

1 AARON C. GUNDZIK (State Bar No. 132137)  
Agundzik@gghllp.com  
2 GUNDZIK GUNDZIK HEEGER LLP  
14011 Ventura Blvd., Suite 206E  
3 Sherman Oaks, CA 91423  
Telephone: (818) 290-7461  
4 Facsimile: (818) 918-2316

5 MARSHALL A. CASKEY (State Bar No. 65410)  
DANIEL M. HOLZMAN (State Bar No. 176663)  
6 N. CORY BARARI (State Bar No. 295306)  
CASKEY & HOLZMAN  
7 24025 Park Sorrento, Ste. 400  
Calabasas, CA 91302  
8 Telephone: (818) 657-1070  
Facsimile: (818) 297-1775  
9

10 Attorneys for Plaintiff Daniela Caesar-Roden, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated

11 **SUPERIOR COURT OF THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA**  
12 **FOR THE COUNTY OF LOS ANGELES**

13 DANIELA CAESAR-RODEN, individually  
and on behalf of all others similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 YOGA WORKS, INC., and DOES 1  
17 through 25,

18 Defendants.  
19  
20  
21

Case No.: BC711991

*Assigned to Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman  
Dept. SSC-14*

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

**DATE: August 18, 2020**

**TIME: 10:00 a.m.**

**PLACE: Spring Street Courthouse  
Dept. 14  
312 N. Spring Street  
Los Angeles, CA 90012**

**Case Filed: July 2, 2018**

22 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED, ADJUDGED AND DECREED that:

- 23 1. Judgment is entered in favor of Plaintiff Daniela Caesar-Roden ("Plaintiff")  
24 and against Defendant Yoga Works, Inc. ("Defendant") in the amount of \$1,000,000, plus  
25 the Employer's Withholding Share, which are to be distributed as set forth the Order  
26 Granting Final Approval of Class Action Settlement.
- 27 2. The Class in this action consists of all current and former Pilates instructors,  
28 yoga instructors and teachers and other employees who were paid on a piece-rate basis by

**[PROPOSED] JUDGMENT**

**CONFORMED COPY  
ORIGINAL FILED**  
Superior Court of California  
County of Los Angeles  
**AUG 18 2020**  
Sherri R. Carter, Executive Officer/Clerk of Court  
By: Berta Guerrero, Deputy

1 Defendant in California during the Settlement Class Period. The Settlement Class Period is  
2 from July 2, 2014 through July 19, 2019.

3 3. Two class members, J. Lasater and E. Koury, have opted out of the  
4 Settlement and therefore all Class Members except J. Lasater and E. Koury are bound by  
5 this Judgment.

6 4. Pursuant to the terms of the Settlement Agreement, upon the receipt by the  
7 Settlement Administer, Phoenix Settlement Administrators, of the Gross Settlement Amount  
8 of \$1,000,000 and the Employer's Withholding Share, from Defendant, all Class Members  
9 except J. Lasater and E. Koury are deemed to have released Defendant its past or present  
10 officers, owners, directors, shareholders, clients, joint employers, employees, agents,  
11 principals, heirs, representatives, accountants, auditors, consultants, insurers and reinsurers,  
12 and their respective successors and predecessors in interest, subsidiaries, affiliates, parents  
13 and attorneys from all claims under state, federal or local law, whether statutory, common  
14 law or administrative law arising during the Class Period which were made in the Complaint  
15 or which could have been made based upon the facts alleged in the Complaint, including but  
16 not limited to: claims for failure to pay wages for all hours worked (including non-  
17 productive time), failure to pay overtime wages, unreimbursed expenses, rest period  
18 violations, meal period violations, pay for rest breaks, failure to pay sick leave at proper  
19 rates, failure to maintain proper records, itemized wage statement violations, failure to pay  
20 reporting time wages, waiting time penalties, declaratory relief arising out of any of the  
21 aforementioned claims, claims for violation of the California Business and Professions  
22 Code, and claims for violation of the California Private Attorneys General Act, and shall  
23 encompass remedies of injunctive relief, punitive damages, liquidated damages, penalties of  
24 any nature, interest, fees, and costs.

25 //  
26 //  
27 //  
28 //

1           5.       Without affecting the finality of this Judgment, the Court retains exclusive  
2 and continuing jurisdiction over the litigation for purposes of supervising, implementing,  
3 interpreting and enforcing the terms of its Order granting Final Approval of the Settlement  
4 Agreement, and in order to conduct further hearing(s) on certification of distribution  
5 procedures.

6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

Dated: 8-18-20

**KENNETH R. FREEMAN**  
\_\_\_\_\_  
Hon. Kenneth R. Freeman

