, finalize stipulation and order for submission.				0.80	\$593.60
JDE	01/13/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00		222.60
01/13/2020	JDE	Communicate with Brophy regarding status of FAC filing and finalizing settlement documents.				0.30	\$222.60
NJS	01/14/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00		74.20
01/14/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 11 (Stipulation and PO)				0.10	\$74.20
RJW	01/15/2020		0.35	0.35	742.00		259.70
01/15/2020	RJW	Reviewed Court's Orders re Stip and FAC. Emails with OPC re final settlement agreement.				0.35	\$259.70
NJS	01/15/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00		222.60
01/15/2020	NJS	ECF emails re: Document No. 12 (Stipulation and Order), No. 13 (FAC), and No. 14 (Notice of Appearance)				0.30	\$222.60
JDE	01/15/2020		1.20	1.20	742.00		890.40
01/15/2020	JDE	Further final revisions to settlement agreement (0.4). Provide to opposing counsel and client for signature and review with client (0.8).				1.20	\$890.40
NJS	01/16/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00		74.20
01/16/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 15 (Notice of Appearance)				0.10	\$74.20
RJW	01/17/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00		148.40
01/17/2020	RJW	Emails with OPC re settlement agreement / status.				0.20	\$148.40
JDE	01/17/2020		0.50	0.50	742.00		371.00
01/17/2020	JDE	Communicate with client regarding final settlement documents, secure signature, and explain strategy timeline moving forward through approval process.				0.50	\$371.00
RJW	01/21/2020		1.10	1.10	742.00		816.20
01/21/2020	RJW	Reviewed JDB dec, allocations, conference with JDB re same.				1.10	\$816.20
JDE	01/21/2020		3.50	3.50	742.00		2,597.00
01/21/2020	JDE	Revise JDB declaration in support of preliminary approval. Recheck all Kullar calculations and compare with expert's analysis				3.50	\$2,597.00

of claims.

RJW	01/22/2020		1.60	1.60	742.00	1,187.20
01/22/2020	RJW	Finalized RJW Dec ISO MPA. Revised/edited MPA. Conference with Baysinger re same. Emails with OPC re filing.			1.60	\$1,187.20
JDE	01/22/2020		4.70	4.70	742.00	3,487.40
01/22/2020	JDE	Review and revise points and authorities in support of motion for preliminary approval. Update all numerical information and add declaration citations. Prepare tables and edit to meet court page limitations.			4.70	\$3,487.40
JDE	01/22/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
01/22/2020	JDE	Telephone conference with Cathy Feldman regarding settlement agreement and motion for preliminary approval.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	01/22/2020		1.80	1.80	742.00	1,335.60
01/22/2020	JDE	Prepare draft declaration for client in support of motion for preliminary approval and discuss substance with client.			1.80	\$1,335.60
RJW	01/23/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
01/23/2020	RJW	Emails with OPC RE MPA and associated filings.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	01/28/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
01/28/2020	RJW	Emails with OPC re status.			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	01/28/2020		0.35	0.35	742.00	259.70
01/28/2020	RJW	Telephone conference with Rotman re settlement. Conference with Baysinger re same/strategy.			0.35	\$259.70
RJW	01/31/2020		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
01/31/2020	RJW	Reviewed/approved OPC's proposed changes to MPA and Proposed Order. Conference with Baysinger re same.			0.60	\$445.20
NJS	01/31/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
01/31/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 16 (Motion for Preliminary Approval)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	01/31/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
01/31/2020	JDE	Upload final settlement agreement and provide necessary notice information to LWDA			0.30	\$222.60
JDE	01/31/2020		2.20	2.20	742.00	1,632.40
01/31/2020	JDE	Final revisions to MPA and associated documents and file.			2.20	\$1,632.40
RJW	02/12/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
02/12/2020	RJW	Emails with Administrator re status.			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	02/12/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
02/12/2020	JDE	Communicate with administrator (Jodey Lawrence - Phoenix) to advise of selection and status of motion for preliminary approval.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	02/20/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
02/20/2020	RJW	Reviewed Iron Mountain's notice of Non-Opp			0.15	\$111.30
NJS	02/20/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
02/20/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 17 (Statement of Non-Opposition)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	02/20/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
02/20/2020	JDE	Review docket entry 17 (statement of non-opposition by Defendant).			0.10	\$74.20
RJW	02/27/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
02/27/2020	RJW	Reviewed Minute Order re decision w/o hearing.			0.10	\$74.20
NJS	02/27/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20

02/27/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 18 (Minute Order)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	02/27/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
02/27/2020	JDE	Review docket entry 18 (minute order removing hearing and submitting MPA on papers).			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	03/20/2020		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
03/20/2020	JDE	Consider strategies to expedite approval/spur court to take action on case. Review letter in Wise and evaluate application to Modica.			0.50	\$371.00
RJW	03/26/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
03/26/2020	RJW	Check docket re status			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	04/01/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
04/01/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin re status.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	04/09/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
04/09/2020	JDE	Reach out to Court regarding status of preliminary approval motion.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	04/20/2020		0.35	0.35	742.00	259.70
04/20/2020	RJW	Reviewed approved stip to modify scheduling order.			0.35	\$259.70
JDE	04/20/2020		1.20	1.20	742.00	890.40
04/20/2020	JDE	Communicate with Court regarding status of ruling on MPA. Prepare stipulation to modify scheduling order for good cause.			1.20	\$890.40
RJW	04/22/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
04/22/2020	RJW	Reviewed OPC's changes to stip			0.30	\$222.60
JDE	04/22/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
04/22/2020	JDE	Finalize stip and order and file with federal court.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	04/23/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
04/23/2020	RJW	Reviewed Dkt. No. 20 Order Approving Amended PTSO			0.15	\$111.30
NJS	04/23/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
04/23/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 19 (Stipulation and PO)			0.10	\$74.20
NJS	04/23/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
04/23/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 20 (Order Modifying Pretrial Order)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	04/23/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
04/23/2020	JDE	Receipt and review of signed stipulation to amend pretrial scheduling order.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	05/24/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
05/24/2020	RJW	Check online docket re status			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	05/27/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
05/27/2020	JDE	Communicate with client regarding status of settlement approval.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	06/24/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
06/24/2020	RJW	Conference with Baysinger re status / strategy.			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	08/13/2020		0.50	0.50	742.00	371.00
08/13/2020	RJW	Reviewed / approved letter to court. Conference with Baysinger re same. Emails with OPC.			0.50	\$371.00
JDE	08/13/2020		0.70	0.70	742.00	519.40
08/13/2020	JDE	Draft letter to Court regarding status of preliminary approval motion and send to defense counsel to advise.			0.70	\$519.40
RJW	08/14/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/14/2020	RJW	Emails with Court re status.			0.20	\$148.40

JDE	08/14/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
08/14/2020	JDE	Finalize letter to Court regarding status of preliminary approval order and submit to courtroom deputy.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	08/17/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/17/2020	RJW	Emails with Court/OPC re preliminary approval status.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	08/17/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/17/2020	JDE	Correspond with Courtroom Deputy via email regarding status of ruling on motion for preliminary approval.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	08/19/2020		1.10	1.10	742.00	816.20
08/19/2020	RJW	Reviewed Order Granting Preliminary Approval. Emails with Admin re administration, timeline, assignment.			1.10	\$816.20
NJS	08/19/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
08/19/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 21 (Order re: Motion for Final Approval)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	08/19/2020		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
08/19/2020	JDE	Review court's preliminary approval order and coordinate with administrator regarding same.			0.60	\$445.20
RJW	08/26/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/26/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin re status.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	08/26/2020		0.30	0.30	742.00	222.60
08/26/2020	JDE	Communicate with administrator and OPC regarding provision of Class Data.			0.30	\$222.60
RJW	08/31/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
08/31/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin re status of mailings.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/09/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/09/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin re status			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/10/2020		0.60	0.60	742.00	445.20
09/10/2020	RJW	Reviewed Class Notice, CAFA, emails re calcs. Emails with Admin re same.			0.60	\$445.20
JDE	09/10/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
09/10/2020	JDE	Review and approve class notice and CAFA notice.			0.40	\$296.80
JDE	09/11/2020		0.40	0.40	742.00	296.80
09/11/2020	JDE	Review updated calculations provided by administrator.			0.40	\$296.80
RJW	09/14/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/14/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin and OPC re Class Notice/CAFA			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/15/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/15/2020	RJW	Emails with Admin re status.			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	09/15/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
09/15/2020	JDE	Receipty and review of email from administrator confirming notice mailing.			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	09/25/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
09/25/2020	JDE	Receipt and review of weekly report from administrator.			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	09/26/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
09/26/2020	RJW	Reviewed Weekly Report			0.15	\$111.30
RJW	10/01/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
10/01/2020	RJW	Dkt entry re reassignment of case.			0.10	\$74.20
NJS	10/01/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20

Invoice number 0

Page 16

10/01/2020	NJS	ECF email re: Document No. 22 (Order Reassigning Case)			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	10/01/2020		3.20	3.20	742.00	2,374.40
10/01/2020	JDE	Begin drafting motion for fees, costs, and enhancement award.			3.20	\$2,374.40
JDE	10/01/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
10/01/2020	JDE	Review docket entry 22 (reassigning case to magistrate judge Peterson)			0.10	\$74.20
RJW	10/02/2020		0.15	0.15	742.00	111.30
10/02/2020	RJW	Reviewed Weekly Report			0.15	\$111.30
JDE	10/02/2020		6.20	6.20	742.00	4,600.40
10/02/2020	JDE	Begin working in motion for final approval. Begin drafting declaration and prepare initial draft of MPA.			6.20	\$4,600.40
JDE	10/02/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
10/02/2020	JDE	Receipt and review of weekly report.			0.10	\$74.20
JDE	10/09/2020		4.20	4.20	742.00	3,116.40
10/09/2020	JDE	Continue working on fee motion.			4.20	\$3,116.40
JDE	10/09/2020		0.10	0.10	742.00	74.20
10/09/2020	JDE	Receipt and review of administrator's weekly report.			0.10	\$74.20
RJW	10/11/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
10/11/2020	RJW	Reviewed Weekly Report			0.20	\$148.40
RJW	10/16/2020		0.20	0.20	742.00	148.40
10/16/2020	RJW	Reviewed Weekly Report			0.20	\$148.40
JDE	10/16/2020		3.00	3.00	742.00	2,226.00
10/16/2020	JDE	Finalize fee motion and prepare for filing.			3.00	\$2,226.00
RJW	10/19/2020		3.60	3.60	742.00	2,671.20
10/19/2020	RJW	Revising / editing Motion for Attorneys' Fees, RJW Dec., and JDB Dec. ISO. Research as necessary.			3.60	\$2,671.20
RJW	10/20/2020		2.25	2.25	742.00	1,669.50
10/20/2020	RJW	Revising / editing Motion for Final Approval, Proposed Order, Notice, etc.			2.25	\$1,669.50
RJW	10/20/2020		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/20/2020	RJW	Final review of Motion for Attorneys' Fees / Admin Dec.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	10/20/2020		0.80	0.80	742.00	593.60
10/20/2020	JDE	Communicate with administrator regarding declaration, review and finalize in support of Fee Motion.			0.80	\$593.60
JDE	10/20/2020		2.00	2.00	742.00	1,484.00
10/20/2020	JDE	Further review and finalize fee motion and exhibits, prepare tables, and ready for filing. Confer with RJW and file.			2.00	\$1,484.00

Total professional services:**\$231,212.10****Expenses**

C011	10/26/2018		\$41.28			
10/26/2018		Postage / Certified Mail (6)				\$41.28
C001	10/29/2018		\$75.00			
		Invoice # SCA-18028				
10/29/2018		LABOR WORKFORCE DEVELOPMENT AGENCY; Invoice # SCA-18028; Clerk/Court Filing Fees				\$75.00

Invoice number 0

Page 17

C001	01/24/2019	\$1,435.00	
Invoice # SCA-18028			
01/24/2019	SAN JOAQUIN COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT; Invoice # SCA-18028; Clerk/Court Filing Fees - class action		\$1,435.00
C001	02/05/2019	\$40.00	
Invoice # 11637624			
02/05/2019	ONE LEGAL LLC; Invoice # 11637624; Clerk/Court Filing Fees		\$40.00
C011	06/12/2019	\$23.25	
06/12/2019	Postage / Certified Mail (3)		\$23.25
C020	08/07/2019	\$13,250.00	
Invoice # 19783			
08/07/2019	DAVID A. ROTMAN; Invoice # 19783; Mediation Fee		\$13,250.00
E125	11/14/2019	\$35.00	
11/14/2019	Parking		\$35.00
E126	11/14/2019	\$5.00	
11/14/2019	Toll/Bart/Fastrak Fees		\$5.00
MILE	11/14/2019	\$98.02	
11/14/2019	Mileage to/from : SAN FRANCISCO		\$98.02
E119	12/02/2019	\$997.50	
Invoice # #17660			
12/02/2019	ECON ONE RESEARCH, INC.; Invoice # #17660; Experts		\$997.50

Total expenses: **\$16,000.05**

Current charges: \$247,212.15

Summary:

Payments applied:	\$0.00
Current Charges:	\$247,212.15
Discount	\$0.00

Total Amount Now Due: **\$247,212.15**

Service Provider Summary

Baysinger, Jenny D.	174.10	742.00	129,182.20
Scardigli, Nicholas F,	1.80	742.00	1,335.60
Wasserman, Robert J.	135.40	742.00	100,466.80
Kozina, Vladimir J	0.50	455.00	227.50

EXHIBIT E

Jenny Baysinger

From: FormAssembly <no-reply@formassembly.com> on behalf of DIR PAGA Unit
<lwdadonotreply@dir.ca.gov>
Sent: Friday, January 31, 2020 3:02 PM
To: Jenny Baysinger
Subject: Thank you for your Proposed Settlement Submission

01/31/2020 03:01:46 PM

Thank you for your submission to the Labor and Workforce Development Agency.

Item submitted: Proposed Settlement

If you have questions or concerns regarding this submission or your case, please send an email to pagainfo@dir.ca.gov.

DIR PAGA Unit on behalf of
Labor and Workforce Development Agency

Website: http://labor.ca.gov/Private_Attorneys_General_Act.htm